




3 1761 11853970 9



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2023 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/31761118539709>

A2 on
X1
-DZ3

Government
Publications

85



No. 176E

N° 176E

ISSN 1180-2987

**Legislative Assembly
of Ontario**

First Session, 36th Parliament

**Assemblée législative
de l'Ontario**

Première session, 36^e législature

**Official Report
of Debates
(Hansard)**

**Journal
des débats
(Hansard)**

Thursday 3 April 1997

Jeudi 3 avril 1997



Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers

Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance :

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion, 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais : 1-800-668-9938.



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Thursday 3 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Jeudi 3 avril 1997

Report continued from volume D.

1555

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996 LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barker Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barker Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barksdale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barksdale Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barkwin Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barkwin Drive living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barkwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barkwood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barkworth Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barkworth Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barlow Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barlow Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barmac Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barmac Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barnes Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barnes Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1610

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): On a point of order, Madam Chair: This is something I hope you will take as a friendly point of order because it was certainly intended that way from the caller who sent in the information. It's advice to the Chair from a former radio announcer:

“Drinking cold water is bad for your vocal cords, and with the amount of speaking that you're doing, you will

lose your voice,” was the advice. “In concern for you, I would recommend you to drink warm water or tea, but nothing cold.”

I just pass that on to you, given that you and your colleagues at the table are doing a lot of speaking, obviously, in reading through the amendments.

The First Deputy Chair: I suppose it's technically not a point of order, but I appreciate the advice. I don't think we're allowed to bring tea in here, are we? But perhaps we'll move to warm water. Thank you.

Mr Silipo: Madam Chair, if that in fact requires unanimous consent on our part, I would certainly be very happy to seek that.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you for your concern and to the caller with the advice; it's much appreciated under the circumstances. I suspect that if we take his advice, we can move to warm water.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barnes Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barnes Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Before we move on the next amendment, I'd like to just say to both sides of the House — again, I would preface this by saying that we're all a little tired and I think sometimes on both sides of the House we're losing a little focus. I have to remind you that you must respond “aye” or “nay” when I ask, and it must be fairly quick. I have been exercising some leeway, because I understand that people are tired, as am I, but I have to warn you that it's important that you respond right away. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barnsley Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barnsley Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barnwell Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barnwell Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barnwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barnwood Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barolo Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barolo Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed to the motion, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1620

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baroness Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baroness Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion is lost.

Mr Silipo: Why, Chair?

The First Deputy Chair: There were not five people standing.

Mr Rosario Marchese (Fort York): There were seven.

The First Deputy Chair: No, there weren’t. I’m sorry, I’ve ruled. It took too long.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baronial Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baronial Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed to the motion, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Silipo: Is that fast enough?

The First Deputy Chair: That was very good.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrett Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrett Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrhead Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrhead Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrie Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrie Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrington Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrington Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1630

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrowcliff Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrowcliff Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrydale Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrydale Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed to the motion please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barrymore Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barrymore Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barse Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barse Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bartel Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bartel Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bartlett Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bartlett Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1640

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bartley Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bartley Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barton Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Should the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bartonville Avenue West living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bartonville Avenue West living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Ms Frances Lankin (Beaches-Woodbine): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I wanted to wait until you had completed that one before I raised this, because I don’t think it affects the actual vote that was taken, the deferred vote on that one, but I notice that when you read off the street name you read Bartonville Avenue West, and as I see it in front of me, it says Bartonville Avenue W, and there’s no period after the “W.”

I just wanted to point out to you that we have had a ruling by a previous Chair, Mr Johnson, that given that there’s no period after something like a “W,” therefore it’s not a proper contraction or abbreviation and therefore you couldn’t actually say “West”; you would have to read it as “Bartonville Avenue Wuh.” If I could give you another example, with “Gdns” as an abbreviation, he would have said “Bartonville Avenue Guhdns,” not “Gardens”; or “Cir,” which we thought and suggested to him would meant “Circle,” would have been said “Bartonville Avenue Cir.” One of the really interesting ones was “Sq,” which we

thought and suggested meant “Square,” and he would have said “Bartonville Avenue Skwuh.”

I actually appreciate and agree with your reading of these. I just wonder if it is important to the process that we have consistency between Chairs, Madam Chair. If not — and that’s why I didn’t raise it before — I think we could go along with the “West,” but perhaps you could take it up with the presiding officers’ table at an appropriate time.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, I do want to clarify one thing seriously. You said the previous Chair made a ruling on this, so I have to confer with the table officer to see if there actually was a formal ruling.

Thank you for your indulgence while I conferred with the table officer, as I wasn’t here earlier. It’s the table officer’s understanding that a formal ruling was not made on this, that it was the Chair’s interpretation. I shall give you my interpretation. It’s my understanding that the motions were passed by voice vote, so I shall proceed.

Ms Lankin: They were deferred.

1650

The First Deputy Chair: Well, the voice vote was dealt with. Thank you for clarifying that. I shall proceed with my interpretation.

Okay, back to NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bartor Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bartor Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barvale Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barvale Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barwell Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barwell Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Barwick Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Barwick Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Base Line West living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Base Line West living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1700

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Basin Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Basin Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Tony Ruprecht (Parkdale): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I notice that this particular item deals with people on Basin Street. Is that correct?

The First Deputy Chair: That’s correct.

Mr Ruprecht: You will recall that on Basin Street we do have a special home and I notice that the Minister of Health is here today and I’m wondering — it relates to this.

Interjection.

Mr Ruprecht: Yes, I’m getting to the point, Madam Chair, that on Basin Street we have a psychiatric home. We want to know how the 253 beds that the minister is trying to cut from psychiatric services is going to affect the residents on Basin Street.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Parkdale, take your seat. Member for Parkdale, you know, you’ve been around here long enough to know that in the committee of the whole House that cannot be raised; that is for question period. Perhaps you’ll get an opportunity to ask that question later on. Let’s move on.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baskerville Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baskerville Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Basking Ridge living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Basking Ridge living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy” —

Mr Ruprecht: Madam Speaker, on a point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: Can you wait until I go through?

Mr Ruprecht: No, this is very important. I'd like to know if this is Basking Ridge crescent or Basking Ridge road.

The First Deputy Chair: It simply says on the sheet I have, "the residents of Basking Ridge." It does not specify.

Mr Ruprecht: Madam Speaker, that's precisely my point, that Basking Ridge itself is obviously not a street, an avenue, a boulevard or a crescent. I think the addition —

The First Deputy Chair: Are you asking the NDP who submitted this to withdraw it, therefore?

Mr Ruprecht: No, not to withdraw it. I'm just asking that amendment to be amended so that the proper street and address can be identified, because it is of some importance that residents of Basking Ridge ville — no. But that's precisely the point, you see.

Mr Bud Wildman (Algoma): Basking Ridge et al.

Mr Ruprecht: "Basking Ridge et al." Okay, that's very helpful.

The First Deputy Chair: I appreciate your point of order.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I have order, please.

I understand this came up as well last night and I was not in the chair at that time, but the ruling then, as I understand it, and I concur with that ruling, is that I am assuming that the members who put this forward put it in good faith. We have no way of confirming whether it's "street" or "crescent," but I'm taking the position that it's put in good faith and that, as the Speaker said last night when the Chair was challenged, we cannot make our rulings based on Perly's here. So to repeat that, I understand and I appreciate your bringing up the issue, but I'm going to accept this as though the people who put it forward did so in good faith. Thank you for raising it.

I'm now putting the question. All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred. 1710

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bassano Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bassano Road living in the urban area."

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bassett Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bassett Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed please will say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Ruprecht: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: You realize that I don't wish to be difficult and make your job any more gruesome than it already is, but you were just reading a minute ago that the residents of Bassett Avenue should be consulted. Is that B-A-S-S-E-T-T or is that B-A-S-E-T?

The Chair: Your spelling was just right on.

Mr Ruprecht: So that's the same street like Isabel Bassett, is that correct?

The Chair: That's correct.

Mr Ruprecht: It's the same spelling as the member.

The Chair: Yes. Is that a point of order?

Mr Ruprecht: It's a point of order. I wanted to know that because I thought the residents of Bassett Avenue are

different than the residents of Bassett Street and I wanted to know because Bassett Street is in the west end and I think Bassett Avenue is in the east end and I wanted to make sure the spelling was correct.

The Chair: The amendment has been proposed by the NDP and I'm sure they know exactly where it is. It must be located in Toronto somewhere.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Basswood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Basswood Road living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bastedo Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bastedo Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Ruprecht: Mr Speaker, on a point of order: You realize I don't want to be difficult on this, but you mentioned clearly that it was Bastedo Road, not Bastedo Avenue. Is that correct?

The Chair: It was Bastedo Avenue.

Mr Ruprecht: The reason I'm asking you this question is because I wanted to find out if you can inform the House if that is the same street or avenue that came to the Minister of Transportation's attention because of the many holes it had on the corner of Bastedo and Baskerville. Is that the same one?

The Chair: Perhaps that would be a question you should ask the Minister of Transportation.

1720

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Batavia Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Batavia Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Ruprecht: Mr Speaker, on a point of order —

Mr John Gerretsen (Kingston and The Islands): You don't want to be difficult about it, right?

Mr Ruprecht: No, I don't want to be difficult, which is obvious by now, but you said Batavia Avenue, is that right, or was that Batavia Road?

The Chair: This time it was Batavia Avenue.

Mr Ruprecht: Is this the same Batavia Avenue that came to the Minister of Education's attention because of the school that is found on Batavia Avenue, and there are 250 students in that school? I wonder whether you could be helpful and ask the Minister of Education whether he has considered to expand the kindergarten classes in Batavia school, which is on Batavia Avenue.

The Chair: This is not a point of order.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Batawa Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Batawa Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Ruprecht: Mr Speaker, on a point of order:

The Chair: Member for Parkdale, take your seat, please. We had a debate in the first hour yesterday, and now that the amendments are being introduced there is no more debate. If your point of order is of a serious nature, if it refers specifically to the amendment, if it is something which is constructive, I will listen to it.

Mr Ruprecht: But you're not suggesting that my previous comments were not constructive?

The Chair: All right. I don't want to debate.

Mr Ruprecht: Okay, I'm not debating. I have to ask you a question and that question is: Normally you are very

clearly enunciating all the street names twice. On this specific one, I've heard you only mention that street once, which makes me think you have overlooked one of the paragraphs —

The Chair: That's not a point of order. I would ask you to take your seat.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bater Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bater Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bathford Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bathford Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1730

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bathgate Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bathgate Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bathurst Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bathurst Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Battenberg Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Battenberg Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Battersea Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Battersea Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1740

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Batterswood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Batterswood Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Batteringer Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Batteringer Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baudina Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baudina Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bauty Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bauty Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bay Mills Blvd living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bay Mills Blvd living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote. 1750

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bay Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bay Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr James J. Bradley (St Catharines): Point of order.

The Chair: A real point of order?

Mr Bradley: Would I intervene with anything other than a real point of order?

I have a document here from the MPP for Lakeshore, the Conservative MPP. It says, “Rethink Megacity Proposal,

Tory Urges" — Morley Kells. I'm wondering what street that may be on, because there may be a conflict of interest in the street that he's on.

The Chair: It must be understood that there should be no debate in the House. There should be no debate whilst the motions are introduced.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayard Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayard Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayberry Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayberry Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baybrook Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baybrook Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1800

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baycrest Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baycrest Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

Ms Lankin: Can you deem that we stood?

The Chair: No, you have to stand up. Thank you. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayfield Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayfield Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayford Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayford Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayhampton Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayhampton Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baylawn Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baylawn Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

Mrs Lyn McLeod (Fort William): There weren’t any nays.

The Chair: I heard two. I need five people. The vote is deferred. Don’t forget, when you stand up you have to stand up at your seat for a recorded vote.

1810

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayliss Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayliss Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayshell Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayshell Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

A motion from the third party:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baytree Crescent living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baytree Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayview Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayview Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

1820

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayview Heights Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayview Heights Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

Mr David Christopherson (Hamilton Centre): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don't believe that a quorum is present and I'm sure you would want to ensure that it is.

The Chair: Please verify if there is a quorum.

Acting Clerk Assistant (Mr Douglas Arnott): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Chair: Call in the members.

A quorum is now present.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayview Ridge Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayview Ridge Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bayview Wood living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bayview Wood living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1830

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Baywood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Baywood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beacham Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beacham Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

A motion from the third party:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beachdale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beachdale Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beachell Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beachell Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beachell Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beachell Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1840

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beachview Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beachview Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beacon Hall Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beacon Hall Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

A third-party motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beacon Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beacon Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaconsfield Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaconsfield Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1850

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaconsfield Avenue living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaconsfield Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bead Fernway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bead Fernway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beamish Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beamish Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beamsville Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beamsville Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bearbury Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bearbury Drive living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1900

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beardmore Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beardmore Crescent living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beare Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beare Road living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

Mr Bill Murdoch (Grey-Owen Sound): The bed wetters’ accord. Back to 1985 when you went to bed together.

The Chair: The member for Grey-Owen Sound, you are talking too loud.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bearwood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bearwood Drive living in the urban area."

Interjections.

The Chair: Frankly, it's too loud, you're too loud. You are missing a good amendment here.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Mr Murdoch: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In that amendment you're reading, are the words "This is a good amendment" in there? I'm just wondering.

The Chair: If you listen attentively, it's excellent.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Order. This is not recess. There is too much noise. I'll keep you waiting.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beath Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beath Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1910

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaton Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beatrice Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beatrice Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Mr Gilles Bisson (Cochrane South): On a point of order, Mr Chair —

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Cochrane South, you're rising. You're standing up.

Mr Bisson: Yes, I am standing up. That's very good. It's called a point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: Your point of order?

Mr Bisson: I do believe that one of the points of the standing orders is that we're supposed to understand what the Chair of the committee of the whole is saying. It seems to me that you are going a little bit quick, because I'm having difficulty understanding what you are saying in reading this particular amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: Are you following along on the written copy that you have before you?

Mr Bisson: Well, I'm trying to listen to you. Not every member has an —

The Second Deputy Chair: I understand, but are you following along on the written copy that you have before you?

Mr Bisson: That's the problem: You're going too quick. I've got to say, Mr Speaker, we're getting phone calls in our offices where a number of people have called in to say that when you are in the chair, you read a little bit too fast and they're having problems trying to follow you. Does this mean to say I'm right or I'm wrong?

The Second Deputy Chair: Would you please take your seat.

Mr Bisson: It means I'm taking my seat. Okay.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order because I have addressed it before.

All those in favour of this motion? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beattie Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beattie Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material — ”

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: It's easier to hear this and to understand it if you're not talking.

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beattie Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beattie Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaucourt Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaucourt Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1920

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaufield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaufield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this bill pass? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaufort Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied: — ”

The Chair recognizes the member for Yorkview.

Mr Mario Sergio (Yorkview): I don’t want to be rude, but just to clarify for the House, you called, on the previous amendment, “Should this bill pass?” It’s not a bill, it’s an amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. I apologize. I’ll try never to do it again.

Mr Sergio: I realize it’s not done on purpose, but I wouldn’t want anybody listening or watching to get the wrong impression.

The Second Deputy Chair: How could I correct this to your satisfaction?

Mr Sergio: I know you wouldn’t do it on purpose.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’ll do anything I can to try to rectify it.

Mr Sergio: Thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: “1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaufort Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaumaris Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaumaris Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaumonde Heights Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaumonde Heights Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaumont Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaumont Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaver Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaver Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

Mr Christopherson: On a point of order, Chair: I wish to raise with you the matter that’s been faxed to me by Jeffrey Dalziel of Collingwood, who asked me to say: “Could you pass on to the Chair a message that I am having trouble understanding what the heck he is reading into the record due to the speed at which he is reading? The clarity is poor. I am trying to understand and comprehend the amendments.”

Again, Speaker, I would implore you to please recognize that people are watching, they care, they want to understand, and it appears that you’re running the words together and not giving the due respect and reverence that the matters of this House deserve.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to address that. That is a point of order.

Hon David Johnson (Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, Government House Leader): Point of order, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is this on the same point of order?

Hon David Johnson: Yes, on the same point. Could I just assure the member opposite and the individual who communicated with the member that what is being said is precisely the same on each and every one of these amendments, word for word, so if they’ve heard one, they’ve heard them all, except there is a different street or a different lake or a different city or a different industrial park or a different street outside of Metropolitan Toronto. Other than that, each and every one of them is, word for word, exactly the same.

Mr Gerard Kennedy (York South): Mr Chair?

The Second Deputy Chair: On the same point of order?

Mr Kennedy: Yes, on the same point. Mr Chair, the House leader, I’m sure, doesn’t wish to stand by that inaccurate impression. There are many other amendments beyond the type he’s trying to characterize that are part of this. There are Liberal amendments in this that are part of this. These resolutions have all been ruled in order and they deserve to be heard.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: I am addressing the point of order that was addressed back here, and now I am going to address it. Please take your seat, member for Hamilton Centre. I am addressing your point of order. I ruled on it before.

Mr Christopherson: To the government House leader, Chair, I would like to point out that he is trying to reargue a matter that the Speaker has already ruled on. The Speaker has declared that these amendments are in order and each one stands alone.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order, and indeed you are out of order.

The Chair recognizes the member for Nepean on a point of order.

Mr John R. Baird (Nepean): Thank you very much, Mr Chair, and I’ll try to be as brief as I possibly can. We have received a fax from a Charles Brown, who says, “Repeti-

tion half a dozen times of these motions would enable anyone, and I repeat anyone, to understand what is being said.” He claims to be 65 years old and his hearing isn’t even the best. Thank you, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I missed the point. I don’t think that’s a point of order.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.
1930

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaver Bend Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaver Bend Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

Mr Len Wood (Cochrane North): On a point of order, Mr Chair: The next amendment as it refers to Beaver Lake, I would like to withdraw that amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: Have you got a number or something on it?

Mr Len Wood: NDP motion.

The Second Deputy Chair: NDP motion, okay. "The residents of Beaver Lake": you don't want them given notice.

Mr Len Wood: We'd like unanimous consent to withdraw that amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: There is not unanimous consent.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaver Lake living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaver Lake living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." I declare the motion lost.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaver Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaver Terrace living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaver Valley Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaver Valley Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaverbrook Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaverbrook Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? I declare it lost.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaverbrook Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaverbrook Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaverdale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaverdale Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beaverhall Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

Mr Tony Martin (Sault Ste Marie): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I am trying very hard to follow you.

The Second Deputy Chair: Have you got a copy of this?

Mr Martin: Yes.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is it on Beaverhall Drive?

Mr Martin: Well, that's what I was going to ask you, because you went through that so quickly —

The Second Deputy Chair: I am reading the one on Beaverhall Drive.

Mr Martin: Yes, well, it kind of rolled out like marbles.

The Second Deputy Chair: Beaverhall Drive. Are you on it?

Mr Martin: Okay, that's the one I'm looking for. I've got it, yes. You'll appreciate the fact that —

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, I'd like to give you my ruling. Would you take your seat. Please take your seat so I can give you the ruling on your point of order.

Mr Martin: You'll appreciate the fact that I'm trying to keep track here. I'll be asking you —

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm not going to argue with you. I'd ask you to take your seat so I can give you the ruling. You asked for a point of order. I'm giving it to you. I'm trying to give you the ruling. It is not a point of order.

1940

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beaverhall Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.” — okay?

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,” — you’re standing?

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I just want to clarify. You said — and the sentence went, “Okay?” Is that part of the amendment?

The Second Deputy Chair: Do you want me to re-read this?

Mr Bisson: Yes, if you could, please.

The Second Deputy Chair: Okay.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? I declare it lost.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: You’re moving through these amendments rather quickly. I seriously would ask you to slow down just a little bit so that we can understand. We may not be as quick as you are, Mr Chair, in understanding your quick diatribe.

The Second Deputy Chair: You may not have been here when I gave the explanation to this point of order before. Actually, my father said, “If a job has to be done, you might as well get at it and get it over with as fast as you can.”

Mr Gilles Pouliot (Lake Nipigon): That’s not our responsibility.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I’m explaining your point of order.

Mr Pouliot: He is incompetent. He is worthless.

Mr Bisson: Mr Speaker, I did not hear you. Try it again.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Lake Nipigon will bring himself to order or I will name him, and I’ll not warn him again.

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, I didn’t hear a word you said.

The Second Deputy Chair: I am addressing my comments at this point to the member for Lake Nipigon. He is sitting directly between the member I am addressing and myself, and he was talking, and I will not tolerate it. Please take your seat. I am addressing my comments to the member for Cochrane South. He couldn’t see me. He couldn’t hear me. He couldn’t understand. I am offended.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Becca Hall Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Did you say, “Becca Hall Trail”?

The Second Deputy Chair: Becca Hall Trail. Becca Hall Trail.

Mr Martin: Thank you very much.

The Second Deputy Chair: “1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Becca Hall Trail living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beck Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Is that Beck Avenue?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes.

Mr Martin: Okay. Thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order if you can’t hear a word. But I must address a comment to the member for Cochrane South. If he is talking at the same time that you can’t hear, I will not tolerate that.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I beg your pardon. I don’t want to debate this; I am not about to argue it.

Mr Martin: I want to explain to you that I am having a hard time.

The Second Deputy Chair: I beg your pardon. If you would take your seat and just listen to my comments, please. I will not tolerate him talking when you can’t hear me.

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege, Mr Chair: I wasn't talking to anybody. I'm sitting here reading the paper, listening to the amendments.

The Second Deputy Chair: I beg your pardon —

Mr Bisson: I don't know who you heard, but it wasn't me. Seriously, Chair, you cannot impute motive inside the House here.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm not imputing motive. The member has a proper point of order, and I am addressing that.

Mr Bisson: Chair, this is serious. On my point of privilege, I'm just saying that you're saying the member raised a valid point of order, and I agree. But you said you were not able to hear his point of order because I was speaking, which I was not. I would ask the Chair of the committee of the whole to withdraw his comments about me, because I was not speaking.

The Second Deputy Chair: When I am looking and somebody else is talking and somebody else can't hear, it does upset me. But that is my ruling. If you'd like to appeal it, you may.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beck Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this NDP motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beckenham Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Was that Beckenham Court?

The Second Deputy Chair: Aye.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beckenham Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: On number 3, I was watching very closely, and you read, "Hearing dates 30 days the notice." Does the word "after" appear on your amendment? It wasn't read. You didn't read "after."

The Second Deputy Chair: I can't see it. No, I'm sorry.

Mr Bisson: Oh, it's not on yours?

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't think so. If it was there, I read it.

Mr Bisson: Okay, I take it now that you've read it, but I didn't hear it the first time.

The Second Deputy Chair: Was that privilege or order?

Mr Bisson: Point 3.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, why —

Mr Bisson: It was a point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beckett Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Mr Martin: Beckett Avenue, Chair?

The Second Deputy Chair: I beg your pardon?

Mr Martin: Beckett Avenue?

The Second Deputy Chair: Why are you standing?

Mr Martin: A point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes?

Mr Martin: Was that Beckett Avenue? The amendment is Beckett Avenue?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes. I might remind you there's somebody else talking very close who is making this hard for you to hear.

Mr Martin: No, it has nothing to do with somebody talking beside me, Chair; it's the speed at which you're going.

The Second Deputy Chair: “1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beckett Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Under 5, subsection ii, did you read after the comma, “charge, a copy of this act together with background material”?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes.

Mr Bisson: You did? Okay. I stand corrected.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1950

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beckwith Road” — Beckwith Road.

I want to address the inattentiveness and the talking, while I am reading, of the people that can’t hear, people very close. Please take your seat. I am irritated. I have had to repeat several names, and on numerous occasions when I look up, there is somebody else talking.

Mr Len Wood: Just go and see Mike Harris and tell him you quit is all.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will not warn the member for Cochrane North again. You are out of order. I recognize the member for Sault Ste Marie on a point of order.

Mr Martin: I am equally as agitated as you are with the speed at which you are going through these motions. It just makes it really difficult for everybody involved to participate. This is a democratic process that we’re involved in here. It has nothing to do with the people around me talking or saying anything; it has everything to do with the speed at which you are moving through these things. If you don’t slow down —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat.

Mr Martin: If you don’t slow down, then I have to take whatever action I need to, because I am a duly elected member of this place, to understand where you’re at and

what amendment you’re dealing with so that I can act accordingly.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I’d like to explain to you. I recognize that you have a wish in me slowing down. I recognize that, but I would like to also tell you that I have a very large task and it is very repetitious. All but two words of this is exactly the same as the other five, 10, 15, 20, 23 that I have read before, so that it is very repetitious. So I will try to give extra emphasis — please take your seat. I am still talking. I will try to give extra emphasis on that, but I will not talk overly loud to try to get over other people that are talking so that you can’t hear. I’m sorry. My voice wouldn’t take it. I can’t sit and shout.

The Chair recognizes the member for —

Mr Pouliot: I thank you. Mr Speaker, I will be candid —

The Second Deputy Chair: You are rising on a point of order?

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order, sir, on the same subject matter, and I think it’s important. I don’t envy your job, and I say this candidly. Several times, people have risen on points of order. I realize you have a job to do. Some of the amendments tend to be repetitious in the minds of some, and I’ll accept that. The situation is tedious. Add to it the long hours and the belief that you could be doing something else, serving your province in another capacity —

The Second Deputy Chair: I get your point.

Mr Pouliot: It has been mentioned since yesterday evening, with respect, sir, that in the minds of many, the process is working fine, we’re moving right along here; however, it seems that it is a little quick, and we must follow and classify those amendments as they come through.

The Second Deputy Chair: I think I get your point. I think that I have probably around 8,000 of these to read. If I take a minute each, we’re still into probably May or something, and they are very repetitious not only in the mind but on the paper. I have addressed that before. It’s not a point of order. You may want to appeal it.

The Chair recognizes the member for Fort William on a point of order.

Mrs McLeod: Thank you, as always. In the interests of being helpful, perhaps you might read the repetitious parts quickly and read the names slowly so that the members of the third party can follow the names.

The Second Deputy Chair: I appreciate the helpful comment.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beckwith Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If — ”

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Mr Martin is having difficulty hearing.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? I declare it lost.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bedford Park Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bedford Park Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this NDP motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bedford Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bedford Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bedle Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bedle Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: We’re asking that the next amendment be withdrawn.

The Second Deputy Chair: Beech Avenue?

Mr Martin: Beech Avenue; that’s the one.

The Second Deputy Chair: It is withdrawn. That’s Beech Avenue and it is withdrawn.

2000

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechborough Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechborough Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 —

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I’m sorry to interrupt you in the middle of this. It’s only because I don’t want to miss something in the procedure.

Previous to you beginning this amendment, the member for Sault Ste Marie withdrew an amendment for Beech Avenue. That was because there were two in a row that were duplicates just due to word processing and copying. You have seemingly skipped over the second Beech Avenue one and —

The Second Deputy Chair: There were two with Beech Avenue spelled B-E-E-C-H and you withdrew Beech Avenue.

Ms Lankin: We have done this on a number of occasions, Mr Chair. If I may just explain that through collation, as you can well imagine, of 11,500 amendments, there have been some, through the printing and collation, where there were duplicates, and what we’ve attempted to do to ensure that there was no question around those being in order when there two, simply to withdraw the first one of a duplicate as they come up, which means that the second one would actually be in order and I think we inadvertently skipped over it, Mr Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: Oh, no. I had two Beech Avenue, and they were withdrawn so I assumed you used the same one and I ruled that they were both withdrawn.

Ms Lankin: I would hope you haven’t ruled yet because the point wasn’t put to you and I’m just putting it to you now. This has actually been done a number of times through the course. At the end of the day, this is not going to be a huge issue, but we should clarify this. Where there are duplicates, it would in fact be the case that you as Chair, if you notice that they were duplicates, would rule the second one out of order. Our intent was to be of assistance and to withdraw one and the second one should still be in order.

The Second Deputy Chair: You may sit down. I’d like to rule on that point. My ruling is this: You withdrew

Beech Avenue. I didn’t realize it was because it was only duplicated so my ruling is that Beech Avenue was withdrawn. If you’d like to appeal that ruling, then you may. Is it your wish?

Ms Lankin: Excuse me.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes. The next time, if this is the reason, if you’d give me the reason then I could correct that.

Ms Lankin: Mr Chair, the next time we will give you the reason but I want to say, at a certain point in time, you are here to facilitate the order of this assembly. Mr Chair, we are trying also to be of assistance and to avoid a situation where you inadvertently bring through two amendments that are the same where one would have been out of order.

I do think your ruling is entirely, entirely unreasonable. At this point in time I am not going to challenge it because there is much business to get on with and we will let that one go by. But you should be fair in the chair, Mr Chair, and you are not being; that is unreasonable, entirely unreasonable.

The Second Deputy Chair: It may be the stress and so on. You might want to consider that. But either you will appeal it or it will stand. That is my ruling.

Mrs McLeod: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I can assure you I am not feeling particularly stressed but I do ask you to consider the way in which the members of the third party were attempting to actually speed this up. Perhaps they should withdraw the second one when they recognize there’s a duplicate so it doesn’t create any confusion.

I’m glad they’re not challenging your ruling because I think it would be a very great shame if the Speaker were pulled back into the Legislative Assembly because of an inadvertent error which you in fact made. I’m sorry you won’t correct it, Mr Speaker, but I trust it won’t happen again.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: The standing orders are quite clear when it comes to how the chair of the committee of the whole is to deal with amendments. Simply put, the Speaker must deal with each individual amendment one by one. What you attempted to do here is that you circumvented the process and are basically saying, “I’m going to deal with two at a time.”

The point is, succinctly — and I don’t want to make this any longer than we have to — the rule is, according to the standing orders, when dealing with amendments in committee of the whole, we deal with one amendment at a time. The member got up and asked that one amendment be withdrawn for the reasons that were explained by the member for Beaches-Woodbine, and you are dealing with two amendments, which is not in keeping with the standing orders.

I’m not going to challenge the chair for the same reasons that have been raised before. But, Speaker, it is incumbent upon you as the chair of the committee of the whole to deal with the rules in a consistent manner and fairly. I submit that you have not in this case.

Hon David Johnson: Mr Chair, I’m not going to challenge the chair, either. I’m going to simply express my extreme sympathy to the chair. When one is forced to go through 8,000 to 10,000 amendments, exactly the same, it

must be mind-numbing. When the whip from the third party indicates that they're chaotic, that they're not in order, that they had problems with all the lakes, the streets, the stadiums and cities from outside of Metro, streets from inside Metro, streets from outside Metro —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, order.

Hon David Johnson: Mr Chair, over the caterwauling from the opposition ranks, when they've indicated themselves that it's very chaotic, that they made mistakes, it must be a very difficult job for you and the other people in the chair to sort through all of this. I just want you to know that you have our complete sympathy. I feel that you're doing the best job you can under trying circumstances with 8,000 frivolous, beyond frivolous amendments, simply to stall time in this particular House.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Speaker.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I'm addressing it. That is not a point of order. The Chair recognizes the member for Beaches-Woodbine on a point of order.

Mr Pouliot: What about the islands, rivers and creeks?

The Deputy Speaker: The member for Lake Nipigon will come to order.

Mr Pouliot: I respect your ruling and I respect you, Mr Speaker. I shall do that.

The Second Deputy Chair: The chair recognizes now the member for Beaches-Woodbine.

Ms Lankin: Mr Chair, I've indicated that I'm not going to challenge your ruling only because I do not believe at this point in time that I want to bother the Speaker with something. We will take care of this by giving indications as we go along. I've indicated I think your ruling is unreasonable.

Let me say to the government House leader on the point of order that he just raised: To suggest that amendments are frivolous, which have been tested in this Legislature before yourself, sir, and before other chairs of the committee of the whole, and challenged to the Speaker, and have been found, every single time, to be absolutely in order and to be not frivolous, absolutely not frivolous, the government House leader is nothing but, yet again, incorrect.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to address my comments for just a second to the member for Beaches-Woodbine because I'm going to ask you to withdraw the comment that my ruling was unreasonable. Either it is reasonable or it's unreasonable, and if it's unreasonable, then I think that I would want it challenged and I would like the Speaker to rule on it. You will either withdraw the comment "unreasonable" or I will ask the Speaker to come and either uphold or rule on my point of order.

Ms Lankin: Only because I don't intend to bother the Speaker, I'll withdraw it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, it has to be an unequivocal —

Ms Lankin: You heard me. I'll withdraw.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Mrs McLeod: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: I would ask you to take into consideration the statements made by the government House leader, well supported by members of his caucus, which suggest that the amendments that we

are deliberating are frivolous. As the member for Beaches-Woodbine has said, the chairs of the committee of the whole have consistently found that these are in order, and the Speaker has ruled that they are in order and therefore both relevant and not frivolous. I believe that the statements of the government House leader show a disdain and a contempt for the rulings of the Speaker, and I would ask you to ask him to withdraw statements which show contempt for the Speaker of this Legislature.

The Second Deputy Chair: I didn't think that they were, but I'll address a comment to the government House leader. Would you withdraw some of those comments that would have been offensive to some?

Hon David Johnson: Mr Speaker, I most certainly will not. I stand by my comments. We have certainly made clear our views in that regard. It's well known, and we have on advice from staff, that in fact all of these amendments could have been and should have been incorporated in one amendment as opposed to 8,000 to 10,000 amendments. I think it's pretty clear to everyone who is listening that this is an abuse of the process. That's pure and simple what's going on.

2010

The Second Deputy Chair: Is this a new point of order or do you want me to rule on the other one?

Mrs McLeod: I would just ask you to take into consideration, in response to the government House leader's rebuttal, that the Speaker has been absolutely clear that the points of order under which the amendments have been challenged by members of the government were that they were frivolous; that the Speaker has found that not to be the case and that's why the amendments stand and are in order and why we're considering to deliberate them.

I would suggest that the people who are indeed watching these proceedings believe a government that is bringing in this legislation and forcing it against the will of a majority of citizens is the one that is simply showing contempt of the citizenry.

The Second Deputy Chair: We will have to let those people decide on their own. It was a point of order and I've tried to reconcile it. It doesn't seem to be reconcilable, so I would like to move on.

This is an NDP motion and I'm partway through it. I'm doing my best to start off exactly where I left off.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechborough Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechgrove Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechgrove Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechwood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechwood Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechwood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechwood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechwood Drive living in the urban area.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: You read into the record, "1. The minister has given notice of the regulation...." In my read of the amendment it says "proposed regulation." Did you mean to say "proposed regulation"?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes. If I missed "proposed" I'm awfully sorry, and I'll read it again just to make sure.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechwood Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beechwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beechwood Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2020

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beecroft Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beecroft Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion pass? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beethoven Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beethoven Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belcourt Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belcourt Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belfield Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belfield Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection —

The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South.

M. Bisson : Monsieur le Président, j’ai —

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a minute. I want to make sure my — proceed.

M. Bisson : Une certaine personne vient juste de m’appeler pour me dire que —

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a minute. I have the wrong one, the wrong ear.

M. Bisson : Méchante oreille, Monsieur le Président ? On m’a justement demandé il y a deux minutes à la sortie de la Chambre — vous lisez tellement vite vos amendements que ceux qui en font la traduction en français ne sont pas capables de garder votre pas. C’est un problème. Le Règlement de la Chambre est très clair qu’on est supposé d’être capable de s’exprimer soit en français ou en anglais ou en LSQ ou ASL. Mais ce qu’il arrive, c’est que vous allez si vite que les traducteurs ne sont pas capables de garder votre vitesse.

On vous demande de ralentir pour que ceux qui ont besoin de faire la traduction en français puissent vous suivre, et pour ceux qui font la traduction — Monsieur le Président, une minute, s’il vous plaît. Aussi vous avez besoin de vous ralentir pour que ceux qui ont besoin de faire la traduction vers la langue des signes québécoise et la langue internationale des signes puissent faire l’ouvrage, pour faire la traduction pour qu’elle arrive à l’écran, pour que ceux qui ne peuvent pas nous entendre puissent du moins lire le langage dans la version imprimée qui passe au bas de l’écran.

The Second Deputy Chair: They’ll have an opportunity to catch up now that we’ve had a bit of a rest. I’m willing to compromise. I’ll go a little slower but I suggest that others may have to go a little faster. I’ll do the best I can. Does that address your point of order?

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I appreciate that too. I just wanted to say that over the last little while you have slowed down ever so slightly. It’s been helpful. Thank you very much.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belfry Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belfry Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belgate Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belgate Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 10 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In section 3 I heard you say, "If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 10 days after..." Did you mean 30 days after?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2030

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belgravia Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belgravia Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belgreen Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belgreen Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belgrove Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belgrove Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation.”

I’d like to address my concerns to members of the gallery. I don’t want you to be seduced into making demonstrations that will make it necessary for me to clear the gallery.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing —

Mr Christopherson: Seduced.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: It is very clear in the standing orders that a member of the House or the Chair of the committee of the whole cannot impute motive and you are imputing that we are trying to seduce the public. Mr Chair, I would ask you to withdraw.

The Second Deputy Chair: I withdraw.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belinda Sq living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belinda Sq living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry?

Mrs McLeod: May I just clarify the name? Was it “Belinda Sq” is the name of the street?

The Second Deputy Chair: Belinda Sq. It’s spelled S-Q.

Mrs McLeod: It’s spelled S-Q?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes, Belinda Sq.

Mrs McLeod: Belinda Sq?

The Second Deputy Chair: Sq, yes.

Does this committee wish this motion to carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Michael Gravelle (Port Arthur): On a point of order: In light of the recent inappropriate comments made by the government House leader regarding the quality of the amendments that are coming before us today, I think it’s important that the House to recognize —

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. That’s an old point of order. I’ve ruled on it.

Mr Gravelle: This is a different point of order. I want the House to realize —

The Second Deputy Chair: Just tell me the point.

Mr Gravelle: The point is that there is a great deal of support for what we are receiving. Indeed, Mrs Ruth Cohen from Toronto has very kindly brought some flowers in to

us and I think we want to thank her and thank all those who have shown such great support for the measures —

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

Mr Gravelle: — to our heroes, the members of the opposition. We're very grateful to Mrs Cohen and all those who are supporting us.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Would the member take his seat, please.

Mr Gravelle: Thank you very much.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, order. I'm going to instruct to have those removed and I'm going to tell you why, because I have allergies to flowers. I'm sorry, but they will offend me.

Mr Gravelle: That's the only reason, Chair?

The Second Deputy Chair: That is the absolute only reason. The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South on a point of order.

Mr Bisson: I would just say, it may be that flowers are not in order, but I want to tell you flowers are always in season.

The Second Deputy Chair: It's not that they are out of order. I'm not ruling that. I'm saying that I have allergies to them and I wouldn't be able to continue. I'd lose my voice, I sneeze, I get headaches.

Mr Len Wood: Bert, you shouldn't be representing Perth county if you're allergic to flowers because Perth county is full of flowers.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to address the member for Cochrane North. Do you have a comment to make to the Chair properly of this committee?

Mr Len Wood: I made it earlier.

The Second Deputy Chair: Then I would ask you to come to order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bella Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bella Court living in the urban area."

Excuse me. I wanted to just address the member for Port Arthur that I didn't want to offend whoever donated those. It's just that I do have severe allergies to them.

Mrs McLeod: We appreciate that, Mr Chairman. Perhaps you would rule on what is admissible in the Legislative Assembly because certainly given the circumstances, if we were able to bring such substances as tea in, we'd be happy to take the flowers off and substitute that.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Fort William has been here long enough to know that tea is not —

Mrs McLeod: I always thought that flowers were not either, but I was mistaken —

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, just on the point of your allergies.

The Second Deputy Chair: On the point of my allergies —

Mr Bisson: On the point of your allergies —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please sit down and bring yourself to order. My allergies have no point of order in this committee.

2040

Mr Bisson: Point of order, Mr Chair: I want to understand correctly. Whenever a member is allergic to a particular item that is in this Legislature, your ruling is if I'm allergic, you can withdraw it.

Interjection.

Mr Bisson: I'm allergic to the bill that the government has before us and I would ask that the government withdraw Bill 103 from the House as there's a whole bunch of people in Toronto who are allergic to what this government is trying to do.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: If you can kick flowers out of here, why can't we kick out this bill?

The Second Deputy Chair: Please bring yourself to order. Order.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellair Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellair Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 - “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellamy Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellamy Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellbrook Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellbrook Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellbury Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellbury Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellechasse Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellechasse Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Lake Nipigon, you are out of order.

Mr Pouliot: Why, Mr Chair? Under which standing order? You said I'm out of order. What is it? Get off my case.

2050

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellefair Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellefair Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellefontaine Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellefontaine Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belleglade Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belleglade Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellehaven Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellehaven Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellemeade Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellemeade Lane living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellevue Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellevue Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellevue Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellevue Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellhaven Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellhaven Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2100

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellholme Ln living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellholme Ln living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Bisson: Chair, one nay and eight yeas, and the nays got it?

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection” —

Mr Bisson: Have some respect for the Chair, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: The people close to you will not be able to hear if you keep interjecting.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellman Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellman Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Sergio: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I usually don't do this, but I just got a call in my constituency office and it had to do with the proceeding that is going on in the House. I know you have been trying, I know you have, to try and slow down and make people on the other side understand. I give you the name and the address and the telephone number of the person who has called because he's sincerely concerned. His name is Gary Langlet; I hope I've got it right. The address is 41 Mayall Avenue. The telephone number is 245-7663. He's a post-graduate and he's complaining that the average person can't understand because of the speed we are going through this. He says that if you can please read it in a reading way, it would be much appreciated.

The Second Deputy Chair: That was a point of order that was ruled on before.

Mr Sergio: Thank you very much, Mr Chairman.

The Second Deputy Chair: Our sympathies to the gentleman and we'll take notice of that.

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, we've had a number of calls come in at our switchboard —

The Second Deputy Chair: Is this the same point of order?

Mr Bisson: A separate point of order: We've had a number of calls come in at our number, Toronto, 416-325-8300, where people are calling in and saying you're speaking much too fast and they're having a problem trying to hear you. I would urge you to slow down again. The number for the NDP office is 325-8300.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. That is not a different point of order. It was ruled on before.

Mr Baird: On a point of order, Mr Chair: We've taken a calculator, and at the speed you're going at you will save the Ontario taxpayers \$2.75 million because we're spending a quarter of a million dollars a day on these amendments. Your actions will save the taxpayers \$2.75 million.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to rule on this point of order: That is not a point of order.

Mrs McLeod: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The Speaker, who is responsible for the conduct of the Legislative Assembly, has made it very clear on Newsworld today that there is no cost of what we are doing here to the taxpayers. That is a statement of the Speaker of the Legislature and I would ask the members to observe the correctness of what he says.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

Mrs McLeod: Mr Chair —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, no, you're finished. I have given you —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. There is a member in this House who wants to stand up and address me properly. Would you please come to order. The Chair recognizes the member. On a different point of order?

Mr Sergio: Yes. My point of order is this, Mr Chair: The caller and the call are —

Mr Baird: That is a great point of order, Mario.

Mr Sergio: Mr Chairman.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm listening.

Mr Sergio: The call I got is from a taxpayer of Ontario. He's concerned with the way we are running the business in this House. If the government side is so concerned with taxpayers' money, then tell them to withdraw this idiotic bill. They are the ones spending taxpayers' money. It is not people being affected. It is the people on that side who should be withdrawing this bill. You should be withdrawing this piece of garbage.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

Mr Sergio: You don't want to listen to the taxpayers.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would the member for Yorkview please take your seat while I get order here.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Is the member for Yorkview finished his point of order?

Mr Sergio: Yes, thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: Then it is not a point of order.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay, order please. Come on, settle down. I wish you all a good evening. We're about to begin with an NDP motion, subsection 24(4) —

Applause.

2110

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: A point of order, member for Cochrane South. I need order so I can hear the point of order.

Mr Bisson: Madam Chair, I just want to bring to your attention that, as you know, the standing orders are quite clear that a Chair is not supposed to be partisan. A Chair is supposed to be neutral and is supposing to rule and watch the rules in regard to the rights of the minority and the rights of the government. I clearly saw in this case the Chair of the House leave to the applause of the government, to which he bowed. Through the decisions that the Chair has made, it has been fairly clear he has been fairly partisan.

The First Deputy Chair: I hear your point. Thank you. I was under the impression actually that some of them were applauding me. So I suggest that —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

Mr Pouliot: Are you allergic to flowers?

The First Deputy Chair: No, I'd love it if somebody gave me some flowers.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Point of order, member for Markham.

Hon David H. Tsubouchi (Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations): Madam Chair, I certainly hope the member's not impugning motive —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: — to the former Chair. The Chair had acted quite well and I might remind the members of the third party that the last time the present Chair had left the House, she left to the applause of the third party as well. We didn't impugn any motive in that. We thought you did an excellent job as well, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: Let's start anew here. I think what I'd like to say is that I believe it is the duty of all of the chairs to be impartial when we put on this little outfit and sit here in this chair. It is our duty to be neutral and it is your duty —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order. Order, please. It is our duty to be neutral and it is indeed your duty, if you think we're being partisan anyway, to let us know that, and I appreciate it when you do. If, for some reason, I think it's happening when I am in the chair, I would be happy to hear about it. Thank you for your point of order. It was not a point of order.

I would now like to move on to NDP motion, subsection 4(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellrock Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellrock Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellrock Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellrock Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellvare Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellvare Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Before we move on, could I once again remind all members to be vigilant and be ready to respond. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellwoods Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellwoods Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

2120

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bellwoods Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bellwoods Place living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Marion Boyd (London Centre): Point of order, Madam Speaker: I wish to withdraw the next amendment.

The First Deputy Chair: Withdrawn.

Member for London Centre, could I clarify with you, are you withdrawing the Belmont Lake one? I just want to clarify.

Mrs Boyd: Yes.

The First Deputy Chair: NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belmont Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belmont Street living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I have some order, please? Attorney General, could I ask you to come to order? Thank you. Member for Lake Nipigon; member for Fort William.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belmore Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belmore Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belmuir Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belmuir Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belper Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belper Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

2130

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belsay Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belsay Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belshaw Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belshaw Place living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belsize Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belsize Drive living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belton Road living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belvale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belvale Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belvedere Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belvedere Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

140

Mr Floyd Laughren (Nickel Belt): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I don't know what's going on in the government benches but there must be a shift change or something

going on because it's very difficult to hear the Chair reading the amendments. I know we want to hear them because each one is different, and we'd like to hear them.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you for the point of order. I would ask the members to try to keep the noise level down a bit because I'm having trouble hearing myself even.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belvia Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belvia Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belvidere Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belvidere Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Belyea Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Belyea Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Member for Oakwood, could I ask you to take your seat, please. Could I ask all members to tone it down just a little bit, please. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bemberg Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bemberg Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

Ms Lankin: These are important. You need to hear every one of these, John. If you cared about what people said, you would want to hear these.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, come to order, please. Would all members come to order, please. It’s a little bit too noisy.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bemersyde Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bemersyde Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bemberg Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:" —

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Speaker: I believe it is Bemerton Court.

The First Deputy Chair: The one I'm doing now — it's called Bemberg — or the previous one?

Mrs Boyd: Bemerton.

The First Deputy Chair: Oh, that's the previous one that we just —

150

Mrs Boyd: The next one then is Ben Alder.

The First Deputy Chair: That does not coincide with what you're saying. The one we did previously was Bemersyde Drive.

Mrs Boyd: And the next one should be Bemerton Court.

The First Deputy Chair: I have Bemberg Court next, so let's just straighten this out.

Mrs Boyd: You've done Bemersyde Drive and the next one should be Bemerton Court.

The First Deputy Chair: What I have in front of me is Bemberg Court.

Mrs Boyd: That was the one before Bemersyde Drive. I think we're going the wrong way.

The First Deputy Chair: Let me begin again.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bemerton Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bemerton Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order, Madam Chair: With high respect, we know it's tedious work indeed and some of the names seem to be synonymous. The next time that there is confusion, that confusion does arise as to the location of the street, would you kindly stop the clock?

The First Deputy Chair: The clock, as I understand — and I believe the member is very well aware of this — is not running at the moment, but thank you for having that clarified for anybody in this room who may not be aware of that tonight. Thank you.

Mrs McLeod: It's running.

The First Deputy Chair: Well, it's running, yes, but thank you very much. I'm sure everybody here now is aware.

Ms Lankin: Madam Chair, on another point of order, given that we've had an interruption, instead of interrupting you after we get back into amendments: I just wanted to let you know that I've received a number of phone calls from people who are watching these proceedings: Helen from North York, who is 81 years old; Carol Mackenzie from Newmarket; Mrs Underwood from the city of York; Carol Pettit from Toronto; Graham Rogers from Niagara Falls; Graham Sumner from Niagara Falls; Ferland LeCaste from Scarborough; Edith Ashmore from Cobourg, who is 15 years old, at the other end of the spectrum; Keith Clark from Hamilton; Jerry Thompson from Brampton; Raphael Afra from Toronto; Doris from Lindsay; and George Christianson from Niagara Falls.

Madam Chair, I think that —

Interruption

The First Deputy Chair: Could I please ask for quiet in the galleries, thank you. The rules are very clear on that.

Ms Lankin: I'm sorry. I believe that this will be difficult for you to deal with because I understand that you have just assumed the chair. These calls unfortunately have come in and by the time they get taken off the machine and transmitted to me in the House, during the period of time when the former Chair was presiding — that's Bert Johnson — all of these people who have called in have said that it is impossible to understand the way in which he is treating the procedures, they feel he treats them with disdain.

Madam Chair, I know that you cannot make a ruling on that. I understand that. I would simply plead with you, when you next meet with the presiding officers would you please take the points of view of these citizens of Ontario and the hundreds of others we have been reporting over the course of the evening to that meeting to please, as a group, try to deal with this very significant problem and to try to ensure that the people here, as well as the people watching, are able to have full access to the democratic process taking place in this Legislative Assembly?

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you very much, member for Beaches-Woodbine. On the same point? Member for Nepean.

Mr Baird: We too have received a good number of calls. Susan in Toronto, Mary in Greensville and Bob in Prescott, who makes \$10.80 an hour, who's fed up with us having to spend \$10,000 an hour running this place for these frivolous amendments — \$10,000 an hour. Bob makes

\$10.80 an hour and we're spending \$10,000 an hour. It's outrageous.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, come to order.

Neither of these points of order are points of order. I have no problem, however, in endeavouring to discuss the issue when the table officers next meet. We often discuss how proceedings are going in the House and I have no problem. I think it would be probably useful to discuss it.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Nepean, order please.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Member for St Catharines, point of order.

Mr Bradley: Thank you, Madam Chair. Because the member for Nepean intervened, I think you ruled it out of order. Mine may be. I have a call from the city of Brockville and individuals concerned at the closing of the psychiatric hospital by this government.

The First Deputy Chair: That is definitely out of order. Thank you. Member for Fort William, a point of order.

Mrs McLeod: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. I do take it very seriously, which is why I want to raise a serious point of order, which is once again to suggest that the member who denies and states totally different factual information about the costs of operating this assembly is in contempt of the statements made by the Speaker, who has clearly and publicly said that those numbers are not true.

I would suggest furthermore that people would be a lot less upset about the bill that's before this House if the government hadn't conveniently forgotten about \$1 billion extra they were dumping on municipalities.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Mr Pouliot: Point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: Just a moment. Order, please. On the same point of order or another?

Mr Pouliot: Yes, and very important and very timely. I have just received, Madam Chair, an urgent message from the people in Lake Nipigon. There's a group of people who are glued to their television set and they asked your good office. They're asking the following question: Is the cost of doing business in the Legislative Assembly more, the same or less than \$3 billion of downloading to municipalities? That's what they wish to know.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. I am going to respond to that point of order. It is my duty as the Chair to make sure, under the rules, that the proceedings take place here and we do these amendments. The member for Fort William is quite correct in that, no matter what your personal views are of this bill, each and every amendment I am dealing with individually and they have been ruled in order.

It is my job to make sure that these amendments are read and a voice vote is taken tonight. From my point of view, the argument around whether or not they're frivolous has been decided already by the Speaker, has been ruled on, and it is my job to get on with this and read the amendments to you tonight and I propose that we get back now to the amendments at hand.

Mrs McLeod: Madam Chair, further to my point, the Speaker has also publicly discounted the claims of the

members of the government about the cost of what we are doing.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

Interjection.

2200

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Fort William, come on now. Let's have some order. Just take your seat for a moment. You have a point of order, government House leader?

Hon David Johnson: Madam Chair, just a clarification from yourself. My understanding is that the ruling of the Speaker actually did not pertain to the 8,000 amendments that we have, which are word for word the same, except that each one interjects a different street or a different lake or a different industrial area or a different stadium or something different.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Order. Member for Beaches-Woodbine, come to order.

Hon David Johnson: I don't know why the member for Beaches-Woodbine yells and screams every time she disagrees with somebody.

The First Deputy Chair: Can you get back to your point of order?

Hon David Johnson: My understanding is that the Speaker's ruling was on two particular amendments that pertain to two particular streets. I would seek your clarification on that.

The First Deputy Chair: My clarification would be this: The Speaker ruled, you're quite right, on a particular amendment. Nobody to date has challenged any of these other amendments, so I am proceeding on the basis that all of these amendments are in order under our rules and if there's a ruling otherwise at some point about any of these individually, that will be dealt with. But in the meantime, this is in order.

Hon David Johnson: I don't disagree with you, but you are confirming that in fact the Speaker has not ruled on the other 8,000 amendments which are precisely the same, only on the two.

The First Deputy Chair: Let me clarify my understanding of the Speaker's ruling. He ruled on an individual and did make it very clear that we could not be dealing with hypothetical 8,000 — or whatever number you want to put on it — amendments, so I too am dealing individually with each amendment as they come forward to me, which under the rules are in order.

Interjection.

Hon David Johnson: I'm sorry, I can't hear you. The member for Beaches-Woodbine is hollering and screaming again. We're having a hard time. It seems that when she disagrees, that's her tack. Could you repeat the last part?

The First Deputy Chair: I said that I am dealing with these motions, these amendments, individually, one by one as they are placed before me to read to the members to vote on and they are, as I read them, put before you in order. They, under the rules, are in order. That is what I am clarifying.

Okay? Thank you. All right, are we ready?

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, come to order.

Ms Lankin: Again?

The First Deputy Chair: Again.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Ben Alder Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Ben Alder Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Ben Doran Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Ben Doran Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Ben Nevis Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Ben Nevis Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Peter Kormos (Welland-Thorold): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I noted on news reports today that your colleague the Speaker indicated to the news media that it would take 40 to 70 days for these amendments to be read. I rely, of course, upon Speaker Stockwell's expertise in that regard. Are you in a position to confirm the Speaker's estimate in that regard of some 40 to 70 days based on the information you have now? Are you in a position to confirm that it will take 40 to 70 days to read these amendments?

The First Deputy Chair: I don't think I'm in the position to confirm or deny anything at the moment.

Hon Robert W. Runciman (Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services): How many millions of tax dollars will that cost Ontario taxpayers? Confirm that.

Mr Howard Hampton (Rainy River): You spent \$8 million on your partisan election campaign, then you are going to lecture someone. You spent \$8 million of taxpayers' dollars on partisan ads.

The First Deputy Chair: Leader of the third party, come to order, please. To the member for Welland-Thorold, I'd just like to say to you I'm in no position to confirm or deny anything at this point.

2210

Mr Kormos: Further on the point of order, Madam Chair: Similar reports refer to Speaker Stockwell as dismissing the government's claim of the great cost being incurred. He indicated there's a set budget for the operation of Queen's Park, it's an annual budget, and that today cost no more or no less than any other day of the operation of this building. The Speaker very gently dismissed the allegations made in the propaganda by the government. Is the Deputy Chair in a position at this point to indicate that Speaker Stockwell was correct in that regard?

The First Deputy Chair: I can't confirm or deny anything the Speaker may or may not have said today. I personally didn't hear it. I can't confirm that.

Hon David Johnson: Just to assist the member for Welland-Thorold, which I'm sure he'd be very happy with, it does cost \$94 million to run this Legislature. That, divided by 365 days in the year, works out to about a quarter of a million dollars a day to run this Legislature. If the Speaker is right and it takes 40 days to complete, then by process that would be \$10 million, 70 days would take closer to \$20 million, and that is the cost the taxpayers will be required to pay through their taxes to support the amendments with regard to the streets and these sittings.

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Everybody sit down for just a moment, please. We are entering into debate here, which is not relevant to these amendments. I appreciate your points of order but they are not points of order.

Mr Bradley: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I'm here with a very helpful suggestion to you. I would like to suggest that in the interest of time we get on with putting these amendments and giving the voice vote on these so we can proceed.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24" —

Mr Hampton: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I'd like your ruling on this. We can confirm that the government is spending \$8 million a year of taxpayers' money on partisan political ads and \$50 million over the next two years on partisan ads featuring the Premier. I'd like you to rule on whether that is saving taxpayers' money.

The First Deputy Chair: I'm going to say again that that is not a point of order. It has no relevance. All these recent points of order, except for that of the member for St Catharines, have no relevance to the introduction of these amendments. You are entering into debate or questions you might want to ask at question period. It has no relevance at this table now.

Government House leader, if your point of order is on the costs or perceived costs or different perceived costs of these sittings, it is not a point of order.

Hon David Johnson: I only want to be helpful to the leader of the third party, who is concerned, as we all are, about the advertising costs. I wish to assure him that the advertising costs for the government will be less than half of what he spent when he was in government.

The First Deputy Chair: I have to put a stop to this right now. No more. It is not relevant and it is entirely out of order. You're in debate and you're bringing up things that should be brought up in debate or question period.

I'm now going to proceed with NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Ben Stanton Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Ben Stanton Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Mr Baird: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benadair Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benadair Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I know you have suggested that a number of the points of order that have been raised with respect to the cost of proceedings etc enter into the area of debate, but I want to inform the member for Nepean, who consistently requests dispensing with the reading of these amendments, that when he does that and you have to ask if we will dispense and we then respond no, we've timed that. That takes exactly three seconds. Three seconds times 11,500 amendments divided by 60 minutes works out to about another 500 minutes, about another six hours of time. For the government which doesn't want to waste money on the democratic process, I suggest to you that you may want to stop your frivolous exercise of asking to dispense for the necessary reading of amendments into the record.

220

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, it's not a point of order.

Mrs McLeod: On a point of order, Madam Chair: The government House leader on behalf of the government has been fit to provide all members of the Legislative Assembly with information of the government's calculations as to the cost of operating the Legislative Assembly on a daily basis. I would appreciate it if the government House leader would be able for us, using his own calculations, which we may or may not wish to challenge, the cost that is being incurred for bringing forward two bills, Bill 103 and Bill 104, in direct contravention of the wishes of the citizenry and how much that is costing the taxpayers of Ontario.

The First Deputy Chair: Just a moment here. There's nothing out of order in terms of what we're doing here with these amendments. In terms of what we're doing under the rules, there's nothing under this procedure that would give me the ability to ask for this to be tabled. Perhaps you could talk to the government House leader and request that he supply that to you and members of the Legislature.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benalto Road living in the urban area

shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benalto Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benary Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benary Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Kennedy: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I think for the public watching it's important for them to know the context of what's happening here tonight and to be aware that there were hundreds of people here tonight in a candle-light vigil, some of them associated with Citizens for Local Democracy, and here also tonight filling the galleries. I think it's very important for people to know that this has elicited the interest of the public and this is the context in which the debate is taking place.

The First Deputy Chair: It is not a point of order. I welcome everybody to the gallery tonight, but that is not a point of order.

We'll move on to an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benbow Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benbow Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bendale Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bendale Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benedict Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benedict Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

2230

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benfrisco Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benfrisco Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bengal Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bengal Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Kormos: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I'm trying to comply with the rules as best I can. When you

indicate, for instance in the case of the last vote, that "the nays have it" — I believe that's what you said — it's incumbent upon members who want a recorded vote to stand. I wish you'd give us all a chance to stand before you indicate that there's going to be a deferred vote. This isn't a race. We several times already have only been half-standing and you've said the vote will be deferred. That's not fair to me. I want the opportunity to participate among the five or more people standing. So would you please hesitate a moment to give us a chance to stand. This isn't a race. We've got 40 days and 40 nights. There's something quite biblical about that.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you, member for Welland-Thorold. Let me clarify. As soon as I see five people on their feet, I have the number that I need in order to count the votes, so —

Mr Kormos: Maybe they would slow down a little bit to let me participate too. Come on, now.

The First Deputy Chair: If you want to make sure you're one of the five, you do have to be quick on your feet, I'm afraid.

Mr Kormos: I can stay standing, but Deputy Chair Bert Johnson got upset when I stood. He got upset when I did virtually anything.

The First Deputy Chair: Well, I can't comment on that. Thank you for your point of order. I hope I've clarified it. NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benhur Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benhur Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benjamin Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benjamin Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: This is a point of order that I actually raised with you earlier in the evening in an earlier proceeding when you were in the chair, but since that time we have had a subsequent Chair who has continued to behave in a way that is inconsistent with the way you are behaving. I once again need to seek clarification because I believe, as members of the assembly, that we are due some consistency in terms of the rulings and the behaviours of the Chair.

This is with respect to the amendment that we just passed. As you know, it is an amendment that affected the residents of Benjamin, as you said, Boulevard. I would point out to you that in the amendment it actually reads “Benjamin Blvd” with no period. The no period is important, because quite earlier on we had a ruling from a previous Chair, Mr Johnson, and Bert Johnson in fact, faced with a similar amendment — in that case I was here and I remember it; it was quite early on because it was in the A’s, Madam Chair. It was Andrews, and in that case it was Andrews Gardens, but it was printed, as this was, in the short form: “Gdns.”

2240

Speaker Johnson took considerable time in consulting with the table officers at that time, on his own accord, because he was genuinely disturbed, and his instructions to us at that point were that because “Gdns” did not have a period afterwards, therefore he would not accept it as a legitimate abbreviation. He insisted in reading Andrews Gardens, as he went through the amendment, as Andrews “Guhdns.” We thought that a little bizarre, but he did. We

thought it even further bizarre when he came to, and I’m going to use Andrews as the example, Circle; it was Andrews “Cir”; Andrews Square was Andrews “Skwuh”; Andrew Crescent, Andrew “Cres”.

I pointed out to you that there was another example of —
The First Deputy Chair: Is this a new point of order? I did rule on —

Ms Lankin: You did, but there has been a subsequent period of time in which Speaker Johnson, Chair —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Well, I have to hear her because she says she has something to add to it.

Ms Lankin: Deputy Speaker Johnson was in the chair between the last time you ruled and now, and I’d point out to you that the last time you were the Chair, I raised it with you with an example which was Bellamy Avenue West. Again, “West” was abbreviated “W” with no period. I suggested to you that, to be consistent with Speaker Johnson’s rulings, you would have to read that out as “Bellamy Avenue Whu”. You at that point said that you had your own interpretation. What I am asking for is some consistency. In the two hours, some time after you were in the chair, that Speaker Johnson was back in here, we continued to see examples of Speaker Johnson referring to Andrews Gardens as “Guhdns” and Andrews Square as “Skwuh.” I would think in this case, Benjamin Blvd, without a period, would become “Benjamin Blevd.”

I would say to you that there needs to be some consistency. The previous Chair continues to behave in a way very different than you, and I would ask you once again, if you are unable at this point in time to rectify the situation, to please bring this up at a table officers’ meeting and to bring some consistency to the way in which these amendments — because, as we know, we’re likely to be here for another 40 days and 40 nights. It would be helpful if there were some consistency in how they were treated.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Just a moment.

Mr Kormos: How could it be “Circle” when I’ve lived on Jones “Cir” for the last 30 years?

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Welland Thorold —

Mr Kormos: He almost named me. I almost got tossed out.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Welland-Thorold, take your seat, please. I did rule on this earlier. I know that the member for Beaches-Woodbine asked me a different question this time, and I would say again, of course I will be happy to raise this at a subsequent meeting with the other table officers. Thank you.

Mr Bradley: Madam Speaker, a very brief point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: On a point of order, the member for St Catharines.

Mr Bradley: I just wanted to draw to your attention that the member for Scarborough East has arrived from the Albany Club.

The First Deputy Chair: That is definitely not in order. Now we will get back to an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benjamin Boake Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benjamin Boake Trail living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benlamond Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benlamond Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Kormos: On a point of privilege, Chair: I believe I should apologize, because this is the first opportunity I've had. Chair, I refer to some statements I put on the record. I'm referring to the Hansard of February 27. For your assistance, I believe it's appropriate for me to refer to the Hansard, page 7301. I said, as the Hansard at page 7301 says:

We're gonna clean out the Eves, chase off the thieves,
tell Mike Harris where to go.

We're gonna flush 'em down the drain,
pull the Leach from our veins, and free Ontario.

'Cause Johnson's a weenie and so is Palladini,
and Mike keeps Harrissing the poor.

We're gonna send all those dopes back to the slopes,
and free Ontario.

And when we kick out their butts, we'll cut all the cuts,
and tell them, megacity, no.

We're going to break off our chains,
pull the Leach from our veins, and free Ontario.

'Cause Johnson's a weenie and so is Palladini,
they all keep Harrissing the poor.

We're gonna send all those dinks back to the links,
and free Ontario.

Now, Chair, I apologize. I apologize to Robert Priest, the author, because I didn't seek permission from him before I used those lyrics in this House. I hope he doesn't consider that a breach of any copyright protection that he might have had. I sincerely apologize to Robert Priest. Next time I will seek his permission before I use his lyrics in this forum.

2250

The First Deputy Chair: I want to respond to the point of privilege. I was conferring with the table officers. When somebody stands on a point of privilege to apologize for something they have said, it is a little bit complex. I understand it has to be timely but on the other hand I was checking the rules because privilege is something — there is a very delicate balance and I was checking with the table officer to see if at this point I can accept that point of privilege.

All right. I appreciate your point of privilege, but the discussion we're having here at the table is whether it's timely, and it isn't in the context of what we're doing now: taking votes, dealing with amendments. I appreciate your raising it but I would also appreciate in the future that you take other opportunities if you wish to raise these points of privilege.

Mr Tony Clement (Brampton South): On a point of privilege, Madam Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold used intemperate and unparliamentary language. I would submit to you that you cannot say indirectly what you cannot say directly in this House, and I would ask you to rule accordingly and ask the honourable member to withdraw without repeating the words which he used in this House.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you for your point of privilege. You have to understand the difficulty I'm having with this. Let me explain. The member for Welland-Thorold was quoting —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Excuse me. I'm trying to explain a point of privilege here.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for Fort William, please come to order. The member for Welland-Thorold was quoting from Hansard something he's already stated in this House, which was not ruled out of order at that time. He was quoting directly out of Hansard. I've already ruled that it is not in order right now to raise that point of privilege, but I would also say that in my opinion, and my ruling is, when you are quoting from something that's been in Hansard, and in this case he was attempting to apologize for having said it, I don't accept that your —

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: On a point of order, Madam Chair: In other words, it's appropriate language for me to call the member for Welland-Thorold a weenie?

The First Deputy Chair: No, but we are getting into —

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): Why didn't you ask him to retract?

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Because that's part of what he did say with respect to some of the members here.

The First Deputy Chair: Order. If you want an answer, you'll have to listen. I think we're in a very precarious situation here where —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for London Centre, come to order, please — I've now ruled it is not timely to apologize for that at this time in this forum. We are now entering into somewhat of a debate as to whether it's appropriate for what he said — whether it was in order. I am saying to you that it is difficult for me to debate it, given that at the end of the day I've ruled it out of order at this time. But I would still say to you that he was quoting from Hansard, and how else would somebody apologize for something they've said when it's already in Hansard, not corrected or not ruled out of order at that time?

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: I wouldn't disagree with you, Madam Chair, in terms of the process you went through. However, what I'm asking you right now is whether it would be appropriate or inappropriate for me to call the member for Welland-Thorold a weenie.

Mr Kormos: You didn't ask him to retract when he referred to —

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: That was not asked to be retracted, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: I see your point, but no. I think members should always refrain from using language that is inflammatory and is provocative, but I also in my view — and I know again this is a delicate balance, but he was not directly calling anybody a weenie. He was reading from Hansard a poem that he had read into Hansard on February — whatever the date was — that was written by somebody else who used the word "weenie."

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: I would agree with you, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: In my opinion, the member for Welland-Thorold —

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Is a weenie?

The First Deputy Chair: — did not call directly anybody a weenie.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Oh, I see. Sorry. Thank you, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: You're welcome.

Mr Sergio: Madam Chair —

The First Deputy Chair: Is this a point of order?

Mr Sergio: Yes, it is, on what the member for Welland-Thorold was saying. It's totally on Bill 103, Madam Chair, and I would like you to hear me out and I wonder if you can perhaps through your good judgment tell the House if maybe he was stating a fact of how the people feel about Bill 103. So perhaps —

The First Deputy Chair: That is not appropriate.

Mr Sergio: I wouldn't say there's totally anything wrong with what he had said.

The First Deputy Chair: No, please take your seat. That is not appropriate.

Mr Kormos: On a point of privilege, Madam Chair: That song by Robert Priest is now available in a wonderful CD called "Mega-CD" available at record stores across Toronto. I urge people to buy it.

The First Deputy Chair: No, the member for Welland-Thorold, take your seat. Please, no applauding in the gallery. Take your seat. Okay, let's get back to these important amendments.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benlamond Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benlamond Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benlark Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benlark Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Should the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

300

Mr Baird: On a point of order, Madam Speaker: I've been checking, consulting the record, and members haven't gotten up and correct the record themselves when they've made an error. I have been using the figure of a quarter of a million dollars a day that we're erasing. It's 280,000 of the taxpayers' money we're erasing — outrageous, absolutely outrageous.

The First Deputy Chair: That is completely out of order. Put the paper down, please. You know you're not supposed to —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Calm down, everybody. That was completely out of order.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: I am not going to engage in another discussion. We've already been through that. Let me hear your point of privilege, but I want to make it clear, if it's on this, it is not to be discussed.

Mr Kormos: On a point of privilege, Madam Chair: I know the Chair has ruled on this inappropriate demonstration, but I find my privileges interfered with because this government hadn't raised MPPs' salaries immedi-

ately after they docked single mothers' pay by 21.6%, it might not be that high.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for York South, did you have a point of order?

Mr Kennedy: Madam Chair, a point of privilege, because I think our privileges are offended when we let this kind of discussion go so far off the rails. I'd like to suggest we return to the amendments, but I think it is important that the people watching appreciate that what we're talking about is the total operating costs of the House, that 65% of it belongs to the members opposite and \$170,000 is what they're paying per day for this representation that took away from us any possibility of discussing the future of Toronto by giving us only one hour. Therefore, they're responsible for any excess costs and they're certainly responsible and people need to know what we're paying for that kind of representation.

The First Deputy Chair: We are not in debate right now. The member for York South, thank you. That is not a point of privilege. Let's go back, as the member for York South mentioned at the beginning, to our amendments.

The member for Cornwall on a point of order.

Mr John C. Cleary (Cornwall): Thanks, Madam Speaker. I would like to ask you, on a point of order: It's my understanding that to heckle in this House you have to be in your seat. Is that correct?

The First Deputy Chair: Well, no.

Mr Cleary: The other thing I want to ask you: To stand up in this House to vote, the five members have to be in their seats. On the voice vote, can you heckle and be in someone else's seat?

The First Deputy Chair: I will respond to the first point. Heckling is always out of order, always, and as I think you've heard the Speaker say at times, especially when you're out of your seat, but in fact you're out of order heckling in your seat or out of your seat.

On the second point, the member for Cornwall is quite right. You must be in your seat to stand for a vote.

Ms Lankin: But not for voice votes.

Mr Gilchrist: To stand for a vote, not for a voice vote.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Perhaps that will make us all calm down a little bit. We've been reminded by the member for Cornwall that heckling is entirely out of order, in or out of your seats.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benleigh Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benleigh Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benlight Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benlight Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

2310

The Chair: We’ll now deal with the NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benner Avenue living in the urban area” —

I wonder if it could be a little more quiet so that I can read the amendment properly as it should be read.

— “shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benner Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

Interjections.

The Chair: I cannot hear anything. I would ask you just to refrain — if you want to talk, you can go outside the House.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall” —

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you for your cooperation, please.

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Gravelle: Mr Chair, on a point of order —

The Chair: On a point of order on the amendment. It has to be on the amendment.

Mr Gravelle: Yes, it is on this amendment.

The Chair: I don’t want any debate outside of the amendment.

Mr Gravelle: No.

The Chair: I will listen to you.

Mr Gravelle: The previous Chair made a ruling on a question asked by the member for Cornwall about legitimacy of votes when there is a voice vote, that members had to be in their seats. A concern I think in terms of this precise vote of the last bill is that a number of members on the government side are not in their seats, and I wonder whether or not you’re relying on the voice vote for yeas and nays based potentially on that. We know that there are a number of members who are not in their seats, so I’m just expressing a concern about the legitimacy of the vote. If the members are not in their seats and are voicing a vote, perhaps it’s not a legitimate vote.

The Chair: The procedure is that if there is a recorded vote you should be in your seat. There is nothing wrong as long as they're there, as long as they don't disturb the peace. As long as they remain quiet and proper, I see nothing wrong.

Mr Gravelle: So, Chair, you could sit anywhere you want.

The Chair: It's a voice vote on this side; it's a recorded side on this side. So if it's a recorded vote, you have to be in your seat; if it's a voice vote, you can be anywhere you wish as long as you're in the House.

We now deal with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill" —

Interruption.

The Chair: I would ask the member from the gallery to remain quiet; otherwise, I have no other choice but to ask you to leave.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benight Drive in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benight Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given" — could you please keep the noise down. The member for Lakeshore, keep the noise down please.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We are now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bennett Road living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bennett Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

2320

We now deal with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bennington Heights Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bennington Heights Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benorama Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benorama Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benprice Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benprice Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benroyal Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benroyal Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benrubin Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benrubin Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

330

We're now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benshire Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benshire Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benson Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benson Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benstrow Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benstrow Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.”

Interjections.

The Chair: Order, please. All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We’re now dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bentley Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied” —

There’s no reason for members to talk louder than the officer in the House. I would ask you, please, to refrain from shouting and laughing too loudly, and if you wish to do so, I would ask you to do it outside the House. It would be very, very helpful.

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bentley Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bentley Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We’re now dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

2340

We’re now dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bentwick Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bentwick Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will

please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We are now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bentworth Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bentworth Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour —

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold, you're not allowed to speak to the members in the gallery.

All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benvenuto Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benvenuto Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Benway Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Benway Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beran Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beran Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We are now dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beresford Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beresford Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

2350

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bergamot Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bergamot Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We’re now dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bergen Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bergen Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We're now dealing with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bering Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bering Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkeley Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkeley Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public

hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Please, just keep the noise down.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkham Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkham Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Report continues in volume F.

**LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO
ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO**

Lieutenant Governor / Lieutenante-gouverneure: Hon / L'hon Hilary M. Weston
Speaker / Président: Hon / L'hon Chris Stockwell
Clerk / Greffier: Claude L. DesRosiers
Clerk Assistant and Executive Director of Legislative Services /
Greffière adjointe et directrice générale des Services législatifs: Deborah Deller
Clerks at the Table / Greffiers parlementaires: Todd Decker, Lisa Freedman
Sergeant-at-Arms / Sergent d'armes: Dennis Clark

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Algoma	Wildman, Bud (ND)	Hastings-Peterborough	Danford, Harry (PC)
Algoma-Manitoulin	Brown, Michael A. (L)	High Park-Swansea	Shea, Derwyn (PC)
Beaches-Woodbine	Lankin, Frances (ND)	Huron	Johns, Helen (PC)
Brampton North / -Nord	Spina, Joseph (PC)	Kenora	Miclash, Frank (L)
Brampton South / -Sud	Clement, Tony (PC)	Kingston and The Islands / Kingston et Les Îles	Gerretsen, John (L)
Brant-Haldimand	Preston, Peter L. (PC)	Kitchener	Wettlaufer, Wayne (PC)
Brantford	Johnson, Ron (PC)	Kitchener-Wilmot	Leadston, Gary L. (PC)
Bruce	Fisher, Barbara (PC)	Lake Nipigon / Lac-Nipigon	Pouliot, Gilles (ND)
Burlington South / -Sud	Jackson, Hon / L'hon Cameron (PC) Minister without Portfolio (seniors issues) / ministre sans portefeuille (affaires des personnes âgées)	Lambton	Beaubien, Marcel (PC)
Cambridge	Martiniuk, Gerry (PC)	Lanark-Renfrew	Jordan, W. Leo (PC)
Carleton	Sterling, Hon / L'hon Norman W. (PC) Minister of Environment and Energy / ministre de l'Environnement et de l'Énergie	Lawrence	Cordiano, Joseph (L)
Carleton East / -Est	Morin, Gilles E. (L)	Leeds-Grenville	Runciman, Hon / L'hon Robert W. (PC) Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services / solliciteur général et ministre des Services correctionnels
Chatham-Kent	Carroll, Jack (PC)	Lincoln	Sheehan, Frank (PC)
Cochrane North / -Nord	Wood, Len (ND)	London Centre / -Centre	Boyd, Marion (ND)
Cochrane South / -Sud	Bisson, Gilles (ND)	London North / -Nord	Cunningham, Hon / L'hon Dianne (PC) Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, minister responsible for women's issues / ministre des Affaires intergouvernementales, ministre déléguée à la Condition féminine
Cornwall	Cleary, John C. (L)	London South / -Sud	Wood, Bob (PC)
Don Mills	Johnson, Hon / L'hon David (PC) Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, government House leader / président du Conseil de gestion, leader parlementaire du gouvernement	Markham	Tsubouchi, Hon / L'hon David H. (PC) Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations / ministre de la Consommation et du Commerce
Dovercourt	Silipo, Tony (ND)	Middlesex	Smith, Bruce (PC)
Downsview	Castrilli, Annamarie (L)	Mississauga East / -Est	DeFaria, Carl (PC)
Dufferin-Peel	Tilson, David (PC)	Mississauga North / -Nord	Snobelen, Hon / L'hon John (PC) Minister of Education and Training / ministère de l'Éducation et de la Formation
Durham Centre / -Centre	Flaherty, Jim (PC)	Mississauga South / -Sud	Marland, Margaret (PC)
Durham East / -Est	O'Toole, John R. (PC)	Mississauga West / -Ouest	Sampson, Hon / L'hon Rob (PC) Minister with Portfolio (privatization) / ministre sans portefeuille (privatisation)
Durham West / -Ouest	Ecker, Hon / L'hon Janet (PC) Minister of Community and Social Services / ministre des Services sociaux et communautaires	Muskoka-Georgian Bay / Muskoka-Baie-Georgienne	Grimmett, Bill (PC)
Durham-York	Munro, Julia (PC)	Nepean	Baird, John R. (PC)
Eglinton	Saunderson, Hon / L'hon William (PC) Minister of Economic Development, Trade and Tourism / ministre du Développement économique, du Commerce et du Tourisme	Niagara Falls	Maves, Bart (PC)
Elgin	North, Peter (Ind)	Niagara South / -Sud	Hudak, Tim (PC)
Essex-Kent	Hoy, Pat (L)	Nickel Belt	Laughren, Floyd (ND)
Essex South / -Sud	Crozier, Bruce (L)	Nipissing	Harris, Hon / L'hon Michael D. (PC) Premier and President of the Executive Council / premier ministre et président du Conseil exécutif
Etobicoke-Humber	Ford, Douglas B. (PC)	Norfolk	Barrett, Toby (PC)
Etobicoke-Lakeshore	Kells, Morley (PC)	Northumberland	Galt, Doug (PC)
Etobicoke-Rexdale	Hastings, John (PC)	Oakville South / -Sud	Carr, Gary (PC)
Etobicoke West / -Ouest	Stockwell, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Speaker / Président	Oakwood	Colle, Mike (L)
Fort William	McLeod, Lyn (L)	Oriole	Vacant
Fort York	Marchese, Rosario (ND)	Oshawa	Ouellette, Jerry J. (PC)
Frontenac-Addington	Vankoughnet, Bill (PC)	Ottawa Centre / -Centre	Patten, Richard (L)
Grey-Owen Sound	Murdoch, Bill (PC)	Ottawa East / -Est	Grandmaitre, Bernard (L)
Guelph	Elliott, Brenda (PC)	Ottawa-Rideau	Guzzo, Garry J. (PC)
Halton Centre / -Centre	Young, Terence H. (PC)	Ottawa South / -Sud	McGuinty, Dalton (L) Leader of the Opposition / chef de l'opposition
Halton North / -Nord	Chudleigh, Ted (PC)	Ottawa West / -Ouest	Chiarelli, Robert (L)
Hamilton Centre / -Centre	Christopherson, David (ND)		
Hamilton East / -Est	Agostino, Dominic (L)		
Hamilton Mountain	Pettit, Trevor (PC)		
Hamilton West / -Ouest	Ross, Lillian (PC)		

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Scarborough North / -Nord	Hardeman, Ernie (PC)	Scarborough North / -Nord	Curling, Alvin (L)
Scarborough West / -Ouest	Ruprecht, Tony (L)	Scarborough West / -Ouest	Brown, Jim (PC)
Simcoe Centre / -Centre	Eves, Hon / L'hon Ernie L. (PC) Deputy Premier, Minister of Finance / vice-premier ministre, ministre des Finances	Simcoe Centre / -Centre	Tascona, Joseph N. (PC)
Simcoe East / -Est	Johnson, Bert (PC)	Simcoe East / -Est	McLean, Allan K. (PC)
Simcoe West / -Ouest	Stewart, R. Gary (PC)	Simcoe West / -Ouest	Wilson, Hon / L'hon Jim (PC) Minister of Health / ministre de la Santé
Sudbury	Gravelle, Michael (L)	Sudbury	Bartolucci, Rick (L)
Sudbury East / -Est	Lalonde, Jean-Marc (L)	Sudbury East / -Est	Martel, Shelley (ND)
Timiskaming		Timiskaming	Ramsay, David (L)
Victoria-Haliburton	Fox, Gary (PC)	Victoria-Haliburton	Hodgson, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Minister of Natural Resources, Minister of Northern Development and Mines / ministre des Richesses naturelles, ministre du Développement du Nord et des Mines
Waterloo North / -Nord	Rollins, E.J. Douglas (PC)	Waterloo North / -Nord	Witmer, Hon / L'hon Elizabeth (PC) Minister of Labour / ministre du Travail
Welland-Thorold	Hampton, Howard (ND) Leader of the New Democratic Party / Chef du Nouveau Parti démocratique	Welland-Thorold	Kormos, Peter (ND)
Wellington	Conway, Sean G. (L)	Wellington	Armott, Ted (PC)
Wentworth East / -Est	Churley, Marilyn (ND)	Wentworth East / -Est	Doyle, Ed (PC)
Wentworth North / -Nord	Villeneuve, Hon / L'hon Noble (PC) Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, minister responsible for francophone affairs / ministre de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation et des Affaires rurales, ministre délégué aux Affaires francophones	Wentworth North / -Nord	Skarica, Toni (PC)
Willowdale	Bassett, Isabel (PC)	Willowdale	Harnick, Hon / L'hon Charles (PC) Attorney General, minister responsible for native affairs / procureur général, ministre délégué aux Affaires autochtones
Wilson Heights	Bradley, James J. (L)	Wilson Heights	Kwinter, Monte (L)
Windsor-Riverside	Froese, Tom (PC)	Windsor-Riverside	Vacant
Windsor-Sandwich	Leach, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing / ministre des Affaires municipales et du Logement	Windsor-Sandwich	Pupatello, Sandra (L)
Windsor-Walkerville	Boushy, Dave (PC)	Windsor-Walkerville	Duncan, Dwight (L)
York Centre / -Centre	Martin, Tony (ND)	York Centre / -Centre	Palladini, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Transportation / ministre des Transports
York East / -Est		York East / -Est	Parker, John L. (PC)
York Mills		York Mills	Tumbull, David (PC)
York-Mackenzie		York-Mackenzie	Klees, Frank (PC)
Yorkview		Yorkview	Sergio, Mario (L)
York South / -Sud	Phillips, Gerry (L)	York South / -Sud	Kennedy, Gerard (L)
	Newman, Dan (PC)		
	Gilchrist, Steve (PC)		
	Mushinski, Hon / L'hon Marilyn (PC) Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation / ministre des Affaires civiques, de la Culture et des Loisirs		

ist arranged by members' surnames and including all
onsibilities of each member appears in the first and
issues of each session and on the first Monday of each
nth.

Une liste alphabétique des noms des députés, compre-
nant toutes les responsabilités de chaque député, figure
dans les premier et dernier numéros de chaque session
et le premier lundi de chaque mois.

CONTENTS

Thursday 3 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, *Mr Leach*

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Jeudi 3 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, *M. Leach*



10. 176F

N° 176F

ISSN 1180-2987

Legislative Assembly
of Ontario

First Session, 36th Parliament

**Official Report
of Debates
(Hansard)**

Friday 4 April 1997

Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers

Assemblée législative
de l'Ontario

Première session, 36^e législature

**Journal
des débats
(Hansard)**

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers



Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion, 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais 1-800-668-9938.



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Friday 4 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

Report continued from volume E.

001

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996 LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / *Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.*

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkindale Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you to try and not talk as loud as I do, otherwise there's no point in me trying to bring in an amendment. So I would ask you to keep it quiet and I ask for your cooperation.

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkindale Crescent living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the ayes have it. The vote is deferred.

The Acting Chair (Mr Bernard Grandmaître): An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkindale Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkindale Drive living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will say "aye." All those opposed will say "nay." In my opinion, the ayes have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkinshaw Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkinshaw Crescent living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will say “aye.” All those against will say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berkshire Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berkshire Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment say “aye.” All those against the amendment please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0010

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berl Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berl Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Another NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bermondsey Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bermondsey Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bermuda Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bermuda Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bernadine Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bernadine Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0020

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bernard Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bernard Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

I have been advised that when you stand to be recognized as being for the amendment, that you must be at your seat. You must stand at your seat. Is this clear? I hope it is clear. Thank you. Just a friendly reminder.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berner Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berner Trail living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berney Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berney Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bernice Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bernice Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bernice Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bernice Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the amendment please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

0030

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bernick Road living in the urban area

shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bernick Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berry Creek Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berry Creek Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berry Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berry Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berryman Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berryman Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berryton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berryton Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0040

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bertal Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bertal Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bertha Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bertha Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion.

Mr Bill Grimmitt (Muskoka-Georgian Bay): That’s four, Mr Chair.

Mr Derwyn Shea (High Park-Swansea): Four, Chair.

The Acting Chair: Order, please.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bertmount Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bertmount Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bertram Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bertram Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bertrand Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bertrand Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0050

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Berwick Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Berwick Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bessarion Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bessarion Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those against please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bessborough Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bessborough Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." All those against, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bessemer Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bessemer Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." All those against, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bestobell Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bestobell Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those against, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0100

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
 “(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bestview Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bestview Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those against, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
 “(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beta Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beta Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those against, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
 “(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beth Neilson Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beth Neilson Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those against, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
 “(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethany Leigh Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethany Leigh Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." All those against, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethley Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethley Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." All those against, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0110

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethnal Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethnal Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." All those against, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethridge Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethridge Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethune Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethune Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bethwin Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bethwin Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Betty Ann Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Betty Ann Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this amendment carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Betty Frank Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Betty Frank Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beulah Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beulah Street living in the urban area.”

The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South. Why are you standing?

Mr Gilles Bisson (Cochrane South): On a point of order, Mr Chair. Normally, that’s the reason you stand up. I believe I heard you say Mule Street.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, you didn’t.

Mr Bisson: You said what street?

The Second Deputy Chair: I said the street that’s on your sheet.

Mr Bisson: That’s right. I heard you say “Mule.” Would you tell me what street so I know which one you’re doing here?

The Second Deputy Chair: I said it twice.

Mr Bisson: I didn’t hear you; sorry.

The Second Deputy Chair: Beulah — B-e-u-l-a-h — Street — S-t-r-e-e-t.

Mr Bisson: Thank you very much. Oh, I have the wrong one.

The Second Deputy Chair: “2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0120

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bevdale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bevdale Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beveridge Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beveridge Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

as been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beverley Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beverley Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Are you standing on a point of order?

M. Bisson : Oui, Monsieur.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a moment. You’re going to address this in French?

M. Bisson : Oui. C’est ça l’idée.

The Second Deputy Chair: Proceed.

M. Bisson : J’attire à votre attention — c’est le temps de la soirée où parfois les mots ne viennent pas si vite — que vous êtes encore en train de lire les amendements très vite. Écoutez attentivement à l’écouteur la traduction française,

et beaucoup de fois les traducteurs ne sont pas capables de garder votre pas avec les amendements. Justement, la dernière fois que vous avez lu les paragraphes ii, iii et iv, il n’a pas eu la chance de dire que ça a été trop vite. Je vous demanderais de ralentir un petit peu pour donner la chance aux traducteurs de faire leur ouvrage, pour que les auditeurs à la maison qui écoutent en français, et autres comme moi, pourront du moins garder le pas.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. That is a point of order. Unfortunately, I have this Perth accent. It kind of goes in a hurry. I’m sorry if the interpreters can’t keep up but they’ll do the absolute best they can. They’re good people. They’ll give you everything they possibly can. I will try to slow down.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beverly Glen Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beverly Glen Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

M. Bisson : Un point d’ordre, Monsieur le Président : C’est quoi, un «Perth accent» ?

The Second Deputy Chair: This is not question period.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Beverly Hills Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Beverly Hills Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bewdley Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bewdley Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0130

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bexhill Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bexhill Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." Those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bexhill" — is that another one for Bexhill Road? Avenue? This one is the same. Were there two Bexhill Avenues? I'm throwing out one Bexhill Avenue, withdrawing it, whatever — discard it.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection"

I'd just like to finish my sentence before I —

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The process is normally you have to ask for unanimous consent in order to get rid of the first amendment so you can read the second, and I would ask for such consent.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm ruling it out of order.

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bexhill Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bexhill Court living in the urban area."

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, on a point of privilege: How does that stack up with your earlier ruling when there was a situation the last time you were in the chair?

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't know, but would you like to challenge it?

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: “2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion say “aye.” Those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This —

Mr John Hastings (Etobicoke-Rexdale): Dispense.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is there unanimous consent to dispense? There is not unanimous consent. We will not dispense.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bexley Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bexley Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bickerton Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bickerton Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bicknell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bicknell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held” —

Mr Bisson: Mr Speaker —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I’m doing something else right now. I’ll recognize you when I get around to it.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Cochrane South, you have a point of order?

Mr Bisson: No.

The Second Deputy Chair: — “and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bideford Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bideford Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1)” —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this the motion carry?

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): On a point of order —

The Second Deputy Chair: I’ll address that after I’ve taken the vote.

Mr Silipo: You went right to the end of the motion. You didn’t read it, Chair.

0140

The Second Deputy Chair: What did I miss?

Mr Silipo: You missed the whole motion. You started reading the first paragraph. When you resumed, you were at the end. I have been following you very closely, sir. That’s what you did.

The Second Deputy Chair: You’re rising on a point of order?

Mr Silipo: I am on a point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: If that’s the point of order, then I will try to correct it. What are you suggesting I do? Did you want me to read it again?

Mr Silipo: I suggest that you read it, yes.

The Second Deputy Chair: Okay, I’ll read it in its entirety. I want to make this process as fair and reasonable as I can. When I’m interrupted partway through, I will sometimes maybe not find the right place back, and so I apologize profusely.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection” —

Mr David Tilson (Dufferin-Peel): On a point of order, Mr Chair —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to hear this, and I would ask for your cooperation.

Mr Tilson: Mr Chairman, I notice whenever the member for Dovercourt stands up I have to look at a very offensive sign on his desk. I find it very offensive. I think it’s completely out of order for him to advertise or to put signs of demonstration on his desk, and I would ask you as Chair to order the member for Dovercourt to remove his advertising sign from his desk, particularly when he stands up to speak.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order, and I will rule on it. I would ask the member for Dovercourt maybe to take the box that I assume has the same copies as I have and just put it down beside you, if you wouldn’t mind, and that way it will not block my view of other members as well. I think it would accommodate —

Mr Silipo: Oh, I’m sorry. Yes, of course, it’s blocking your view. Absolutely.

The Second Deputy Chair: My thanks very much.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bidewell Avenue” — I know I read this before — “living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bidewell Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Big Bay Point living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Big Bay Point living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." Those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Chair: I would like, with your permission, to withdraw the following three amendments that I think are in the list in sequence.

The Second Deputy Chair: How many?

Mr Silipo: I think it's three, the next three.

The Second Deputy Chair: The next three? Fine.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I am addressing the member for Dovercourt on your point of order. I am withdrawing Big Bob Lake, Big Chute, Big Hawk Lake?

Mr Silipo: Yes.

The Second Deputy Chair: They are withdrawn.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection." Order, please. Please take your seat when I say, "Order." The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South on a point of order.

Mr Bisson: Mr Speaker, we've had a number of rulings in the House by the Speaker and by the Deputy Speaker as well that these amendments are perfectly in order and not vexatious in nature, and the member for Scarborough East again alludes that these amendments are vexatious in origin. I think it's incumbent upon you to clarify —

The Second Deputy Chair: Take your seat. That is not a point of order.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection.

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Big Pine Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Big Pine Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." Those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Big Red Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Big Red Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” Those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

The Chair recognizes the member for Dovercourt on a point of order.

Mr Silipo: Chair, I would like to withdraw the following amendment, the one which I think deals with Big Straggle Lake.

The Second Deputy Chair: Big Straggle Lake is withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Biggar Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Biggar Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This votes stands deferred.

0150

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Biggin Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Biggin Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bigham Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bigham Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bigwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bigwood Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Billingham Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Billingham Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Billings Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Billings Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Billington Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Billington Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Billston Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Billston Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0200

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Biltmore Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Biltmore Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this —

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: I believe you didn't read item iii. You skipped over it. I'm sure it was inadvertent.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm pretty sure I did. I think I read it.

All those in favour of this motion being carried? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bimbok Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bimbok Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 "i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 "ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 "iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 "iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bimini Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bimini Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bingham Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bingham Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bingley Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bingley Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Binscarth Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Binscarth Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Binswood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Binswood Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public” —

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would you see what is making that noise and have it removed.

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? I declare this motion lost.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birch Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birch Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0210

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birch Tree Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birch Tree Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchard Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchard Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchbank Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchbank Lane living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchbank Lane” — is this the same? No? Okay.

“Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchbank Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchbank Lane living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchcliff Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchcliff Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchcroft Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchcroft Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchlaw Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchlaw Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchlea Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchlea Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

220

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchleaf Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchleaf Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I think there is a duplication in the next two, and I would like to withdraw one of them, the following one, dealing with Birchmount.

The Second Deputy Chair: Does it matter which one?

Mr Silipo: We should withdraw the one that just says “Birchmount.” The other one has “Birchmount Road.” Keep the one that says “Birchmount Road.”

The Second Deputy Chair: I will read the one that has Birchmount Road in it.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchmount Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchmount Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchview Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchview Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchview Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchview Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birchwood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birchwood Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birdsall Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birdsall Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birdsilver Gardens living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birdsilver Gardens living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion should carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birdwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birdwood Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

230

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birgitta Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birgitta Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birkdale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birkdale Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birkendale living in the” —

Mr Silipo: If I may, Mr Chairman. Sorry, I wanted to catch this earlier. I would like to withdraw this amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is there unanimous consent to withdraw? I declare it withdrawn.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birmingham Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birmingham Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Birrell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Birrell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Biscayne Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Biscayne Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bishop Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bishop Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bishop Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bishop Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

240

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bishop Tutu Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bishop Tutu Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion stands deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bisley Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bisley Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion stands deferred.

Mr Silipo: Chair, on a point of order: Could you just be clear on the procedure? My understanding is that when you first put the vote to this, when you ask the question, "Shall the motion be carried?" if all you hear is "Yes, carried," and no dissenting opinions, I believe it's your duty at that point to declare the motion carried.

The Second Deputy Chair: You are absolutely right.

Mr Silipo: I didn't hear any nays at that point.

The Second Deputy Chair: I did. That is a point of order. I heard dissent, so I —

Mr Silipo: Okay. I didn't hear any, but okay.

The Second Deputy Chair: We may have to turn the microphones off on all the desks before you'll hear it, but I heard it.

Mr Wayne Wetlaufer (Kitchener): On a point of order, Chair: I'd just like to point out for the information of the member for Dovercourt: All it takes is one no.

Mr Silipo: I understand that. I didn't hear any.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bison Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"" —

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, on a point of order: It's pronounced Bison Drive, with one s; with two s's it would be Bisson Drive.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'll correct that.

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bison Drive living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bisset Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bisset Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bitterroot Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bitterroot Road living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Dominic Agostino (Hamilton East): On a point of order, Mr Speaker: Is there a quorum in the House?

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't know. Would you like the table to check?

Mr Agostino: I'd like to ask you that, Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will determine. Would you please check if there is a quorum in the House.

Clerk Assistant (Ms Deborah Deller): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bixby Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bixby Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0250

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Black Bank living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Black Bank living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Black Creek Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Black Creek Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Black Hawk Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Black Hawk Way living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Black Jack Island” —

Mr Silipo: Mr Chair, on a point of order: I’m sorry, I apologize; I wanted to bring this to your attention earlier. I would like to have this withdrawn.

The Second Deputy Chair: Is there consent to withdraw this? It is withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Black Willoway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Black Willoway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackburn Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackburn Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackbush Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackbush Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackdown Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackdown Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

300

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackfish Bay living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackfish Bay living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour “aye.” All those say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackfriar Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackfriar Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackhurst Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackhurst Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blacksmith Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blacksmith Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackstone Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackstone Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Chair: There’s a duplicate in the next two, both dealing with Blackthorn — one Blackthorn, one Blackthorn Avenue. If we could withdraw the one that says “Blackthorn.”

The Second Deputy Chair: It’s withdrawn. Blackthorn withdrawn, Blackthorn Avenue retained.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blackthorn Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blackthorn Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,
 without charge, a copy of this act together with background
 material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under
 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written
 submissions” —

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please wait until I've said
 the word “sent” — “and requests for a public hearing
 should be sent.”

All those in favour that this motion carry? All those in
 favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my
 opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding
 the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may
 affect the residents of Blacktoft Drive living in the urban
 area shall be made unless the following conditions have
 first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed
 regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of
 the residents of Blacktoft Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions
 made by members of the public that his office received
 within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing
 within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing
 has been held and the minister has considered all oral
 submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a
 public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under
 paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,
 without charge, a copy of this act together with background
 material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under
 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written
 submissions and requests for a public hearing should be
 sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All
 those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In
 my opinion, the nays have it. This motion stands deferred.

0310

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding
 the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may
 affect the residents of Blackwater Crescent living in the
 urban area shall be made unless the following conditions
 have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed
 regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of
 the residents of Blackwater Crescent living in the urban
 area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions
 made by members of the public that his office received
 within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing
 within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing
 has been held and the minister has considered all oral
 submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a
 public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under
 paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,
 without charge, a copy of this act together with background
 material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under
 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written
 submissions and requests for a public hearing should be
 sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All
 those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In
 my opinion, the nays have it. This motion stands deferred.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): Good
 morning.

Mr Len Wood (Cochrane North): Good morning.
 Good to see you.

The First Deputy Chair: Good to see you all too.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding
 the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may
 affect the residents of Blackwell Avenue living in the urban
 area shall be made unless the following conditions have
 first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed
 regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of
 the residents of Blackwell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions
 made by members of the public that his office received
 within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing
 within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing
 has been held and the minister has considered all oral
 submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a
 public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under
 paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,
 without charge, a copy of this act together with background
 material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under
 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written
 submissions and requests for a public hearing should be
 sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion
 please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my
 opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blaine Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blaine Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blair Athol Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blair Athol Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blairville Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blairville Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0320

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blairwood Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blairwood Terrace living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blaisdale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blaisdale Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blake Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blake Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blake Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blake Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blakely Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blakely Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blakemanor Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blakemanor Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0330

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blaketon Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blaketon Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blakley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blakley Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blanchard Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blanchard Road living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blanche Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blanche Avenue living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mr Tony Martin (Sault Ste Marie): On a point of order, Madam Chair: The next amendment we wish to withdraw, “Blandford.”

Mr Doug Galt (Northumberland): Oh, another mistake.

Mr Martin: Yes, we make mistakes. You know, we’re human like everybody else.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. Can you read it? Go ahead.

Mr Martin: We want to withdraw the next amendment and it’s the one that says, “Blandford living.”

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you very much. Withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blandford Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blandford Street living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: We're going to withdraw the next amendment, "Blandorman living."

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. Withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blandorman Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blandorman Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0340

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blaney Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blaney Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blantyre Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blantyre Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blaydon Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blaydon Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bledlow Manor Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bledlow Manor Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bleecker Street living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bleecker Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0350

NDP motion, subsection 24(4).

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

Mr Martin: Nothing but negatives.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Member for Sault Ste Marie, do you have —

Mr Martin: Say something positive.

The First Deputy Chair: Do you have a point of order?

Mr Martin: I've never seen anything like it. It's been two years of nothing but negatives. All these naysayers over there. Every now and again, maybe a yes vote.

The First Deputy Chair: Do you have a point of order?

Mr Martin: No, I don't.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay, I will continue.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blevins Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blevins Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blithfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blithfield Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A vote deferred.

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I just note that, I'm sure inadvertently, the member for Sault Ste Marie has put boxes back on the table, contrary to the ruling earlier from one of your colleagues when Mr Silipo did that. It's obstructing our view of the member and we of course would like to have the opportunity to see Mr Martin in his full glory at all times. I wonder if you would similarly direct those boxes be removed.

Mr Bud Wildman (Algoma): On a point of order, Madam Chair: Considering what he looks like after spending this long in here, it's better that he be hidden.

The First Deputy Chair: I wasn't at the table when that ruling was made, but it's my understanding that the ruling was based on the NDP's label on the box and I understand that label has now been removed.

Mr Gilchrist: It's clearly visible to the cameras, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: I cannot see a sign from here. If there is one, you need to remove the box or remove the sign.

Mr Martin: There will be no chocolates for you.

Mr David Christopherson (Hamilton Centre): No, we're going to have special chocolates for him.

Mr Gilchrist: But no shepherd's pie.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. Thank you for that point of order.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bloem Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bloem Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blong Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blong Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bloomfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bloomfield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0400

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bloomington Crescent living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bloomington Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bloor Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bloor Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Vote will be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bloorlea Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bloorlea Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in support of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: This next amendment is Bloor Street, a very important street in this community. I just thought people ought to know that.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for Sault Ste Marie, you mean the one we passed a little while ago. The next one coming up that I have is Blossom Crescent. I did Bloor Street about one or two back, I believe.

Mr Martin: My apologies. The people of Blossom Crescent probably think that they're just as important, their street is just as important, their input, their ability to access the system and have a say is just as important as the people on Bloor Street.

The First Deputy Chair: Absolutely. Thank you very much.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Sault Ste Marie, that is not a point of order.

Mr Martin: I'd like to say hello to all the people on Blossom Crescent tonight —

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Sault Ste Marie, please take your seat.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blossom Crescent living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blossom Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0410

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blossomfield Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blossomfield Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Anchor Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Anchor Trail living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote's lost.

Mr Len Wood: On a point of order, Madam Chair: The next amendment that's coming through, I believe it's a duplication. Blue Anchor Trail I believe is being repeated. Withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: Withdrawn.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Eagle Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Eagle Trail living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in support of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Vote deferred.

Mr Wildman: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I think all would agree that there could not be two Blue Eagle Trails. This is certainly a unique neighbourhood and I wouldn't want to take away from that uniqueness so we withdraw the next amendment on the list since it is a duplicate.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. Withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Flag Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Flag Gate living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Forest Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Forest Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Should the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Vote deferred.

Mr Wildman: What is this next one?

The First Deputy Chair: Blue Goose Street. An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Goose Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Goose Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0420

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Grass Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Grass Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: We want to withdraw the next amendment, the Blue Jay Way. No disrespect to the Blue Jays. We think they do a lot for Toronto.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. It’s withdrawn.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: I hate to crack this thing at this hour of the morning. Order, please. The member for Sault Ste Marie, on a point of order.

Mr Martin: The next amendment, as well, we’re going to withdraw, which is Blue Jays Way. We made a slight error in the typing there.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you, member for Sault Ste Marie, it’s withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Lagoon Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Lagoon Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Should the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Pond Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Pond Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Ridge Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Ridge Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Vote deferred.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: We want to withdraw the next amendment: Blue Springs — a slight error.

The First Deputy Chair: It will be withdrawn.

Mr Gilchrist: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I wonder if in the future, as the member comments about what he's doing here, at the same time he could note the expense that has been incurred by the taxpayers to have printed all these frivolous and erroneous copies, the number of trees that these members of the NDP have destroyed in the interests of delaying the proceedings of this House.

0430

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Scarborough East, that is not a point of order.

Mr Wildman: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I appreciate the difficulty you're experiencing, but I think it's important for us to recognize that if we were being frivolous, we would not have withdrawn this.

Mr Martin: Madam Chair, on a point of order: I also want to say to the opposition that if they were really concerned about cost, they would simply withdraw Bill 103.

The First Deputy Chair: None of these are points of order. I appreciate your comments. You're entering into debate. Let's get back to the amendments at hand.

Mr Gilchrist: It's the bank's fault when the bank robber breaks in — the security wasn't good enough, eh?

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Scarborough East, come to order.

Mr Martin: The bank's not good enough for you, eh?

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Sault Ste Marie, come to order.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blue Springs Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blue Springs Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluebell Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluebell Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blueberry Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blueberry Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluefin Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluefin Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluehaven Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluehaven Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

440

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluejay Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluejay Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blueking Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blueking Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote’s lost.

Mr Christopherson: I was up once. I sat down because I thought you saw me.

Mr Len Wood: One, two, three, four, five.

The First Deputy Chair: I didn’t see the member for Hamilton Centre up and the member for Wilson Heights up at the same time. Just give me a moment here.

Mr Monte Kwinter (Wilson Heights): Madam Chair, on a point of order? Do I understand you to say I wasn’t up?

The First Deputy Chair: What I said is that I did not see the five of you standing at once. It may have been my

mistake but I only saw four people and I've already declared the motion lost.

Mr Kwinter: With all due respect, Madam Chair, I was certainly up.

The First Deputy Chair: All I can tell you is that I looked for five people. I saw four people. If I made a mistake, please accept my apologies. I have ruled on this, but I saw four people standing at once.

Mr Wildman: Considering that you come from Atlantic Canada, it's a disgrace that you would forget about Bluenose Crescent. It's terrible.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blueking Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blueking Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chairman: The next amendment we wish to withdraw. Interestingly enough, Bluffers Park Brimley S.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Sault Ste Marie, I have Bluewater Avenue here. What do you have? I have Bluewater Avenue in front of me. The one you mentioned is next.

Mr Martin: Oh, sorry. I'm way ahead of myself here.

The First Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluewater Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluewater Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Martin: Madam Chair, on a point of order: This is the one we want to withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: This is the Bluffers Park Brimley S. Thank you. Withdrawn.

Mr Gilchrist: Are you sure? I am told the geographic features of Toronto can be put in this.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Scarborough East, come to order.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluffwood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluffwood Drive living in the urban area." —

0450

Mr Gilchrist: Madam Chairman, on a point of order: I just want to seek clarification from you. You did in fact delete any amendment that refers to Bluffers Park? That was your opinion?

The First Deputy Chair: Yes.

Mr Gilchrist: I would just like to advise you that in fact there are full-time residences down in the marina at Bluffers Park and they've been denied the opportunity to receive the regulations which are being proposed to be sent in the course of these amendments to the other citizens of Toronto, once again discriminating against certain streets in Metro Toronto.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I would ask for unanimous consent that we revert to the previous amendment and that we put it on the floor in light of the information that has just been provided.

The First Deputy Chair: Is there unanimous consent?

Mr Martin: The government would support this as well.

The First Deputy Chair: I heard a no.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bluffwood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bluffwood Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blundell living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blundell living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blyth Dale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blyth Dale Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blyth Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blyth Hill Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blythwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blythwood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

0500

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blythwood Gardens living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blythwood Gardens living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Blythwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Blythwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boarhill Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boarhill Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bob O'link living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bob O'link living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bobmar Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bobmar Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bobwhite Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bobwhite Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0510

The Chair: We're dealing with an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bocastle Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bocastle Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bodwin Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bodwin Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

I wonder if you could keep the noise down.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boem Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boem Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bogert living in the urban area shall

be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bogert living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bogert Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bogert Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boland Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boland Lane living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0520

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boldmere Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boldmere Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection.”

I would ask that you don’t talk louder than the person who reads the amendment.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boler Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boler Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

The member for Burlington South, you’re speaking too loud.

Hon Cameron Jackson (Minister without Portfolio [Seniors Issues]): I apologize.

The Chair: “3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bolger Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bolger Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bolingbroke Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bolingbroke Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bombay Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bombay Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0530

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonacres Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonacres Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonaventure Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonaventure Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boncer Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boncer Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bond Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bond Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bond Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bond Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bondgate Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bondgate Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0540

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bondhead Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bondhead Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boneset Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boneset Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonfield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boniface Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boniface Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonis Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonis Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0550

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnacord Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnacord Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnechere Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnechere Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you to keep your voice down, member for Durham East.

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnie Brae Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnie Brae Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonniewood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonniewood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnington Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnington Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0600

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Chair: I was wondering if you knew how many millions of dollars we'll have to waste before the government withdraws Bill 103.

The Chair: This is not a point of order. I will only entertain points of order that are related to the amendment; that's it.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation —

Mr Galt: That's not a point of order because it's obvious you're wasting money.

Interjections.

Mr Wildman: Stalin didn't have to spend as much money to make decisions either.

The Chair: The member for Sault Ste Marie. The member for Northumberland. The member for Algoma.

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonny Lynn Court living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonny Lynn Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnydon Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnydon Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you not to talk as loudly as I talk, otherwise nobody will hear the amendments. I would ask that you ask for a point of order.

Mr Martin: Mr Chair, we were wondering about the condition of Highway 69.

The Chair: Is this a point of order on the amendment?

Mr Martin: No. Sorry.

The Chair: Please take your seat.

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnydon Crescent living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnydon Crescent living in the urban area."

Interjections.

Mr Galt: You're embarrassed too by Beaver Lake, north of Napanee.

The Chair: Member for Northumberland, this is the last time I will warn you.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Chair —

The Chair: On the amendment?

Mr Martin: I was wondering if we could —

The Chair: On the amendment?

Mr Martin: — get unanimous consent to have the member for Sudbury tell us what he heard up there about the proceedings that are happening here.

The Chair: Take your seat. It's totally out of order.

Mr Martin: It's not in order? Sorry.

The Chair: Please take your seat.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bonnyview Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bonnyview Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Just a reminder that when there is a vote you have to be in your seat. If it’s a voice vote, it’s okay to be anywhere where they want, but if it’s a vote, a recorded vote like we do, checking on you, you have to be in your seat, and there must be five in order for the vote to be accepted.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boon Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boon Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0610

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bowmore Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bowmore Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bowness Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bowness Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bowood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bowood Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bowring Walk living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bowring Walk living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it.

Mr Galt: Only four people stood up.

The Chair: I only see four people in their seats.

Interjection: You don't need to be.

The Chair: Yes, you have to be in your seat.

Mr Rick Bartolucci (Sudbury): This is my seat.

The Chair: Sorry; I didn't see you. Okay, the vote is deferred.

0620

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bowsprit Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bowsprit Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boxbury Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boxbury Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. I only count four.

Interjections.

The Chair: Mr Martin is not in his seat.

Ms Frances Lankin (Beaches-Woodbine): We still have six.

The Chair: That's okay, but you were not up.

Ms Lankin: We had five.

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boxdene Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boxdene Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjection.

The Chair: The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boxhill Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boxhill Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boxwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boxwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boyce Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boyce Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: NDP motion — the member for Downsview.

Ms Annamarie Castrilli (Downsview): Sorry. I’m being provoked.

0630

The Chair: “I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boyd Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boyd Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boydwood Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boydwood Lane living in the urban area.”

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Durham East, your voice carries.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Gilchrist: Mr Chair, on a point of privilege.

The Chair: On a point of order; I will listen to points of order that are related to the amendments only.

Mr Gilchrist: It's a point of privilege, actually.

The Chair: It has to be a point of privilege for sure.

Mr Gilchrist: In reference to an article in this morning's newspaper we just received, I'm wondering whether in any way the rights of the members on this side of the House have been constrained in that the cartoonist has singled out only the members opposite —

The Chair: This is not a point of privilege. Please take your seat.

Mr Gilchrist: — with Mickey Mouse ears and the high-chairs, and have —

The Chair: Take your seat. Otherwise, I will ask you to leave.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Borden Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Borden Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Boyton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Boyton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0640

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bracebridge Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bracebridge Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bracken Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bracken Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bracken Fernway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bracken Fernway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bracondale Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bracondale Hill Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill" —

Interjections.

Mr Len Wood: If you actually listened —

The Chair: The member for Cochrane North.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brad Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brad Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradbrook Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradbrook Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0650

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradbury Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradbury Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1)” —

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you — order. I'm about to read an amendment and I don't think you should speak louder than I do. I would ask you — order, please. The member for Burlington South.

Interjections.

Mr Marcel Beaubien (Lambton): Getting a little testy.

The Chair: The member for Lambton.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1) no regulation that may affect the residents of Braddock Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braddock Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradenton Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradenton Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.”

Interjections.

The Chair: Order.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1) no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradfield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions” —

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Durham East.

“A public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0700

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradgate Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradgate Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradham Path living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradham Path living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradley Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradmore Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradmore Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradpenn Road living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradpenn Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0710

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradshaw Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradshaw Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Gerry Phillips (Scarborough-Agincourt): Chair, just a small point of order: Members may not have realized that we have passed into Friday and today is Daffodil Day for the Cancer Society. I thought the members may all want to be aware of that and make sure that they go out and buy some.

The Second Deputy Chair: It’s a good idea, but it’s not something that should be in this chamber. It also has a particular difficulty for me. I’m afraid it’s going to affect my voice. I have allergies to pollens. I would ask that maybe you could set them outside. I don’t mind making a ruling. If you want to challenge it, it’s okay.

Mr Phillips: How far away do they have to be?

The Second Deputy Chair: I’d prefer them out of the chamber.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradstock Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradstock Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradstone Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradstone Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradwick living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradwick living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bradworthy Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bradworthy Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brady Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brady Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0720

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brady Lake living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brady Lake living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braeburn Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braeburn Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braeburn Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braeburn Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion pass? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braecrest living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braecrest living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braecrest Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braecrest Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braemar Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braemar Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braemore Gardens living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braemore Gardens living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braeside Crescent living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braeside Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braeside Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braeside Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0730

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brahms Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brahms Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bralorne Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bralorne Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bramalea living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bramalea living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bramber Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bramber Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

You might just a little louder, just so I’ll make sure and get it.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bramble Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bramble Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bramblebrook Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bramblebrook Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brampton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brampton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brancaster Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brancaster Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Branch Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Branch Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0740

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brandon Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brandon Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brandy Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brandy Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Branstone Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Branstone Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brant Mill living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brant Mill living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brant Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brant Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brantford Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brantford Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brantley Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brantley Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brantwood Drive living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brantwood Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brassbell Mill Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brassbell Mill Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0750

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brassbell Millway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brassbell Millway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bratty Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bratty Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brawley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brawley Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braymore Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braymore Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Braywin Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Braywin Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brazil Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brazil Gate living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breadalbane Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breadalbane Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breadner Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breadner Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include” —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, order.

— “a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breanna Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breanna Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bredonhill Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bredonhill Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Report continues in volume G.

**STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
COMITÉS PERMANENTS DE L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE**

Administration of justice / Administration de la justice

Chair / Président: Gerry Martiniuk
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Ron Johnson
Robert Chiarelli, David Christopherson,
Bruce Crozier, Ed Doyle, Garry J. Guzzo,
Tim Hudak, Ron Johnson, Frank Klees, Peter Kormos,
Gary L. Leadston, Gerry Martiniuk,
John L. Parker, David Ramsay, David Tilson
Clerk / Greffier: Douglas Arnott

Estimates / Budgets des dépenses

Chair / Président: Gerard Kennedy
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Rick Bartolucci
Toby Barrett, Rick Bartolucci, Gilles Bisson,
Jim Brown, Michael A. Brown, John C. Cleary,
Morley Kells, Gerard Kennedy, Frances Lankin,
Allan K. McLean, E.J. Douglas Rollins, Frank Sheehan,
Bill Vankoughnet, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

**Finance and economic affairs /
Finances et affaires économiques**

Chair / Président: Ted Chudleigh
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tim Hudak
Lizbeth Bassett, Jim Brown, Ted Chudleigh,
Joseph Cordiano, Douglas B. Ford, Tim Hudak,
Monte Kwinter, Tony Martin, Gerry Martiniuk,
Gerry Phillips, Gilles Pouliot, E.J. Douglas Rollins,
Joseph Spina, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

General government / Affaires gouvernementales

Chair / Président: Bart Maves
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Julia Munro
Mike Colle, Harry Danford, Jim Flaherty,
Michael Gravelle, Ernie Hardeman, Rosario Marchese,
Bart Maves, Julia Munro, Lillian Ross,
Mario Sergio, R. Gary Stewart, Joseph N. Tascona,
Len Wood, Terence H. Young
Clerk / Greffière: Lynn Mellor

Government agencies / Organismes gouvernementaux

Chair / Président: Floyd Laughren
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tony Silipo
Rick Bartolucci, Ed Doyle, Douglas B. Ford,
Gary Fox, Michael Gravelle, Bert Johnson,
Peter Kormos, Floyd Laughren, Gary L. Leadston,
Frank Miclash, Dan Newman, Peter L. Preston,
Tony Silipo, Bob Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Legislative Assembly / Assemblée législative

Chair / Président: Ted Arnott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: John Hastings
Ted Arnott, Dave Boushy, Tony Clement,
Alvin Curling, Carl DeFaria, Bill Grimmett,
John Hastings, Ron Johnson, Margaret Marland,
Gilles E. Morin, Sandra Pupatello, Tony Silipo,
R. Gary Stewart, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Ombudsman

Chair / Président: John L. Parker
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tom Froese
Carl DeFaria, Barbara Fisher, Tom Froese, Pat Hoy,
W. Leo Jordan, Jean-Marc Lalonde, Rosario Marchese,
Bill Murdoch, John R. O'Toole, John L. Parker,
Richard Patten, R. Gary Stewart, Bill Vankoughnet,
Len Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Public accounts / Comptes publics

Chair / Président: Bernard Grandmaître
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Richard Patten
Marcel Beaubien, Dave Boushy, Gary Carr, Brenda Elliott,
Gary Fox, Bernard Grandmaître, John Hastings,
Jean-Marc Lalonde, Shelley Martel, Richard Patten,
Gilles Pouliot, Sandra Pupatello, Derwyn Shea, Toni
Skarica
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

**Regulations and private bills /
Règlements et projets de loi privés**

Chair / Président: Toby Barrett
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Bruce Smith
Ted Arnott, Toby Barrett, Gilles Bisson, Dave Boushy,
John Gerretsen, Steve Gilchrist, John Hastings,
Gerard Kennedy, Tony Martin, Trevor Pettit,
Lillian Ross, Tony Ruprecht, Frank Sheehan, Bruce Smith
Clerk / Greffier: Tom Prins

**Resources development /
Développement des ressources**

Chair / Président: Brenda Elliott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Barbara Fisher
Dominic Agostino, John R. Baird, David Christopherson,
Ted Chudleigh, Marilyn Churley, Sean G. Conway,
Brenda Elliott, Barbara Fisher, Doug Galt, Pat Hoy,
Bart Maves, John R. O'Toole, Jerry J. Ouellette,
Joseph N. Tascona
Clerk / Greffier: Todd Decker

Social development / Affaires sociales

Chair / Présidente: Annamarie Castrilli
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Dwight Duncan
Marion Boyd, Jack Carroll, Annamarie Castrilli,
Dwight Duncan, Tom Froese, Helen Johns,
W. Leo Jordan, Lyn McLeod, Julia Munro,
Trevor Pettit, Peter L. Preston,
Bruce Smith, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Tonia Grannum

CONTENTS

Friday 4 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, *Mr Leach*

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, *M. Leach*

X1
-023



No. 176G

N° 176G

ISSN 1180-2987

Legislative Assembly
of Ontario

First Session, 36th Parliament

Assemblée législative
de l'Ontario

Première session, 36^e législature

Official Report of Debates (Hansard)

Journal des débats (Hansard)

Friday 4 April 1997

Vendredi 4 avril 1997



Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers

Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais 1-800-668-9938.

Hansard Reporting Service
3330 Whitney Block, 99 Wellesley St W
Toronto ON M7A 1A2
Telephone 416-325-7400; fax 416-325-7430
Published by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario



Service du Journal des débats
3330 Édifice Whitney ; 99, rue Wellesley ouest
Toronto ON M7A 1A2
Téléphone, 416-325-7400 ; télécopieur, 416-325-7430
Publié par l'Assemblée législative de l'Ontario

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Friday 4 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

Report continued from volume F.

0800

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996

LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breen Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breen Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breezewood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breezewood Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Breezeway Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Breezeway Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bremner Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bremner Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brenda Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brenda Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brendan Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brendan Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brendwin Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brendwin Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brenham Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brenham Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brenham Court living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brenham Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0810

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brentcliffe Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brentcliffe Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brenton Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brenton Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brentside Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brentside Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brentwood Avenue" —

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): On a point of order, Mr Chair: On two occasions in the last 12 hours the members of the third party have been instructed to remove any signage indicating their party affiliation. One of the honourable members has once again positioned a number of boxes in such a way that they're prominently displayed for the cameras and I would ask you to have the signage removed.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order. Members are not allowed to have props or displays. I can't see any. We will continue.

Mr Gilchrist: Point of order, Mr Chair: Twice previously your colleagues have in fact not used the interpretation that they had to see it, just that they were visible to the other members in the chamber and to the camera. I can see them from here, Mr Chair, and I invite you, if necessary, to review their rulings.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'll ask members of the third party if they have props or signage that they want to get out. Other than that, all I can do is make a ruling and if you want to challenge it, then —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: "5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brentwood Road N living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brentwood Road N living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brentwood Road S living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brentwood Road S living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brenyon Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brenyon Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brett living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brett living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brett Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brett Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0820

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bretton Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bretton Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brewster Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brewster Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brewton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brewton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brian Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brian Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brian Cliff Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brian Cliff Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brian Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brian Drive living in the urban area.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Mr Howard Hampton (Rainy River): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I’ve been trying to follow your reading of the amendment and I don’t believe you read section 2 or section 3 of the amendment. I may have missed —

The Second Deputy Chair: I don’t know. What would you like me to do? Would you like me to read that in?

Mr Hampton: Yes, I believe it’s only proper that you read the whole thing.

The Second Deputy Chair: I think I did, but if I didn’t, I’ll read it in again. It is a point of order.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

I add these between 1 and 4.

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brian Dale Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brian Dale Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briar Hill Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briar Hill Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Hon Al Leach (Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing): On a point of order, Mr Chair: The member for Cochrane North continues to take part in the votes while not being in his seat. I would ask that you advise him that he must be in his seat to take part in the vote.

Mr Hampton: On that point Chair —

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't need help on that one. On the voice vote —

Mr Len Wood (Cochrane North): He's out of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: You come to order. I'm talking to you. On the voice vote, and it's hard for me to determine whether or not they're in their seats, what I am looking for is when five members stand, they must be in their places.

Mr Bob Wood: That's why he's out of order.

Ms Frances Lankin (Beaches-Woodbine): There are more than five of us.

The Second Deputy Chair: I just want to clear this up. He is not one of the five I count if he is not in his seat. That is a point of order and I have ruled on it.

Mr Hampton: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Would you confirm that to the government members so that they understand the rules, that all we need is five people standing —

The Second Deputy Chair: No. Please take your seat. I think I have cleared it up. If you want, I will —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm ruling on your point of order. I want you to —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: If I could have a little order, then I'd like to address this point of order. It is not my duty to make sure that people understand. It is only my duty to tell them.

0830

Mr Gilles Bisson (Cochrane South): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I listened intently to your ruling. I believe the standing orders are very clear. When people are voting in the House, normally they have to be in their seats. It's not a question of —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I want to rule on this point of order for you. We are not under ordinary standing orders now. We are under a special bill. If you would like to appeal that ruling, then you may.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarbluff Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarbluff Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarcreek Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarcreek Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarcroft Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarcroft Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I realize how quickly you’re trying to expedite things, but it is necessary for you to read the entire motion. You just skipped the full paragraphs 3 and 4 this time.

The Second Deputy Chair: I am very sorry. I will read them into the record. I expect they will be inserted between 2 and 5. Will that satisfy the omission on my part? Okay.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.”

Those are to be inserted between 2 and 5.

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarfield Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarfield Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarcross Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarcross Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Briarwood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Briarwood Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridesburg Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridesburg Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgeland Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgeland Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

8840

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgenorth Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgenorth Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgeland Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgeland Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the public hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,"

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated.

Mr Len Wood: Did you say "defeated" or "deferred"?

The Second Deputy Chair: I said "defeated."

Mr Len Wood: It's supposed to be "deferred."

The Second Deputy Chair: Somebody with a point of order.

Ms Lankin: I have a point of order, but you should deal with this first.

The Second Deputy Chair: When I looked, there were five people standing; only four in their own place. So that's why I said "defeated."

Mr Len Wood: Who was out of their place?

Ms Lankin: He wasn't counted; there were five of us besides him.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes. Maybe I'll take a little more time, but there were only four members standing in their place.

Ms Annamarie Castrilli (Downsview): There were six who were standing and one out of place.

Ms Shelley Martel (Sudbury East): Yes, but there were six people standing.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Beaches-Woodbine on a point of order.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Once again, I know it has been drawn to your attention many times and you intend to continue reading at a very fast speed. I want to point out to you that you are making errors with respect to the reading of the amendments and there is an official record. I don't know how Hansard is ever going to be able to transcribe at the speed in which you're reading, but I'll point out to you. In the last amendment, under paragraph 3, the end of the sentence reads "has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing." You read out, "made at the public hearing." Now, in other places within the amendment that refers to public hearing.

This is not a large error in terms of the intent of the amendment, but it is not being read as printed, and I have

pointed out to you on a few occasions, inadvertently I'm sure, how you've missed paragraphs. I know how you're trying to expedite, but I would ask you, please try to give a bit more care and maybe just slow down a touch so that we could get an accurate record read.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry. I'm doing my best to read as written. The other thing is, I may make a mistake, but I don't think we can go back. I think I'll have to be corrected as I go. This is a long, tedious task. If I make a mistake — like we're at the end now and you're telling me it was paragraph 3, and it's done. If you are following along, if I do make a mistake, I apologize if I do, but if I do, try to get my corrections in.

Ms Lankin: I'll do that.

The Second Deputy Chair: And that is a point of order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgetown Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgetown Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgeview Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgeview Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after" —

Ms Lankin: Point of order, Mr Chair: In paragraph 2, it reads "The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received." You unfortunately did not read the word "that."

The Second Deputy Chair: Oh, okay. I'll go back and get that in. That is a point of order.

" — that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

I thank you for the help in going through that. I just want to tell you that I'm trying to go as slowly and carefully as I can. I've gone through 85 amendments, and I'm going through them virtually the same way as I have since 10 after seven. I appreciate your help.

Ms Lankin: But Mr Chair, I actually couldn't hear what you were saying. You know, there is a problem with the sound system. I am actually being very attentive. You said you were trying to go as slowly and carefully as you can. That might be debatable, but I am not trying to cause you problems. You are performing at a speed, given how many hours you've been in the chair, that is quite remarkable. But the Hansard record must also be accurate. That is why I have been raising these points.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes, we have excellent people working for Hansard. I understand they're experienced and trained and taking courses and all that. We'll just have to depend on them to do the best they can, same as you'll do the best you can and same as I'll do the best I can.

Ms Lankin: I believe those people are experienced and do a very, very fine job. However, they cannot record a word that has not been said by the Chair, which is why I draw this to your attention.

Mrs Margaret Marland (Mississauga South): On the same point of order, Mr Chair: I appreciate that the member for Beaches-Woodbine wants the accuracy of the amendments recorded, and with all amendments it's true that there has to be accuracy. Since the only difference between each of these amendments is the name of the street, maybe we could accept that the amendments are all moved with the same wording, the identical wording, and just read into

the record all the names of the street. I'm wondering whether the opposition would accept that.

Ms Lankin: No way under the rules of order you can do that.

Mr Len Wood: The Speaker has ruled on that.

0850

Mrs Marland: Excuse me. I'm not speaking to the member for Cochrane North, I'm speaking to the Chair, and I'm suggesting that any of the rules of order, the standing orders, can be set aside with unanimous consent.

Ms Lankin: Not in committee of the whole House. The Speaker has ruled.

Mrs Marland: Any rules can be with unanimous consent. The point is that I'm asking, I'm suggesting, that today we may decide to read into the record the street names as a part of the ongoing amendments, since every single amendment has the identical wording.

The Second Deputy Chair: On that point of order, yes, that could be accomplished with unanimous consent. If you like, I will ask for that. Is there unanimous consent to dispense with reading of the thing? No, there is not unanimous consent. We will proceed. I will do the very best I can.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgewater Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgewater Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgletowne Circle living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgletowne Circle living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridgman Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridgman Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridle Heath Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridle Heath Gate living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridletowne Circle living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridletowne Circle living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Would you ascertain whether or not there is a quorum?

The Second Deputy Chair: Would you please check if there is a quorum present?

Clerk Assistant (Ms Deborah Deller): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridlewood Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridlewood Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridley Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridley Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0900

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridlington Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridlington Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bridport Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bridport Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This item is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brief Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brief Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brigadier Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brigadier Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brigadoon Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brigadoon Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brigham Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brigham Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bright Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bright Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brighton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brighton Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brighton Crescent” —

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I know you’re doing the best that you can, but you missed the whole first paragraph that starts, “Public consultation” and lists the street.

The Second Deputy Chair: I missed the whole paragraph? I’m sorry. Can you clear that up for me? I want to know what I missed.

Ms Martel: Mr Speaker, I’ve been following, and as I read it, you moved right from “I move that section 24 of the bill be amended” right to point number 1, and you missed the whole paragraph dealing with public consultation and the street.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. I think, with that, I’ll start all over again. Would that be okay?

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brighton Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brighton Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0910

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brightside Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brightside Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a” —

Mrs Marion Boyd (London Centre): On a point of order, Mr Speaker: You did not read every word of the amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: What did I miss?

Mrs Boyd: You missed virtually all of ii under number 5.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will read that in — “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,” inserted between i and iii — and, to finish it off, “public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): For the second time today, I wish people good morning.

We shall begin with NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brightview Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brightview Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Floyd Laughren (Nickel Belt): Point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: Point of order, the member for Nickel Belt.

Mr Bill Murdoch (Grey-Owen Sound): Bail out the NDP —

The First Deputy Chair: The member for Grey-Owen Sound, come to order, please.

Mr Laughren: I have a point of privilege. I think the privileges of the members are in question when what goes on around this place takes an unfair toll on those members. This morning, when the Premier was coming into the Legislature, he was asked by the press why he used similar kinds of tactics as these when in opposition, and he said, and I quote, and this is where the point of privilege comes in: “I tried to make a point that I thought a \$10-billion deficit was out of sync with the rest of the country and would lead this province to disaster. It turns out it did. It lead to our election.”

I think that indicates that the Premier’s privileges must be being abused for it to take that kind of toll upon him.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. I don’t consider that a point of privilege. Thank you anyway.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brightwood Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brightwood Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brill Crescent living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brill Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0920

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brimley Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brimley Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say

“nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brimorton Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brimorton Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brimstone Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brimstone Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brimroad Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brimroad Boulevard living in the urban area.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Could you clarify for me? I thought I heard you saying “Brimroad, and it’s Brimwood.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. It’s possible I accidentally did that. You’re right. To be clear, it is Brimwood Boulevard.

“...unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brimwood Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brinloor Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brinloor Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brisbane Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brisbane Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0930

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brisbourne Grove living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brisbourne Grove living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bristol Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bristol Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Britain Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Britain Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the pleasure of this committee that the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Britannia Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Britannia Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Britannia Road East living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Britannia Road East living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brittany Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brittany Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0940

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Britwell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Britwell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brixham Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brixham Terrace living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadbent Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadbent Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadbridge Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadbridge Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadfield Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadfield Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0950

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadlands Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadlands Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadleaf Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadleaf Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadmead Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadmead Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadoaks Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadoaks Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadpath Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadpath Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Speaker. I will withdraw the next motion.

The First Deputy Chair: Can you read which one? The one that says “Broadview”?

Mrs Boyd: Broadview.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. It's withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadview Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadview Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1000

The next motion is identical to the previous motion. Shall I withdraw it?

Mrs Boyd: I withdraw the motion, Madam Speaker. I withdraw the next motion as well. There is no street designation following it.

The First Deputy Chair: So that's the motion with Broadway, right? Broadway will be withdrawn as well.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Broadway Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Broadway Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I wish to withdraw the next two amendments. The first one is a duplication of the one we have just completed, Broadway Avenue.

The First Deputy Chair: Broadway Avenue, correct. That’s withdrawn.

Mrs Boyd: The next one has no street designation, and it is Brock.

The First Deputy Chair: That’s withdrawn. Thank you. NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brock Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brock Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I wish to withdraw the next amendment, which is a duplication of the one we just completed.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you very much. It’s withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brock Road South living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Hon Mr Leach: Pretty sloppy work over there.

Mr John Gerretsen (Kingston and The Islands): Don’t you talk about sloppy work.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Kingston and The Islands, come to order.

Mr Gerretsen: I’m in order all the time, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. I’m glad to hear that.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brock Road South living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockhouse Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockhouse Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1010

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockington Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockington Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockley Drive living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockley Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockmount Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockmount Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockport Drive in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockport Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brockton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brockton Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1020

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brome Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brome Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Garry J. Guzzo (Ottawa-Rideau): That's far enough. You're seeing double if you saw five.

The First Deputy Chair: Would the member for Ottawa-Rideau come to order, please. You're not in your seat, and heckling is out of order even if you're not in your seat.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bromley Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bromley Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bromton Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bromton Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

Mr Peter Kormos (Welland-Thorold): Hi, guys. Boring, isn't it? They're a bunch of old guys making too much money.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold, come to order, please.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Interjections.

Mr Gerretsen: They're waving at him. He has to wave back. They're in the gallery.

The First Deputy Chair: I have to ask the members in the gallery —

Mr Kormos: Bye guys.

The First Deputy Chair: Excuse me, the member for Welland-Thorold. I have to remind the members in the gallery that they're not supposed to, under the rules, wave or give any demonstration of any kind.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brook Tree Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brook Tree Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Kormos: Point of order, Chair: I was very concerned this morning, and my concern has perhaps subdued me a little bit, because I note that the Premier this morning on the CBC said that the previous year's deficit budget would lead this province to disaster, and he said — it turns that it did. It led to the election of the Conservative government. In view of the disaster of this government that the Premier himself identifies, is there something the Chair should be doing to intervene and perhaps call upon resources that aren't available currently?

The First Deputy Chair: This is not a point of order. Thank you.

Mr Kormos: Sorry, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: I accept your apology.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookbanks Drive living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookbanks Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1030

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookbanks Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookbanks Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the committee that the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All

those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookdale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookdale Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brooke Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brooke Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookfield Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookfield Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookfield Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookfield Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

1040

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookhaven Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookhaven Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Mrs Marland: It’s your idea. You’re in for it. You’re either in or out.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Member for Mississauga South, come to order. Liberal caucus members, come to order. Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, come to order. Thank you.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brooklawn Avenue living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brooklawn Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brooklyn Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brooklyn Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

Mr Gerretsen: You’re a champion of your own misery there Al.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Kingston and The Islands, come to order.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Would the members come to order, please. Come to order. Thank you. Member for Grey-Owen Sound, come to order.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookmere Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookmere Road living in the urban area.”

Interruption.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Welland-Thorold, please take your seat.

Mr Kormos: We’re forming a relationship here, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: Yes, I see that, but it’s out of order, member for Welland-Thorold. Take your seat.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookmill Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookmill Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1050

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookmount Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookmount Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookridge Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookridge Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookshire Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookshire Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookside Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookside Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I'd like to withdraw the next amendment, which I believe is a duplication of the one we just completed.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. That will be withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brookside Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brookside Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1100

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Browning Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Browning Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I wish to withdraw the next amendment, Browning Island.

The First Deputy Chair: You want that withdrawn? Thank you. It will be withdrawn.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Mississauga South, come to order, please. Member for London Centre, come to order. Member for Kingston and The Islands, come to order.

Member for Mississauga South, do you have a point of order?

Mrs Marland: Yes. I just wondered, Madam Chair, how it would be that Browning Island, an island on Lake Muskoka, would be included in a list of amendments for a bill dealing with Metropolitan Toronto.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: On the same point? Go ahead.

Mrs Boyd: It is the explanation that the member asked for. There is a Browning Island Road, but because "Road"

was not in this motion, it is not an appropriate motion and therefore we are withdrawing it.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. I want to tell the members that that was actually — no, wait a minute — out of order. We have moved beyond the one-hour debate period for debating and questions and comments on these amendments.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Well, it's a fact under the rules. Let me be clear here: There was a decision that there would be an hour for not debate, but questions and comments on amendments. That hour is used up, and under the rules, questions and comments about these amendments cannot be entertained.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brownlea Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brownlea Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brownlow Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brownlow Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1110

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brownridge Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brownridge Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

I would ask you not to talk louder than myself; otherwise, I can't hear myself. It's a simple as that. Those are important amendments, and I think everybody should listen to them attentively.

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold, that applies to you too.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed will please

say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Kingston and The Islands, I don't want to warn you all the time. Please.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Browns Line living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Browns Line living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1."

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask your cooperation. If you want to talk, you can go outside the House, feel free to do so, but in the House you have to respect the procedure. You have to respect the dignity of the House, please.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brownspring Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brownspring Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brownville Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brownville Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Browside Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Browside Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brubeck Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brubeck Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1120

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The next amendment, which says Bruce Beach and does not have a designation of street is being withdrawn.

The Chair: Okay, withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bruce Farm Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bruce Farm Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bruce Park Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bruce Park Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bruce Street living” — if you want to exchange words, I would ask you to do it outside the House. It’s as simple as that; please respect that — “in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bruce Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brucedale Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brucedale Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brucewood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brucewood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1130

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The next amendment says “Brule Crescent” and it’s a duplication of the following one, so I’m withdrawing one.

The Chair: “I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brule Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brule Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brule Gardens living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brule Gardens living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brule Lakeway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brule Lakeway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brule Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brule Terrace living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brumell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brumell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brumwell Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brumwell Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1140

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brunner Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brunner Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bruno Court living in the urban area

shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bruno Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brunswick Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brunswick Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Rosario Marchese (Fort York): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to withdraw the motion that we are about to deal with. It's a duplication of the one we just dealt with.

The Chair: Brunswick Avenue?

Mr Marchese: Yes.

The Chair: An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brushwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brushwood Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brussels Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brussels Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1150

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brussels Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brussels Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.”

Failure of sound system.

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bruton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bruton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bryant Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bryant Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bryant Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bryant Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bryce Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bryce Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brycemoor Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brycemoor Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1200

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brydon Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brydon Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brynhurst Court in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brynhurst Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Brynston Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Brynston Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buchanan Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buchanan Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Okay. Enough with personal comments.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buchan Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buchan Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1210

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buck Lake living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buck Lake living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." I can't hear. All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will

please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. I declare the motion lost.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Speaker. We are sorry that we confused you. We missed a withdrawal and we realized that was the only way to have the motion defeated.

The Chair: So I was right to be confused?

Mrs Boyd: Yes, you were.

The Chair: NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckhorn Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckhorn Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckhurst Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckhurst Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckingham Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckingham Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckingham Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckingham Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckingham Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckingham Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

1220

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): Mr Chair, on a point of order: I'm sorry to interrupt you in reading the amendment, but I believe that a quorum isn't present.

The Chair: Would you please verify if there is a quorum.

Clerk at the Table (Mr Todd Decker): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Chair: "3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy" —

The member for Welland-Thorold, you're not allowed to speak to anyone in the gallery.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckland Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckland Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buckley Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buckley Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Tony Martin (Sault Ste Marie): On a point of order —

The Chair: Point of order related to —

Mr Martin: No, but I don’t believe there is a quorum present.

The Chair: Would you please verify if there is a quorum.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

Interjections.

The Chair: There will be no exchange between members across the floor. If you want to exchange words, I would ask, I would prefer that you do it outside of the House.

Mrs Marland: If I leave the House, Mr Chair, there won’t be a quorum.

The Chair: That doesn’t mean that you are allowed to speak out loud to other members across the floor. There are certain rules in the House that have to be followed.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bucksburn living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied” —

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Because there is not a street designation with this, we will withdraw this motion.

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bucksburn Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bucksburn Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

Interjections.

The Chair: Conversation in the House should not be louder than the Chair.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1230

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill” —

Interjections.

The Chair: Would you please keep your conversation down. There’s no debate.

Interjections.

The Chair: The member for Sault Ste Marie.

Mr Martin: On a point of order, Mr Chair —

The Chair: On a point of order on the amendment; otherwise, I don’t listen to any point of order.

Mr Martin: The member across the way invited me to say publicly what I’ve been saying —

The Chair: That’s not a point of order. You are out of order.

Interjection.

The Chair: Would you like me to name you?

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buddleswood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buddleswood Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

Mr Bisson: On a point of order —

The Chair: On a point of order on the amendment.

Mr Bisson: I believe we don’t have a quorum.

The Chair: Could you please check if we have a quorum.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Chair: We’ll now continue reading the amendment.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bude Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bude Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Budea Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Budea Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Budgell Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Budgell Terrace living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1240

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Budworth Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Budworth Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buena Vista Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buena Vista Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bufflaw Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bufflaw Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bugle Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bugle Hill Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bulbourne Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bulbourne Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buller Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buller Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

1250

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bulwer Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bulwer Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bunnell Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bunnell Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bunty Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bunty Lane living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bur Oak Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bur Oak Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bur Oakway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied — ”

Mrs Boyd: They’re spelled differently.

The Chair: It appeared to be the same.

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bur Oakway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bur Oakway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1300

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burbage Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burbage Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burbank Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burbank Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burchell Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burchell Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Rick Bartolucci (Sudbury): On a point of order, Mr Chair: It has come to my attention, and I’d like the indulgence of the members who are here, that today will be the last day for the pages.

I think in these days and these hours of division and dissension, maybe we can come to some type of unanimous consent that we congratulate the pages on doing a very excellent job. I think we should congratulate them for their dedication to the task. I think we should congratulate them for their maturity and for the example they set for the other students in Ontario.

Interjection.

Mr Bartolucci: I think it has to do with amendments; it has to do with every amendment that we’ve debated over the course of the last several hours and every bill that we’ve debated over the last several weeks. I think it has everything to do with recognizing that these pages came to us in very unusual times and that they’re leaving us in very unusual times.

I know for a fact that the page from Sudbury, Aimee Leonard, was certainly a credit to her parents, to her community, to her school of St Raphael, and I’m sure that every other page was a credit to their parents and their community and their school. I would hope that everyone would say thank you to the pages. Good luck. Bring back the memories to your fellow students and encourage those in grades junior kindergarten to grade 6 to work towards achieving the average necessary so that they too can apply for the page program. I want to thank you very much.

The Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold.

Mr Kormos: A somewhat novel point of order for which the Chair has shown great indulgence.

I join the member for Sudbury, and indeed I know I speak on behalf of all the New Democrats here: We’ve seen a whole lot of groups of pages here at Queen’s Park over the years, and you are as fine and bright and capable a group of pages as has come through here. We want you to know that, and we want you to know that we’ve enjoyed your company. More than a few of us have learned probably more from you than, regrettably, you may have learned from us. You are as valuable to the members here as the experience hopefully is for you.

So as you go home to your communities — I know some folks are only going as far as Yonge and Finch; others are going across all of Ontario — we hope you recall these weeks here at Queen’s Park with some fondness and with great insights that you’ve acquired into the strange and bizarre political groundwork that exists here in the province of Ontario.

The other interesting thing I want to mention to you is that we've seen governments change helter-skelter, government after government. We have no doubt that more than a few of us, in relatively short order — seven, eight, nine, 10, maybe 15 years — are going to be reading about some of you here at Queen's Park and hoping that you've learned from the mistakes you've seen being made at Queen's Park during the course of your time here. We're looking forward to reading about you in the papers, looking forward to the filibusters you conduct, looking forward to points of order, looking forward to grandiose and flamboyant and sometimes not-quite-on-the-mark speeches, but effective none the less.

Thank you kindly to the pages. God bless you.

1310

Mr David Turnbull (York Mills): On behalf of the Conservative caucus, I would certainly like to join in congratulating the pages and thanking them for their hard work. You've been here in interesting times. As that great British parliamentarian Winston Churchill remarked about democracy, as to what an awful thing it was, he said it's still better than all of the alternatives.

As you go back to your schools, I hope you take warm memories of what's happened here, some of the crazy things you've seen, the crazy things that go on in question period and in filibusters. It's all part of a process which makes Canada a strong, vital, free place in the world that's still the best place to live.

We expect to see you back again in years to come as perhaps members here or staff in the Legislature. Indeed, many of the staff who work for our government started here as pages and have warm memories, and from time to time as I go around this province, I meet people who say, "I worked as a page in Queen's Park," and they always look back with great interest. Hopefully it has sparked your interest in the parliamentary process, which is very vital. It makes sure that everybody in this great province of ours has a say from all of the spectrums of opinion.

You'll never, ever get everybody agreeing on any issue, but I want you to go away with the memory that, at the end of the day, all of the people who sit in this assembly in all of the parties go out of the door and usually have a good word for one another. Some of the theatricals don't perhaps show that on television, but you've seen the warm discussions that occur, and I hope you take that back to your schools and remind the other students that perhaps they could come here some day and perhaps experience what you have. We congratulate you.

The Chair: I would just like to add on my comments too. I envy them who are going home. I'd like to go home too. So we'll continue the amendments.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burdock Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burdock Lane living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The Second Deputy Chair: NDP Motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burford Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burford Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

Mr Martin: Chair, on a point of order: With all due respect, I really can't follow you. We went through this all day yesterday and I really don't want to start this again. And you'll remember that last night I said some kind words to you because you did slow down and we appreciated it. This may not be, to some folks, as serious as it is to others, but it's certainly really serious to us, and we're getting calls from folks out there who are having a hard time following this when you're in the chair, because they tell us you're moving too quickly. Would you kindly slow it down a tad so we can follow? Thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order, and I've ruled on it before. I'm not about to do it again. If you would like to appeal it to the Speaker, I'm willing to do that, and by all means I'll accommodate —

Mr Bud Wildman (Algoma): We don't want to carry it that far.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I want the member for Algoma to come to order and listen. I've ruled on it before. I'm slowing down. I'd like to expedite things. I know you have an interest in seeing me go as slowly as possible, but I would like to expedite it. It's a boring thing, reading 103 identical motions in the two-hour turn that I'm here. I'm trying to do the best I can, but if I am confronted

with a lot of frivolous points of order that I deem might be critical of the way I'm doing my job, then I'm afraid one of us will have to leave. Am I making myself clear?

Mr Martin: Then Chair, respectfully —

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry. This is not debate. Please take your seat.

The Chair recognizes the member for Mississauga South.

Mrs Marland: Chair, for the sake of the record in responding to this point of order, I want to say that there is nothing in our standing orders that deals with the speed of anyone speaking. With the fact that every single one of these amendments is exactly the same wording except for the name of the street, there is no need for anyone to argue that they can't translate quickly enough. I heard that argument yesterday from the third party, which, personally, I think is an insult to our translators. They can translate quickly, especially when we're now on our third day of reading the same thing, so obviously they already have the translation into French in their head.

1320

The only thing that is new in every single one of these amendments is the street, the road, the identity of the road. I notice, Chair, that you do pause when saying the road name, so all of this business about people phoning in, in my respectful submission, is not something that you as Chair should be concerned with. I encourage you to read as quickly as you can for the sake of all of us.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you very much. That is not a point of order.

Mr Dominic Agostino (Hamilton East): On the same point of order, Chair: I agree with the point made by the member of the NDP. There are people watching at home, despite the fact that the government members want to go home. The reality is that this is part of the process, and you should show some fairness to the people who are watching at home, who may be tuning in from time to time.

Last night, I agree, you did a great job. It was at a good pace and there were no complaints. We are now back into mega-speed again with your reading. I don't agree with the concept that we need to get through this as fast as possible so you must read at 200 miles an hour. I think there's a level of reasonableness in going at a pace that people can understand. Chair, I urge you to do that, with all due respect. We're not in a race here. It's not a 100-yard dash. People watching at home or sitting here need to understand the proceedings, and frankly I don't when we go that fast.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order — *Interjection.*

The Second Deputy Chair: If the member from Hamilton East wants to raise points of order, then you will listen when I am responding.

I have timed myself reading this motion. I've timed it quite a few times. I see absolutely no reason why this motion cannot be read in one minute. That would mean that I should be able to do 120 of these during my two-hour shift. I have not achieved that yet. The best I have ever done is 103 — that was the last shift — and before that, 92. Part of the reason is because of the interruptions I incur. We have already now absorbed about 12, so we've lost that time.

I'd like to remind you that it is not as simple a thing as that I am racing. This is the speed that I read. I don't

always read out loud; sometimes I read silently. I can't do that in this case, so I am trying to do the absolute best I can. I don't want to interpret some of your points of order as being frivolous or vexatious, towards me in particular or the Chair in general. I do think this is reasonable, to read this in 60 seconds.

The Chair recognizes the member for Algoma.

Mr Wildman: I just want to say there's nothing personal at all —

The Second Deputy Chair: No. I'm sorry. You can't just get up and talk. If you are rising, I will have to know why you're up.

Mr Wildman: I was getting up to be supportive of you, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, you can't do that, and someone who has been in this House as much as you have should know that.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burgess Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burgess Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall" —

Mr Bisson: Point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South on a point of order.

Mr Bisson: I am just trying to assist you. I was watching very intently and I was listening. On this point of order, point 3, you missed the last two lines of point 3, from "held" down to "hearing."

The Second Deputy Chair: Which one are you on?

Mr Bisson: I'm on the amendment that you are on now.

The Second Deputy Chair: What's the name of the street, please?

Mr Bisson: The name of the street is —

The Second Deputy Chair: No. On your sheet there, please.

Mr Bisson: Burgess Avenue. On the third point, you missed, after the comma, "a public hearing has been held," and that needs to be read into the record.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm very sorry if I've missed something.

Mr Bisson: I realize that. I'm just helping.

The Second Deputy Chair: It happens when you go that fast.

— "a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burgundy Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burgundy Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burin Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burin Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing — "

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: On the amendment for Burin Court you missed, and I know it's because you stopped at one point — after you read "Public consultations," second sentence, "area shall be," you missed the three or four words that follow and I need them read into the record.

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't think so, and I don't think that's a point of order if I did not miss them. So that is not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: Okay. Thank you very much.

The Second Deputy Chair: — "within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burke Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burke Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burkston Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burkston Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

1330

Mr Wildman: Point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to bring to your attention that we have not only been getting faxes and calls from people who are complaining about the speed with which you are reading, but we have been getting a number of congratulatory ones about the whole process in demonstrating that the opposition can stand up for democracy against the government.

The Second Deputy Chair: I quite understand. I have as well.

Mr Bisson: Point of order, Mr Chair: I just would like to point this out because you might not have noticed, but it's past 1:30 of the clock on Friday, which means we've gone past the date that the government had set out to deal with this legislation. I think this is a momentous occasion, and members of the House, I'm sure, want to congratulate the opposition party for the fine work it's doing on behalf of the people of the city of Toronto and the people of Ontario on Bill 103.

Mrs Marland: On the same point of order —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry. I want to rule on this first. That is not a point of order. Self-congratulations in this House are not points of order.

Mrs Marland: Mr Chair, on a point of order: I think it's very interesting, the comments that have just been put on the record and on television and on our parliamentary channel —

Mr Agostino: Bunch of dictators.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're out of order.

Mrs Marland: Whether or not you have succeeded in not having our legislation through this week, be assured, I say to every member of the opposition, that every government bill we wish to see pass will be passed in the form that represents the majority of the interests of the people of this province.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I am warning the member for Algoma that you have stood up and you have taken somebody else's place, and I will not warn you again. I will be naming the member for Algoma if he does not take his seat.

I want to address this. Members of this House have stood up and interjected themselves into some sort of talking and shouting and yelling when other members have stood and tried to be recognized by this Chair. I will not tolerate it. This Chair will not tolerate it. This Legislature shouldn't have to tolerate it.

Mr Gerry Martiniuk (Cambridge): On a point of order, Mr Chair: The member for Hamilton has corrected it by removing himself from the front bench and placing himself in his proper seat.

Mr James J. Bradley (St Catharines): Point of order, Mr Chair: I want to be helpful to the Chair. I hope that members of the Legislature will allow you to carry on with your responsibilities of reading these into the record because it's very important that we proceed as expeditiously as possible with all of these amendments.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Is it the wish of this committee that the motion carry?

Mr Bisson: Point of order, Mr Chair: When I raised the point of order at the beginning, and the member for Algoma, you were at point ii, and you're asking us now to

vote on an amendment and you haven't read the last two points.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to be very clear about this: I did not mark where I stopped. I asked the clerk at the table where I left off, and he told me I was about to make the vote on it and that I had finished "sent." If you're going to bring a point of order, I would like it to have some basis of fact so that we can get on with things.

I want you to realize that I don't just do this job willy-nilly and try to miss things and so on. If I do, it's completely inadvertent, but I do take some help — I need it — not always in the best of ways, but we'll do it.

Mr Wildman: You ruled me out of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: You were. And you are.

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burkwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burkwood Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burleigh Heights Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burleigh Heights Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burley Avenue living in the urban area."

Mr Martin: Point of order, Mr Chair: I'm continuing to have a difficult time following what you're doing. You had suggested earlier that you were going to slow down a tad. I ask you now what street you're on, if you would, so that I could cooperate with you here this afternoon. At least slow down on the street names.

The Second Deputy Chair: Burley Avenue, B-u-r-l-e-y A-v-e-n-u-e.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Mr Martin: What street are you on?

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm on Queen's Park avenue and I'm reading from the one on Burley Avenue. It's spelled B-u-r-l-e-y A-v-e-n-u-e.

1340

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burlingame Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burlingame Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burlington Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied — "

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I think I heard "section 23"; you should have said "24." I think you were confused. You read it a bit quickly there. It should have been, "I move that section 24..." You said "23." I

wouldn't want the record to show the wrong section. I'm sure it was an oversight on your part. I'm just correcting —

The Second Deputy Chair: If I did, I'm very sorry, but I have read out "section 24" several hundred times, so I doubt that I would make that kind of mistake.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burlington Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burlington Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice" —

I'm having difficulty hearing. Can you make sure that whatever banging is going on is stopped?

"— of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burlington Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4” —

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I'm sure it's because you were distracted, but I believe you missed subparagraph i, if you could. I'm just trying to be helpful here. I think you got distracted when you called to find out what the noise was and you skipped subparagraph i.

The Second Deputy Chair: “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,” before ii,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burma Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burma Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Richard Patten (Ottawa Centre): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I just had a call from staff in my office who were contacted saying that reading so quickly makes it very difficult for closed captioning to be able to keep up with you; they're finding it very difficult to do so. Those people who have hearing impairments can't follow along with what you're saying.

The Second Deputy Chair: I see. I do have a concern about French translation and Hansard and, of course, closed captioning, and I have slowed down quite a bit. I've only done 13 over a half an hour; I really have slowed down. I'll

do the best I can, but I appreciate the concern you have. I'm not sure it is a point of order, though.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: I certainly have heard a lot of what the members have been raising in terms of points of order. It strikes me that these have been really needlessly repetitious; they are currently raising the same point that has been decided by the present Chair several times. I would suggest, Mr Chair, that when this matter comes up in the future perhaps the members who make the same repetitious point again be called to order by the Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: It is a concern. I want to be fair and reasonable. I want to make sure that everybody has an opportunity to hear everything that is said and I want them to understand and to have heard every word in every one of these 8,000 identical motions. Thank you. I'm not sure that is a point of order, though.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burmont Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burmont Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

The Chair recognizes the minister on a point of order.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: On a point of order, Mr Chair. Further to my previous point I was making, Mr Chair, I draw your attention to section 23(c) of the standing orders, which indicates that when a member persists in needless repetition or raises matters that have been decided during the current session, they can be called to order. So certainly you do have that power, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thanks very much for pointing that out.

I wanted to address my ruling to the member representing Markham. I appreciate the information and so on

and I'm studying it. I appreciate it and I will do the absolute best I can.

1350

Mr Martin: On that same point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: No. I'm not willing to take any more on the same point of order. If you have a point of order, I'll hear it, but I will not take the same point of order. That would be debate. I'm sure you wouldn't want to disobey the rules of the committee of the whole by entering into debate.

Mr Martin: But I want to make a point.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're standing up. Why are you standing, please?

Mr Martin: It's a point of privilege.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're standing on a point of privilege?

Mr Martin: Yes, I am.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'd like to know the point of privilege, please.

Mr Martin: Chair, I come here at great expense to the taxpayers of this province to represent my constituents, to do my job, to participate in the proceeding. Now, you may not find that —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want you to address your comments to me. I'm trying to get this point of privilege.

Mr Martin: You may not find that an important matter and it may not be serious to you; it may be funny in fact.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please address —

Interjections.

Mr Martin: We come here —

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Please take your seat. I want to be very clear about this. It is part of my job to protect the privilege of every member of this Legislature, but when I'm hearing a member speak on privilege, then he has to talk to me, because other than that, I think that he might be debating with members of the party across from him. So if you would address the Chair on your privilege.

Mr Martin: One of the privileges, Chair, in coming to this place is to be respected by my colleagues and not to be laughed at when I get up and speak on a point of privilege and to ask to be heard.

Chair, I come here at great expense to the taxpayers to represent my constituents, to participate in the proceedings of this place.

The Second Deputy Chair: What's your privilege?

Mr Martin: In order to be able to participate in the proceedings, I need to be able to hear everything and to understand everything. I have to tell you, each time that you are in the Chair and I've been here when you've been in the Chair on a number of occasions over the last few days, I cannot do that. I suggest to you that in order for me to also work with my constituents out there who may want to talk to me about this, either by phone or when I go back to my riding or in person, that they need to hear what you're saying too, they need to be able to understand what it is you're saying, the amendments that you're putting on the record so that we can all participate.

I suggest to you, in respect to the point of order that was made by the member for Markham, that in fact these are legitimate points of privilege and order and you have not responded to them in a way that is helping me participate

in the proceedings of this place in the way that I would want to given the great responsibility that comes with this job. As we stand here, because the government will not withdraw this bill, it is costing us a lot of money.

The Second Deputy Chair: Member for Sault Ste Marie, I'm addressing your point of privilege. It's my job to make sure that everybody can hear and I'll do the very best I can. There are earphones provided. You might want to put that on, you might want to turn it up. We have the volume done as well as we can, apparently, here. But if you use that earphone, turn the volume up, then it might help you hear better.

Mr Martin: Point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: No. Your point was that you couldn't hear. I think that I'm addressing that.

Mr Martin: But Chair, I need to clarify for you once again that it has nothing to do with the volume or with anybody else talking here. It has everything to do with the speed at which you are reading these particular amendments.

Hon Janet Ecker (Minister of Community and Social Services): You have to listen.

Mr Martin: I am listening. Are you listening? Can you hear what he's saying?

The Second Deputy Chair: I have endeavoured to do the very best I can that way to accommodate the member for Sault Ste Marie. I think you ought to take some of those suggestions that I have given under consideration as well. But that is my ruling. If you'd like to appeal to the Speaker, then you may.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just give him a minute. I want to know if he does or not. Would you like to appeal?

Mr Martin: Out of respect to yourself and the Chair, I will not do that. But I just ask you to please respect me and my needs.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I don't want to debate it. I just want to know if you want to appeal it or not.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Chair: I want to draw your attention to the standing orders, section 13(a). Section 13(a) reads:

"The Speaker shall preserve order and decorum, and shall decide questions of privilege and points of order. In making" — and this is the crucial part — "a decision on a question of privilege or point of order or explaining a practice, the Speaker may state the applicable standing order or authority."

Just recently the member for Markham raised a point of order concerning section 23(a), which reads, "In debate, a member shall be called to order by the Speaker if he or she: (a) speaks twice to a question, except in explanation of a material part," and it goes on.

The point I'm making is this: You were asked by the member for Markham to rule on a point of order that we were raising matters twice and that was out of order. You came back and didn't really give us a decision as to your interpretation of the standing orders. The standing orders are quite clear. If you tell us that you're not going to do something, you've got to tell us why. The point I'm getting at is that in this particular section —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat.

Mr Bisson: There's one part that I need to finish so that you can understand where I'm going. Section 23 refers to specifically members cannot speak twice during debate. In other words, if I rise in debate in the House when we're at second or third reading, I'm only allowed as a member to speak once. We are now in committee of the whole and a member can get up on as many points of order or privilege that he or she chooses or may speak on a number of occasions to the issue at committee at the whole. I thought your answer was lacking in what the standing orders are all about and I'd ask you to please explain —

The Second Deputy Chair: The point I ruled was not on speaking twice on a thing, so that is right off the wall. I'm sorry. The other one was —

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: The other thing is that the Speaker may; the word "may" doesn't make it obligatory, and in this case, I chose not to.

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege, Chair: We're supposed as members to have respect for each other. That means to say I am to respect you as the Chair, but you are to respect us as members. Earlier the member rose on a point of order having to do with section 23, which says we cannot get up and speak on a matter twice. You, as Chair, have just responded that I was off the wall for having mentioned what the member for Markham has raised. That is not showing respect to me as a member, and I would ask you to withdraw that comment.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a minute. I want to be very clear on this. I will not have the Chair challenged. If you wish, you may appeal it to the Speaker. The other thing is that I will make the rulings. With all due respect, I try to be fair and reasonable, but if you don't agree with them — I'll do the very best I can but I can't be perfect, and you may appeal that to the Speaker. Would you like to appeal it to the Speaker? Just yes or no.

Mr Bisson: With respect to you in the chair, no, I will not challenge this to the Speaker, but I ask you out of respect to respect me as a member as well. I'm trying to do that for you.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to address, just for a second, if I can, that respect. Respect, of course, is something that is earned and I try to give every member of this chamber the respect they deserve. If I fall a little bit short — one of the ways I might fall a little short is if I was interrupted in one of my addresses and comments — but if I fall a little bit short, then I would have to apologize for that. But if you have some comments about the ability of this Chair to operate, please either make them clear or appeal my rulings.

Mr Bisson: I will withdraw.

1400

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Chair: I just seek some clarification from you. I think I have it as a result of the last exchange, but I just want to be very clear. I will be very brief. In answering the point that you did, that Mr Tsubouchi raised around the applicability of standing order 23(c), which I think is the point that he was making, am I understanding correctly that when you said that was under consideration — I think that is the phrase that you used or words to that effect — that you had that under consideration?

The Second Deputy Chair: No.

Mr Silipo: No? Well, then if you could clarify that for me. But was that with respect to the points of order that were being raised? Is that the repetitious point, the argument that was being made? Was it about that?

The Second Deputy Chair: You'll have to be a little bit more specific. I have trouble just understanding exactly the question that I'm supposed to answer and I'm not answering the question.

Mr Silipo: I'll try again, sir. You dealt with the point of order the Mr Tsubouchi raised with you. I tried at that point to stand up and get your attention to understand what your ruling was because I didn't understand it, so perhaps I could just put it that way. Could you tell me what your ruling is with respect to that point and what does it apply to? I'm not arguing the point. I just did not understand what it applied to.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'll try to get a copy of that for you, if you would like. It will be recorded and you can take it from there, but at this point I do not want to be repetitious and go back over all the points of order that I've made rulings on and so on. I've had at least two or three rulings since then and I'm sorry, it's not in my mind now to explain that to you.

Mr Silipo: All right. Okay.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, you can get that from the information available to you. That would be Hansard or those sorts of things.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnaby Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnaby Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnage Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnage Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burncrest Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burncrest Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burndale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister shall have given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burndale Avenue living in the urban area."

Mr Bisson: Point of order, Mr Chair: I believe you're on an NDP amendment that's concerning Burndale Avenue. You read: "The minister shall have given notice." My amendment reads: "The minister has given notice." Am I correct or is yours different? You said, "shall have given notice," and I'm wondering if there's a difference in the amendments. On point 1: "The minister has given notice." You read, "shall have given notice." Is ours different?

The Second Deputy Chair: What is your point of order?

Mr Bisson: The point of order is that we have 8,000 similar amendments to which you have duplicate copies from us. I am following the amendments for the NDP caucus closely. You read: "The minister shall have given notice." My paper says: "The minister has given notice." I am wondering, is there a difference in what's written on your paper, or did you just misread it?

The Second Deputy Chair: So you're saying that I read the wrong word in it.

Mr Bisson: Yes, "shall have given notice."

The Second Deputy Chair: I apologize. What can I do to fix that for you?

Mr Bisson: No, but the point of order is, is my amendment different from yours? Does yours read, "The minister has given notice"?

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't know. The one that I'm reading here is the one that we're going to pass this morning, or afternoon, or whenever today is.

Mr Bisson: But the point of order — please help me. Could you just read the first part of paragraph 1 and if it's different, then I know we have a problem. If it's not then there was an error on your part, which I understand. That happens.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to be very clear and I want to be very reasonable. I'll read that part again from mine and if you have trouble, put the hearing device on, and that's great.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burndale Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Mr Bisson: My apologies.

The Second Deputy Speaker: Yes, it's distressing for me to make mistakes. I have to concentrate on every one of the exact same 250 words in this motion as the other ones and when you are raising points of order with me and asking me to re-read sections and then you talk constantly when I am reading, so loud that you're interrupting me, I'd ask for your apology please.

Mr Bisson: I do offer my apology. I was responding to a question from the Conservative bench and I shouldn't have done that. I apologize.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnelm Drive living in the urban area may be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come" —

1410

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Chair: Again, I'm beginning to believe that there's a difference in the amendments that you have and we have because in mine Burnelm Drive reads, "living in the urban area 'shall' be made." You said "may." There's a difference in legislation between "shall" and "may." Yours says "may," which means it's permissive; mine says "shall," which means it's directive. Is there a difference with yours, or again, you went a little bit too fast and you missed?

The Second Deputy Chair: There's absolutely no difference in mine. Mine says "shall."

Mr Bisson: Okay, so you made a mistake.

The Second Deputy Chair: "1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnelm Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnett Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnett Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnett Avenue” — what’s the name on that one? A question to the NDP caucus, if I could: I have two identical ones in front of me.

Mr Bisson: No, actually, the first amendment is Burnett Avenue, where you’re at now. The next one is Burnfield Avenue and the third one after is Burnham Road.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I don’t like to contradict you, but I have two in front of me that say “Burnett Avenue.”

Mr Bisson: Do that again. I might be in error, Chair. You might be perfectly right. Tell me again.

The Second Deputy Chair: In this case, I don’t think there’s any question about it.

Mr Bisson: You are right, Chair. You are perfectly correct. I would ask for unanimous consent that we withdraw the second amendment of Burnett Avenue.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: There is not unanimous consent. It is being ruled out of order.

Mr Silipo: Point of order, Chair: I believe the practice we’ve used before is that if we, as the movers, withdraw it, then I believe the practice is you simply withdraw it.

The Second Deputy Chair: You’re partially right. My recollection of it was that if I had not started to read it, then it was withdrawn. After I’d started to read it, then it had to —

Mr Silipo: Right, and in this case you had not in fact started to read it; you had simply brought it to our attention that there were two copies of the same one. You had not started to read it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I thought I got right down to Burnett Avenue.

Mr Silipo: No, you simply took the two sheets and said to us there are two copies. You said you’d like to draw it to the attention of the NDP caucus.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’ll check that. I always stand corrected, but I don’t think that I would have seen that it was the same name until I’d got down to it.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnfield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnham Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnham Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhamhall Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhamhall Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall" —

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Chair: I may stand to be corrected here, but I believe that somehow you skipped 1 and 2, "The minister has given," and "The minister has considered," or is that my error? I think you skipped those two.

The Second Deputy Chair: The best information I have is that it's your mistake.

Mr John R. Baird (Nepean): On a point of order, Chair: The member opposite gets up on the same point of order time and time again. It costs hundreds of dollars and thousands of dollars cumulated over all the points of order he's raised over the last number of months. According to the Toronto Sun, the mega-stall costs us \$10,000 an hour, an outrageous waste of the taxpayers' money. If it's in the Toronto Sun, it must be true.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Please take your seat. As you're aware, you can't use props or signs within this chamber.

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1420

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhamill Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhamill Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhamthorpe Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhamthorpe Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhamthorpe Park Blvd living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhamthorpe Park Blvd living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Just to correct you, it's not Burnhamthorpe Park Road; it's Park Boulevard. The next one is Road; this one's Park.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to be very clear. Mine says "Burnhamthorpe Park Blvd."

Mr Bisson: That's Boulevard, yes. But you said "Road."

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't want to argue; I don't want to get into debate. I want to give you a ruling on your point of order, please. If you take your seat, I'll do that.

Mine says "Blvd": B-l-v-d. If it were a short form, it would have a period after it. Short form for "Boulevard" is "Blvd."

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

And anybody who was taught English in Algoma would know that.

M. Bisson : Monsieur le Président, j'étais aux écoles catholiques françaises en Ontario, et j'ai pris l'anglais comme tout le monde d'autre. La dernière fois que j'ai vu «blvd», c'était «blvd» avec un point ou pas de point. Merci.

The Second Deputy Chair: My ruling is that "Blvd" is B-l-v-d. The short form for "Boulevard" is "Blvd." If you would like to challenge that ruling, then we'll do that.

Mr Bisson: No, I do not, Speaker Webster.

The Second Deputy Chair: "3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

It is the request of this committee that this motion carry? All in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhamthorpe Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhamthorpe Road Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnhill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnhill Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnley Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnside Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied."

Mr Wildman: On a point of order, Chair: I don't think there's a quorum present.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would you like me to check for you?

Mr Wildman: If you could find the time.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, it isn't a matter of time. I assume that you're asking me to, but I want to be sure. Would you check and see if there's a quorum present, please?

Clerk of the Table (Mr Todd Decker): A quorum is present.

The Second Deputy Chair: "1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnside Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1430

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnt Bark Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnt Bark Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnt Log Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnt Log Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnt Meadoway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnt Meadoway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burnview Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burnview Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it.

Mrs Marland: There's only four up.

The Second Deputy Chair: This vote stands deferred.

Interjection.

Mrs Marland: Yes, you can because it's a voice vote. How many times do we have to explain this to you?

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burr Avenue living in the urban area"

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Chair: My colleague from Sault Ste Marie raised what was a valid point of order that the member for Mississauga South is voting not in her seat and you allowed it to carry on and I don't think that's right. The standing orders are very clear. You must raise points of order in your seat and you must vote from within your seat, both voice votes and standing votes. I would ask you to make sure that the members follow what is in the standing orders.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: Hold it. First of all —

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Do you want a ruling on it?

"...in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation"

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege, Chair: Section 21, when it comes to privilege, section (a), I will read: "Privileges are the rights enjoyed by the House collectively and by the members of the House individually conferred by the Legislative Assembly Act and other statutes, or by practice, precedent, usage and custom," which the standing orders are referred to.

I previously got up and I asked on a point of order that the member for Mississauga South vote from her seat and

you're saying we don't have to follow the standing orders, if I understand your ruling. Does that mean to say now when we're voting, as the Chair, you will allow us to vote wherever we want? I can go to any seat I want, I can vote from there, I can stand from there and divide from there? If those are the rules, I need to know, but you can't have rules changing every 30 minutes around this place. That's why we took many years to write the standing orders, so that we can have some order about how we do things here.

Would you please rule: Where can I vote from? I've heard three different versions. The standing orders say you must vote from your seat both when it comes to a voice vote and when you stand to divide. You ruled in another case it's okay, we have voice votes standing anywhere in the House. On other occasions you said you can raise points of order anywhere in the House and in other cases you said you can stand and divide anywhere in the House; and now — on the count, I don't know where you're at — could you please clarify what your ruling is? I mean that in all respect. I'm not challenging the Chair, I want to be clear, I just want you to tell us where you're at at this point.

Mrs Marland: On the same point of order, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a moment, please. I want to rule on this because I have to go back too.

First of all, I want to contradict you on the last five or six statements that you made. The other one is, of course you're familiar with those standing orders because you're referring to them and reading them. They have not changed and they won't change and they never have changed. But I think the problem here is you don't understand my ruling on the point of order prior to your point of privilege. I'm assuming that, so I want to clear that up and I want to make it very clear. When you stood up on a point of order, you said in connection with the point of order from the member for Sault Ste Marie and the member for Sault Ste Marie was standing, unrecognized by this Chair, talking with the member about whether or not he should be standing, and that's my ruling on that. Now that you understand that and you understand the standing orders, are we ready to proceed?

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm, sorry, either we are ready to proceed — I am not willing to debate it. That is my ruling — or we will have the Speaker come in. If not, please accept the ruling.

Mr Bisson: I accept the ruling.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you. Please don't interject. I have other business here to do.

The Chair recognizes the member for Mississauga South on a point of order.

1440

Mrs Marland: I am certainly aware of the fact that the opposition wants to proceed as expeditiously as possible with the placing of their amendments, so it's very interesting when they keep rising on these points of order and challenges.

I think it would be appreciated if the member for Cochrane South could not selectively read the standing orders, but read those sections that deal with the subject with which he apparently is concerned.

Standing order 28(a) says the following: "When a voice vote has been taken on any question" — when it has been

taken on any question — "a division may be required by five members standing in their places."

In this House, the member for Cochrane South has one place in which to stand to be recognized. So this very clearly, should you choose to read it and understand it, I say, will satisfy the question that you keep making repeatedly, which is where we are when we are voting.

When you have a voice vote, Mr Chair, I know all you do is hear voices. You are not concerned with a voice vote where anyone is sitting. You are hearing voices on one side or the other. So it doesn't matter where they sit. But when it comes to after the voice vote has been taken, then the members who are requesting a division have to stand in their place. If you would refer to 28(a), the member for Cochrane South would understand once and for all and we wouldn't have to keep going through this.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you for the information. That is a point of order. Yes, in this place I hear voices and sometimes bells.

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burr Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burr Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I only have one person to help me at this table and I have to hold up this House while you're referring to him. If you have another source of information, then I'd like him to be able to help this chamber proceed with the business that's properly in front of us now.

Mr Bisson: I can't address you from here, Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: I guess I have to know why you're here, because you are interrupting me and this chamber.

Mr Bisson: It's a long-standing practice that members can get advice from the table.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes. Often we have two or three. Because we're on overtime and there's all sorts of time being put in, I only have one person available to me. Take a minute and get finished, please.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burrard Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burrard Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burrridge Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burrridge Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burritt Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burritt Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? It is defeated.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burrows Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burrows Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1450

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burrows Bay living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burrows Bay living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

M. Bisson: Monsieur le Président, j'ai un point d'ordre.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please wait. Order. Please start.

M. Bisson: Monsieur le Président, vous avez dit, quand vous avez lu la section faisant affaire avec le premier paragraphe, que vous avez lu «Having first been satisfied», mais ce n'est pas vraiment ça que vous avez fait. Vous avez lu à la place «satisfait» après le mauvais mot, puis vous avez mis «premier» après le mot «satisfait». Je veux savoir si vous aviez bien fait l'affaire, Monsieur le Président.

The Second Deputy Chair: You are out of order.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material.”

You're standing up?

M. Bisson: Monsieur le Président, vous dites que je ne suis pas en ordre parce que je m'appête à vous parler très vite, mais pourquoi, Monsieur le Président, quand vous, vous parlez très vite, vous êtes dans les règles? Vous avez dit que je n'étais pas en ordre parce que je parle très vite, mais quand M. le Président parle très vite, lui, il est en ordre. J'aimerais savoir pourquoi vous dites ça.

The Second Deputy Chair: There are only three reasons that you would be standing up. One is if you're

leaving, and the other is if you're standing on a point of order or on a point of privilege. I have to know why you're standing up, and I think that it behooves, to take this matter seriously, that I need this interpretation. If you want to speak in French, you have every right and every privilege to do so, but I do need a moment to get this translation up to my ear because I don't have it on.

It's on now.

M. Bisson: Sur...un...point...d'ordre —

The Second Deputy Chair: You are out of order, and I don't want to have to eject you, so please come to order.

M. Bisson: Sur un point de privilège, Monsieur le Président: Je ne veux pas vous agacer, mais vous dites que je ne suis pas en ordre parce que je suis en train de parler le français trop vite pour vous. Je veux comprendre.

The Second Deputy Chair: On your point of privilege, it has nothing to do with the speed that you speak. You can speak as slowly or as deliberately or as quickly as you want. The problem that I explained before is with my interpretation of the French language. I'm sorry that I am deficient at it. I need a moment to get the translation up to my ear, to get it on, and I don't appreciate being laughed at while I'm doing that. That is my point.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.”

M. Bisson: Monsieur le Président, très sérieusement, un point de privilege. Tout cet après-midi —

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Cochrane South on a point of privilege.

M. Bisson: Sur un point de privilège. Merci. Vous avez, tout l'après-midi, lu des amendements très vite dans le record, puis vous avez dit que vous étiez en ordre en le faisant. Je ne peux pas m'obstiner; vous êtes le Président. Mais, Monsieur le Président, quand j'ai soulevé un point d'ordre très vite à vous en français, vous m'avez exprimé que vous n'êtes pas capable de comprendre la traduction parce que j'ai parlé trop vite en français et les traducteurs n'étaient pas capables de faire la traduction pour vous faire comprendre.

Mon point de privilège: c'est exactement le problème qu'ont les francophones à travers la province qui écoutent aujourd'hui ces amendements dans le comité parce que, eux autres, ils ne peuvent pas comprendre la traduction. Là, vous savez, Monsieur le Président, pourquoi on est en train de soulever ce point d'ordre à beaucoup de reprises. On vous demande, avec respect, de ralentir un petit peu. Merci.

The Second Deputy Chair: There are two points I have from your point of privilege. The first is that during your point of privilege you mentioned a point of order and that I don't know anything about. The other is that you mentioned speed. I am not familiar enough with the French language to know how fast or how slow they speak. I assume they speak the same as us. Some of us speak a little faster, some of us a little slower than others.

But I want to be very clear in this because I don't want this to become a debate. It is five to 3 on Friday afternoon, April 4. I've only read into the record 21 of these because of the constant interruptions. The exact point of privilege has nothing to do with the speed or the slowness that anyone in this chamber speaks. We are dealing mostly in

English and I need a moment, only a moment or so, to get the translation up to my ear for the proper consideration that you and all other members of this House deserve.

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege in English, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're standing again on a different point of privilege, I hope.

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege in English because it is clear that you did not understand the translation. I will say it to you in English so you understand clearly.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry. Please take your seat. The point of privilege I took from the interpreter and it had to do with the speed at which you were listening and I was speaking. Sorry. That is my ruling.

Mr Bisson: The speed at which I'm listening? I'm sorry, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: "4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this amendment carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burrows Hall Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burrows Hall Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burton Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1500

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burtonwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burtonwood Crescent living in the urban area."

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Burwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Burwood Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bush Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bush Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public" — I'm sorry, the member for Sault Ste Marie, you're standing between me and members — "hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushbury Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushbury Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is also an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushcroft Grove living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushcroft Grove living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushell Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1” —

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I believe that we are on the NDP amendment, Bushell Avenue, and you were talking about a road.

The Second Deputy Chair: Did I say Bushell Road?

Mr Bisson: Maybe I stand corrected. No, I'm right. You said “Bushell Road” and I have “Bushell Avenue.”

The Second Deputy Chair: If I said “Bushell Road,” I apologize. I meant to say “Bushell Avenue.” That is a point of order.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushey Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushey Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushmills Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushmills Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1510

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bushwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bushwood Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

My time is up.

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, on a point of privilege: If you’re just about to leave the chair, I’ve waited till the very end so I don’t obstruct, if you would, please. Je veux faire un point de privilège faisant affaire avec vous. Tout à l’heure quand je me suis levé, vous n’avez pas eu le respect pour moi de m’écouter sur le point de privilège à faire avec vous. La

manière de laquelle vous avez traité un député francophone ici à l’Assemblée, je trouve ça très insultant. Je ne le crois pas. Vous n’avez pas de respect — wow — vous êtes vraiment insultant, Monsieur le Président ; c’est vraiment quelque chose. Je n’ai jamais vu un gars comme ça.

Un point de privilège, Monsieur le Président, pour le record : tout à l’heure, à peine une vingtaine de minutes, je me suis levé sur un point parce que le Président avait fait une erreur en lisant l’amendement. J’ai lu l’amendement très vite pour faire le point que, quand Monsieur le Président lit très vite, la traduction ne peut pas se faire d’une manière adéquate, et ceux et celles qui écoutent en français à l’écouteur ici à l’Assemblée ou qui écoutent en français à leur maison ne peuvent pas suivre.

Monsieur le Président a déclaré, quand j’ai fini mon point d’ordre, que je n’avais pas un point d’ordre, que lui ne pouvait pas comprendre ce que j’avais dit parce que j’avais parlé trop vite et que pour cette raison-là je n’avais pas de point d’ordre. Je veux mettre sur le record aujourd’hui que je n’ai pas trouvé ce commentaire de la part du Président acceptable, même au point où après, le Président a fait le commentaire, et j’ai besoin de le dire en anglais : «Them, the French people.»

J’ai trouvé ça très insultant comme francophone de l’Assemblée, et c’est quelque chose que je veux soulever aujourd’hui, mais plus tard par écrit auprès du Président de l’Assemblée, M. Stockwell, parce que je n’ai pas trouvé ça acceptable comme francophone de l’Assemblée et comme francophone en Ontario, dans ma province, où je suis né. Je suis francophone et je suis fier que je n’ai pas besoin de me faire ridiculiser par un gars comme ça.

The Acting Chair (Mr Bruce Crozier): Member for Cochrane South, those comments are on the record.

I have an NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Busy Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Busy Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buttercup Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buttercup Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." I declare the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Butterfield Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Butterfield Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

1520

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Butterick Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Butterick Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Butternut Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Butternut Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Butterworth Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Butterworth Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buttonwood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buttonwood Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Buxton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Buxton Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of By-pass Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of By-pass Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

1530

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bychris Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bychris Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Byford Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Byford Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Byng living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Byng living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Byng Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Byng Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be — how many do I have? Four? Five. This motion shall be deferred.

This is an exact duplication of the previous resolution, so I rule it out of order.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Byron Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Byron Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Bywood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Bywood Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

1540

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Byworth Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Byworth Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

Mr Bisson: On a point of privilege, Mr Chair: I just wanted to indicate that the representatives from the cities of Toronto that are being affected by this bill just had a press conference out front with the two opposition parties and presented the opposition parties with these yellow flowers in support of what we're doing here in the Legislature. I just wanted to make sure that you knew because I knew, as a point of privilege, that you, being the Chair, didn't have an opportunity to be there.

The Acting Chair: Thank you for making note of that. I'm not sure that's a point of privilege but, certainly, thank you.

Mr Bisson: You're not allergic to this, are you?

The Acting Chair: No, I'm not.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cabana Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cabana Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cabernet Circle living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cabernet Circle living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

An NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cabot Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cabot Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: We would like to withdraw this amendment. It does not have a street name on it, it has just a lake name on it.

The Acting Chair: Thank you. That motion is withdrawn.

An NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cactus Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cactus Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: Mr Speaker, on a point of order: The members of the government appear to be having a caucus meeting rather than paying attention to the business of the House.

The Acting Chair: In view of all that's gone on here in the last 24 hours, I would ask that the government members keep that in mind, but it wasn't necessarily interfering with the progress.

1550

Mrs Boyd: Mr Chair, I wonder if you could ask the government caucus to keep the noise down so that we can hear you as you read the amendments.

The Acting Chair: If you were not able to hear me, then yes, I would ask the government members to consider that, please. Be careful of the kind of plans you make up there. This is an important issue.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cadbury Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cadbury Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

Mr Mike Colle (Oakwood): Mr Chair, on a point of order: I'd like to recognize that in the gallery we've got the mayor of the borough of East York, Michael Prue; the mayor of the city of York, Frances Nunziata; the mayor of Scarborough, Frank Faubert; the mayor of the city of Toronto, Barbara Hall. Metro councillor Maria Augimeri is here and North York councillor Maria Rizzo is here. I'd like to acknowledge their presence.

The Acting Chair: As is normal in the tradition of the House, we welcome you.

Mr Colle: Also a councillor from the city of Etobicoke, representing the city of Etobicoke — excuse me, I don't

remember your first name. Their cities all voted — 76% of their residents voted no to megacity.

The Acting Chair: Thank you, member for Oakwood. That's not a point of order but it is in the tradition of the House to introduce visiting elected officials.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caddy Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caddy Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cadillac Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cadillac Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

I just want to warn the members that that last time I hardly heard the no because there seems to be a considerable amount of chatter to my right, and it may cause some concern in the voting. So I'd appreciate it.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cadman Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cadman Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material"

Mr Colle: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I just wanted to mention that there are three other councillors here present in the gallery: Councillor Irene Jones from the wonderful city of Etobicoke; Councillor Agnes Potts from the wonderful city of Etobicoke; and Councillor Vincent Crisanti from the wonderful city of Etobicoke, which the Speaker calls the city of perfect lawns.

The Acting Chair: Again, that's not a point of order but we appreciate your welcoming those who are attending with us.

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed "nay." In my opinion, the ayes have it. This motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cadmus Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cadmus Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4” —

Mr Colle: Mr Chair, on a point of order: I was just wondering, we have four mayors here and councillors representing two other cities. We’ve got the Minister of Municipal Affairs here.

The Acting Chair: What is your point of order?

Mr Colle: I’m just wondering whether they could sit down — if the minister could have them meet with him and get us out of this mess that he put us in. It’s an opportunity to do that. I make that offer to the minister to talk to them.

The Acting Chair: Member for Oakwood, that is not a point of order. I would ask you to take your chair.

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order, Mr Speaker —

1600

The Acting Chair: A point of order to the member for Nipigon.

Mr Pouliot: Lake Nipigon, indeed, Mr Speaker. Salutations and greetings from the people who have been watching from as far as Fort Severn, the northernmost community — and I want you to know this, Speaker — in the great riding of Lake Nipigon, bordering on the shores of Hudson Bay. If they were there watching — we’re here. We have an opportunity like no other, which is to avail ourselves of a meeting; you people, with respect, what I respect. One, two flights of stairs directly into the meeting office, you can help resolve the dilemma —

The Acting Chair: With all due respect, member for Lake Nipigon, you don’t have a point of order. I ask you to take your seat.

Mr Pouliot: The minister is right here. All he has to do is acquiesce and get us out of this mess. I thank you, Mr Speaker.

The Acting Chair: “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

Mr Colle: On a point of order, Mr Chair: It is just an oversight. We also have in the gallery Councillor Pam McConnell from the city of Toronto, named by Fortune magazine the most livable city in the world. As you know, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Mike Harris are about to destroy that, are trying to destroy it, and we’re here to make sure they don’t do it, and there are witnesses that are trying to stop that.

The Acting Chair: Order. Member for Oakwood, please take your seat. Again, that’s not a point of order but it is our tradition to welcome you to the Legislature.

Report continues in volume H.

STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY COMITÉS PERMANENTS DE L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE

Ministry of Justice / Administration de la justice

Chair / Président: Gerry Martiniuk
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Ron Johnson
 Robert Chiarelli, David Christopherson,
 Bruce Crozier, Ed Doyle, Garry J. Guzzo,
 John Hudak, Ron Johnson, Frank Klees, Peter Kormos,
 Gary L. Leadston, Gerry Martiniuk,
 John L. Parker, David Ramsay, David Tilson
 Clerk / Greffier: Douglas Arnott

Committee on Estimates / Budgets des dépenses

Chair / Président: Gerard Kennedy
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Rick Bartolucci
 Toby Barrett, Rick Bartolucci, Gilles Bisson,
 Jim Brown, Michael A. Brown, John C. Cleary,
 Shirley Kells, Gerard Kennedy, Frances Lankin,
 Ian K. McLean, E.J. Douglas Rollins, Frank Sheehan,
 Bill Vankoughnet, Wayne Wettlaufer
 Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

Committee on Finance and economic affairs /

Finances et affaires économiques

Chair / Président: Ted Chudleigh
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tim Hudak
 Robert Basset, Jim Brown, Ted Chudleigh,
 Joseph Cordiano, Douglas B. Ford, Tim Hudak,
 Monte Kwinter, Tony Martin, Gerry Martiniuk,
 Barry Phillips, Gilles Pouliot, E.J. Douglas Rollins,
 Joseph Spina, Wayne Wettlaufer
 Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

Committee on General government / Affaires gouvernementales

Chair / Président: Bart Maves
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Julia Munro
 Mike Colle, Harry Danford, Jim Flaherty,
 Michael Gravelle, Ernie Hardeman, Rosario Marchese,
 Bart Maves, Julia Munro, Lillian Ross,
 Mario Sergio, R. Gary Stewart, Joseph N. Tascona,
 Len Wood, Terence H. Young
 Clerk / Greffière: Lynn Mellor

Committee on Government agencies / Organismes gouvernementaux

Chair / Président: Floyd Laughren
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tony Silipo
 Rick Bartolucci, Ed Doyle, Douglas B. Ford,
 Gary Fox, Michael Gravelle, Bert Johnson,
 Peter Kormos, Floyd Laughren, Gary L. Leadston,
 Frank Miclash, Dan Newman, Peter L. Preston,
 Tony Silipo, Bob Wood
 Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Legislative Assembly / Assemblée législative

Chair / Président: Ted Arnott
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: John Hastings
 Ted Arnott, Dave Boushy, Tony Clement,
 Brian Curling, Carl DeFaria, Bill Grimmett,
 John Hastings, Ron Johnson, Margaret Marland,
 Les E. Morin, Sandra Papatello, Tony Silipo,
 R. Gary Stewart, Bud Wildman
 Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Ombudsman

Chair / Président: John L. Parker
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tom Froese
 Carl DeFaria, Barbara Fisher, Tom Froese, Pat Hoy,
 W. Leo Jordan, Jean-Marc Lalonde, Rosario Marchese,
 Bill Murdoch, John R. O'Toole, John L. Parker,
 Richard Patten, R. Gary Stewart, Bill Vankoughnet,
 Len Wood
 Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Public accounts / Comptes publics

Chair / Président: Bernard Grandmaître
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Richard Patten
 Marcel Beaubien, Dave Boushy, Gary Carr, Brenda Elliott,
 Gary Fox, Bernard Grandmaître, John Hastings,
 Jean-Marc Lalonde, Shelley Martel, Richard Patten,
 Gilles Pouliot, Sandra Papatello, Derwyn Shea, Toni
 Skarica
 Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Regulations and private bills /

Règlements et projets de loi privés

Chair / Président: Toby Barrett
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Bruce Smith
 Ted Arnott, Toby Barrett, Gilles Bisson, Dave Boushy,
 John Gerretsen, Steve Gilchrist, John Hastings,
 Gerard Kennedy, Tony Martin, Trevor Pettit,
 Lillian Ross, Tony Ruprecht, Frank Sheehan, Bruce Smith
 Clerk / Greffier: Tom Prins

Resources development /

Développement des ressources

Chair / Président: Brenda Elliott
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Barbara Fisher
 Dominic Agostino, John R. Baird, David Christopherson,
 Ted Chudleigh, Marilyn Churley, Sean G. Conway,
 Brenda Elliott, Barbara Fisher, Doug Galt, Pat Hoy,
 Bart Maves, John R. O'Toole, Jerry J. Ouellette,
 Joseph N. Tascona
 Clerk / Greffier: Todd Decker

Social development / Affaires sociales

Chair / Présidente: Annamaria Castrilli
 Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Dwight Duncan
 Marion Boyd, Jack Carroll, Annamaria Castrilli,
 Dwight Duncan, Tom Froese, Helen Johns,
 W. Leo Jordan, Lyn McLeod, Julia Munro,
 Trevor Pettit, Peter L. Preston,
 Bruce Smith, Bud Wildman
 Clerk / Greffière: Tonia Grannum

CONTENTS

Friday 4 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, Mr Leach

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, M. Leach

C 72 0V
X1
- 023

Government
Publications



No. 176H

N° 176H

ISSN 1180-2987

Legislative Assembly of Ontario

First Session, 36th Parliament

Assemblée législative de l'Ontario

Première session, 36^e législature

Official Report of Debates (Hansard)

Friday 4 April 1997

Journal des débats (Hansard)

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers



Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers

Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance :

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion, 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais : 1-800-668-9938.



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Friday 4 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

Report continued from volume G.

1605

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996 LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.

The Acting Chair (Mr Bruce Crozier): NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cadorna Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cadorna Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caesar Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caesar Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This motion shall be deferred.

Mrs Marion Boyd (London Centre): Point of order, Chair: I heard you wistfully wondering whether this was the last one and I think it's important for the people to know that we are just at the C-a-f part of this exercise. We've completed the A streets and the B streets, but we're only barely into C in the alphabet. Thank you, Mr Chair.

The Acting Chair: Thank you to the member for London Centre. I'm only an hour into my time and I didn't know I was sounding wistful already.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cafon Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cafon Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry?

Interjection: Carried.

Interjection: Carried.

The Acting Chair: Carried.

Hon Al Leach (Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing): No.

The Acting Chair: I had it carried before the —

Hon Mr Leach: I’m challenging. We’re going to challenge it.

Interjections.

The Acting Chair: But the Speaker wasn’t here to hear what I did not hear. I did not hear a no before I said “carried” and handed it back to the clerk. I’m sorry.

Interjections.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area.”

Hon Mr Leach: Point of order: I’m challenging the rule of the Chair. I maintain that there was a no.

Hon Noble Villeneuve (Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, minister responsible for francophone affairs): There was more than one no.

Hon Mr Leach: There was more than one no.

Interjections.

Mr John Gerretsen (Kingston and The Islands): What’s your point? There were two noes.

The Acting Chair: If you’ll give me a minute to explain this to the minister. I made no ruling. I merely stated that the motion be carried. I heard that and I said “carried.” I had not heard a no before that. I don’t know who else in this House can tell what I did or did not hear.

Hon Mr Leach: But I think others in this House can state what they said.

The Acting Chair: Minister, I’m being as fair as I can be. Frankly, Minister, it was you who said no and frankly if you hadn’t been carrying on a conversation across the floor, you may have been able to say no more quickly.

Hon Mr Leach: I’m challenging the rule of the chair.

The Acting Chair: The minister has challenged my ruling, so we’ll call for the Speaker.

The committee rose and requested a ruling of the Speaker.

The Acting Chair: Speaker, the committee has risen and we’ve brought you in for a challenge to the Chair, that challenge being on a motion.

Interjection: Pray be seated.

The Speaker (Hon Chris Stockwell): Thank you.

The Acting Chair: I asked, “Shall the motion be carried?” I heard “carried” and heard nothing else until I said “carried,” after which I heard a no.

Hon Mr Leach: Point of order.

The Speaker: The challenge was made by?

Interjections: The minister.

The Speaker: Minister.

Hon Mr Leach: There was a no. I said “no.” There was a carried, a no —

Interjections.

Hon Mr Leach: But that doesn’t call the vote.

Interjection.

The Speaker: Member for Kingston and The Islands, I want to hear the point of order. Minister of Municipal Affairs.

Hon Mr Leach: In my estimation it only takes one no to get the vote called. There was a no, and I said “no.”

Interjection: After it had already carried.

Interjection: Point of order, Mr Speaker.

The Speaker: I’ll be back. Just a second. I’ll go to Renfrew North.

Mr Sean G. Conway (Renfrew North): The point at issue is that the Chair of the committee put the question. The Chair, the umpire whose decision it is to make, said he heard no negative before he made the ruling, before he passed the question. It seems to me, looking at our standing orders, there was a time in this place when we could challenge. Those rules were changed a few years ago.

The question that it seems to me is before the assembly now is very simply this: Does the umpire under these rules — and standing order 13 is the one that I’m looking at — continue to have the authority to make the judgments. I listened very carefully. The Chair put the question and, most importantly, the Chair said he heard no “no,” and on the basis of what the Chair heard, he moved on. I don’t know how there is anything relevant beyond what the Chair said he heard in this instance. I’ll go to the chief government whip.

The Speaker: Thank you.

Mr David Turnbull (York Mills): It seems to me that yesterday a similar situation occurred when the member for London Centre rose and indicated that she had in fact voted and there was a ruling in the affirmative.

The Speaker: Member for Oakwood.

Mr Mike Colle (Oakwood): We on the side have been monitoring and listening. We heard no “no” from the other side. It’s especially important to remember that it’s the minister who’s making this challenge. This is the same member who did not hear 400,000 noes from the people —

The Speaker: That isn’t even close to being in order. I’ve got to caution all members. I’m here to hear points of order. That’s not a point of order. In fact, if all you’re trying to do is create disorder in the House, I would ask you

to speak to the point of order. I said I would go to the member for St Catharines-Brock after.

Mr Frank Klees (York-Mackenzie): That's the whole point of this exercise.

The Speaker: Member for — I know where you're from, but I just can't remember.

Mr Tom Froese (St Catharines-Brock): As you know, this session has been very loud and very debated and sometimes you can hear and sometimes you can't. Sometimes you can hear everything else, but I had said "no."

Mr Gilles Pouliot (Lake Nipigon): What I personally fear, and I was here, with the incident is that we don't deteriorate in "he said" and "he said the opposite" by virtue of the very partisanship that we indulge in this constitutional monarchy of ours.

What is very important, with respect, is not to lose the issue. The Chair was most consistent and deliberate. What happened is that they were asleep at the switch. The Chair, non-partisan ruled that he indeed heard no negative, nothing to the contrary and said "carried," and the tapes will attest to this. I thank you, Mr Speaker.

1620

Ms Frances Lankin (Beaches-Woodbine): I don't envy the position you're in. I would like to speak to the process of appealing a discretionary decision by the Chair. This is not a decision based on precedent, on parliamentary authorities, on standing orders. It's based on the discretion of the Chair, what the Chair heard and an honourable member in the chair exercising that discretion.

I'm not sure where in fact you have leeway to make a decision different from the Chair. I would suggest to you there have been occasions in which the Chair at the time, when challenged by a member saying, "Mr Speaker, he didn't hear me" or "Mr Speaker, I said" as the Chair was beginning to move on, has said: "I'm sorry. You're right, I didn't hear you," and with discretion reverted in the process. There have been other occasions where the Chair has said: "I'm sorry. I was listening very carefully. I heard no such thing and I'm moving on." In a sense, it cuts both ways. The Chair at the time must use their discretion and the chairs have been using their discretion to revert when challenged or to say, "No, I'm sorry, I'm going to proceed."

I don't see an easy way for the Speaker of the assembly to supplant their judgement on an event that happened when they weren't in the chamber for the discretion of the Chair of the committee at the time. When we're not dealing with a question of law, when we're not dealing with a question of interpretation of standing orders, when we're not dealing with a question of parliamentary authority, we're dealing with what we heard.

Mr Bud Wildman (Algoma): It's a matter of opinion. "It's in my opinion," he said.

Ms Lankin: In the opinion of the Chair and the discretion of the Chair, despite what members are saying now, the Chair believed that he listened attentively and heard no negative, that he provided an ample opportunity and then proceeded based on that. Thank you very much.

The Speaker: The minister responsible for privatization.

Hon Rob Sampson (Minister without Portfolio Privatization): We'll get that one of these days. To this point, I think it's important to understand that during the

proceedings a few minutes ago when the Chair was moving the motion, as you can probably expect at various times throughout the last while the noise level in this chamber has been up and down. I would put it to you that at that point in time there were other discussions being held at that time.

But I think what we're asking is that the Chair in his decision, and now having petitioned that decision to you, consider a precedent that we actually saw in this House on April 2 that was referred to earlier by one of the members here who spoke to this point — I can't remember which one — when in fact it was on a government motion that was being considered. Hansard refers to the Chair at that time saying: "Is it the wish of the committee that this government motion carry? I declare the motion carried," at which time a member opposite said, "I said no." The Deputy Chair at that time said; "I didn't hear you. I'm sorry," and then proceeded to put the question again: "All those in favour say 'aye.' All those opposed say 'nay'," and then made his decision based upon what he had heard at that time.

I think that is a precedent that we are asking the Chair to consider in fact he in his wisdom decided that he did not hear the gentleman from this side, the minister say no. I in fact did hear the minister say no. I indeed did not say no, but I did hear him say no. I would ask that the Speaker, in consideration of the petition to him, consider the precedent that we have in fact had on this very bill in this very House two days ago.

The Speaker: The Minister for Municipal Affairs.

Hon Mr Leach: All we're requesting is that the question be put again.

The Speaker: Minister of Agriculture.

Hon Mr Villeneuve: Mr Speaker, I, in a previous Parliament, had the very high privilege of occupying both those chairs and being challenged from time to time. I must tell you I was in the House. It was at a point in time when some very high-profile municipal politicians were making their retreat from the galleries here. I did say no. I thought sure I heard some negative from this side. There was a lot of commotion. I appreciate what the Chair of the committee of the whole goes through when there's a lot of commotion, a lot of noise. Yes, you can and you could, quite easily. But I feel very sure that I did say no and I heard some noises.

The Speaker: The member for Ottawa Centre.

Mr Richard Patten (Ottawa Centre): While everyone is sharing their views on what they saw happen, I indeed did hear the minister say no. However, it was on the second portion of asking the question. On the first one, "Is it the wish this be carried?" there was a voice vote, "Carried," pause, "Carried," and at that point it's my recollection the minister did say no, perhaps assuming it was the first question being asked. But at that point it's my understanding that it had passed and that was the decision of the Chair.

The Speaker: Thank you very much. I appreciate all the input from all the members. I think it would be appropriate to take a 30-minute recess to review the submissions.

The House recessed from 1627 to 1716.

The Speaker: Thank you to the members for your submissions on that point of order. I appreciate the time it

took and they were certainly helpful. I have taken the unusual step of reviewing the tape of the vote on the amendment in question. I had the technicians turn the background noise up in order to be able to hear, to enable me to have the best appreciation for what occurred. I have to say to this House that what is on the tape could not be any more clear. The Chair of the committee put the question and no dissenting voice was heard until after he declared it carried. On a question put, the Chair actively listens for that dissenting voice in order to determine whether a voice vote is required. The Chair heard no dissenting voice. I could hear no dissenting voice on the tape.

I have no choice but to uphold the ruling of the Chair. If the committee of the whole has taken a decision through inadvertence that the majority did not intend, it is open to the committee to reverse that decision with unanimous consent. Thank you.

House in committee of the whole.

Hon David Johnson (Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, Government House Leader): Mr Chair, in view of the Speaker's ruling, then, and I think the members opposite having gone through the last 1,200 or 1,500 amendments which are, as we all know, precisely the same except for one different street name in there, it is pretty clear what the will of the House, the will of the committee of the whole, the will of all of the members, would have been on that particular item. The Speaker's ruling has indicated that he could not discern a no vote on the tape, but it's pretty clear that we had some 1,200 or 1,500 — I don't know what we're up to now — similar situations with similar ones, certainly what the will of this House would be. I would ask that there be unanimous consent that this matter, that particular item be reopened and we put the question again on that particular item.

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): Just give me a few seconds.

Do we have unanimous consent to retake the vote on the motion that referred to Cafon Court? There's no consent.

We will now deal with an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry?

Hon David Johnson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: This amendment is purporting to add a new subsection, 24(4), but as we all know at this point, the previous NDP amendment has already been passed. It was just passed — the Speaker has made a ruling on that — and so it did add subsection 24(4). I would submit to you that as a result this amendment and the remaining 7,000 or 8,000 or however many we've got left, however many streets there are, are out of order. If there is no section there, then one can add that section. However, if there is already a section there with that number, which there is as we speak now because it has been passed, one can amend that particular section, one can delete that section, one could delete that section and then add something else, but one cannot add a section that already exists. At this point in time this section does exist because the previous amendment has been approved.

The motion is very clear. It says, "I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection...." It does not say that the bill be amended by revising or deleting or anything else. It says that the bill be amended by adding a subsection. Mr Chair, that subsection already exists; therefore, this amendment is out of order because it cannot be added because it already exists. I ask for your ruling in that regard, Mr Chair.

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): Mr Chair, on this point: I obviously understand the argument that the government House leader is making. Let me say to you that I believe it should not be adopted because these amendments have been drafted, first of all, in exactly the way in which the precedents, as we understand them, are to be, which is that you draft each amendment as if the previous one did not exist. You do them in a way that if in fact this amendment were to carry, then automatically, in the writing of it, it would be 24(5). This is the understanding that we were given in drafting these and in checking them with legislative counsel, that in fact you have to draft them in this way, as if the previous amendments were non-existent, because each amendment is seeking to amend an existing section in the bill, not a previous amendment.

An amendment cannot be drafted in a way to amend, on the face of it, a previous amendment that's also being presented. An amendment can only be drafted to amend an existing section of the bill. Therefore, every single amendment has to be checked against that rule.

Then there is a process, which has been followed in this place, in this Legislative Assembly, as is in every other parliament, that the clerks go through and renumber the amendments based on the final content of the bill, based on what amendments in fact have been adopted by the time that the bill goes on from this stage to the next stage. That, I think, is the proper construction, the proper way in which this approach has been taken many, many times. So I think that the government House leader is wrong on this one.

Hon David Johnson: I would submit again that it may well be that it would be convenient if the next one was renumbered to read subsection 24(5), but that's not how it's presented. It is presented as subsection 24(4) and the fact remains that subsection 24(4) already exists, already is there and therefore cannot be added. It can be revised or deleted or whatever, but it cannot be added.

The Chair: I will take a recess for about 10 minutes. I shall be back.

The committee rose and requested a ruling of the Speaker.

1750
The Speaker: Government House leader.

Hon David Johnson: There was a ruling that you made previously, not too long ago, involving Cafon Court I think it is I believe in the city of Etobicoke, whereby an NDP amendment, one of some 8,000 or 9,000, each of which references either a different street or a different lake or a different stadium or a different island or a different industrial zone, inside or outside of Metropolitan Toronto, which one of our members —

Interjections.

The Speaker: I appreciate the interjections. It's points of order. You will in fact be given an opportunity, if you wish, to make your submissions.

Interjection.

The Speaker: The member for Lake Nipigon, I'll preside. Thank you.

Hon David Johnson: Each of which, I must say, one of our members calculated based on a total cost to run this House of \$250,000 a day. It would cost about \$1,000 —

The Speaker: Government House leader, the point of order, please.

Hon David Johnson: That amendment, having been carried, does add subsection (4) to section 24 of the bill. The next amendment, which has just been put, which I've asked for the Chair and subsequently yourself to rule on, also purports to add, and the key word here is "add", subsection (4) to section 24.

It's certainly been my experience, and I suspect yours through many years in government, that if there is an existing section, an existing subsection, as there now is in this bill because subsection (4) section 24 has now been officially passed, then one can revise that subsection, one can delete that subsection, but one cannot add something that is already there. That is the source of my query as to whether this in fact is in order.

Now, in the ruling, the Chair of the committee of the whole has quoted section 138 of the standing orders, part (b), which references the ability of the office of the legislative counsel to "revise, print and put marginal notes on all public and private bills and generally be responsible for the correctness of all such bills in their various stages."

That would seem to me to be of a minor administrative nature and involve tidying up the bills. What we're talking about here in my submission is the adding of a whole new clause that already exists, and the key word again here, in the operative section is, "I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding," — adding — "the following subsection" and it's already there.

So I would submit that section (b), being a minor and in detail cleaning up of whatever is there already, should not

pertain to adding something that's already there. I would ask for your ruling in that regard, to rule that this amendment is out of order and not only this amendment but the subsequent 7,000 streets and islands and lakes which are yet to come also out of order.

Mr Wildman: The government House leader is attempting to make a mountain out of a molehill. The Chair ruled based on rule 138(b), clearly. All of us who have had experience in this House and committees know that when amendments are put to bills and are passed, it leads to a renumbering of the subsequent sections and any subsequent amendments that might be put in passing. That is in fact an editorial revision which is carried out on a routine basis by legislative counsel in cleaning up the legislation for final printing.

That's the way it's always been done and if the government House leader were correct in his assertion, it would simply be a way of precluding any further amendments. All the government would have to do, on any particular section, is move an amendment initially and would then preclude any other amendments that had already been filed, but were not numbered, taking into account the amendment that had been passed, would rule them out of order. That would be patently ridiculous. All of us know it would be patently ridiculous and I suspect the government House leader himself knows that.

The fact is the Chair of the committee of the whole House has ruled properly. It's an unfortunate situation that his ruling was challenged and I think it's incumbent upon you, as Speaker, to uphold the ruling of the Chair.

Mrs Lyn McLeod (Fort William): I would just add that I think the clarity of the decision rendered by the Chair of the committee is evident. If we were to think in terms of a committee at which there are NDP amendments and Liberal amendments that would create the same section and subsection, as indeed there undoubtedly are if we were able to get to the set of Liberal amendments on this bill, they only become revised in the event that both of those amendments were to pass.

I would think that the whole issue of revision of the numbers and the numbering of them, as the standing order indicates, is done by the Clerk on passage of amendments to the bill. In terms of the sheer volume being a problem in terms of the revision process, I think volume would only become a problem if it is the government's intent to pass significantly more of the amendments before us.

The Speaker: The minister for privatization.

Hon Mr Sampson: Thank you, Mr Speaker. I knew you'd get it.

I want to rise on this point to discuss a few issues that I think might be relevant, and I hope are relevant, to this topic.

One is that clearly we've seen so far, and I can't remember what the number is, over 1,000 — a few number — of these particular amendments which are substantially the same wording with the exception of the change of the street. These have all been tabled by the NDP.

I think it's important to note in the wording of these amendments that they in fact presented these amendments collectively together, so they knew that they would be considered together. These are not amendments that frankly in committee may come up subsequent to the hearing of

amendments originally tabled. Clearly they understood the full content and the full weight and the full purpose of these amendments when they were drafted by themselves in this very House.

In that regard, I would say to you they clearly believed that there was potential for one amendment to be accepted and potentially all the others not, or they had clearly meant that all of them would be accepted, in which case I would put to you, sir, that they would have numbered them such and worded them such that one was an amendment of an amendment, or that they were all bundled into one amendment.

I'm trying to draw your attention to the fact that the intent here, I don't think, was to have us consider these as a bundle but as separately. Therefore, if they're considered separately, the consideration of a subsequent amendment to the section would be not in order because it would be substantially altering the content and the thrust of that amended section of the bill.

I want to draw your attention to the comment that was raised by the Chair in his order. He was referring to 138(b) which deals with the revision printing and marginal notes on public and private bills. I believe you know that as it relates to marginal notes, the reference there is not in regard to the numbering of a bill but to the notes that are on the side of a bill on each section, drawing attention to the public what is considered by that particular —

Interjection.

The Speaker: The member for Cochrane South, come to order.

Hon Mr Sampson: — drawing the consideration by the reader of the bill to what the content of that particular section might be. For instance, in the very bill we're considering now, the marginal note for 24 is "regulations," telling us that section 24 will deal with regulations.

The reference to marginal notes here, I put to you, is not the numbering of a section but the content of that section. There is nothing in the wording of 138(b) that gives the office of the legislative counsel the authority to renumber. If that were the case, I would put to you that it would have said "renumber." "Revised" means frankly to change the bill in accordance with the decisions of this House. "Printing" is quite clear, the printing of the bill as subsequently revised. As I put to you, the marginal note issue is not referring to the changing of the numbering or the sequencing of the items of the bill, but clearly the words on the side of the page. There is nothing here that says the office of the legislative counsel has the authority to renumber a particular clause or section of a bill. That must be done as part of the motions and the amendments that are put to this House or to any other committee for consideration.

1800

I say in summing up, it's not clear to me in reviewing what has transacted in this House, since we started reviewing these particular amendments, that it was the purpose of the movers of these particular amendments, the NDP, to have them considered in bulk. They clearly wanted them to be considered separately and so they were prepared to have some accepted and some not accepted. One has now been decided by this House to have been accepted. I would say, then, subsequent amendments referring to this very subsection that is now in fact in the bill are not in order because

they indeed would be amendments to amendments, and as you know, Mr Speaker, amendments to amendments must be considered first before the original amendment motion.

The Speaker: Thank you. The member for Fort — no, for Dovercourt.

Mr Silipo: I know it's been a long day, Speaker.

The reason why the amendment that we have presented in this particular amendment is drafted with section 24(4) is because we have followed the rules and the long-standing practice of this place and others which indicate that when you are placing amendments you are required to draft them against the bill as it exists at that point in time, because that is the only reasonable construction and the only reasonable way in which multiple amendments, whether they are coming from one party or one member or whether they are coming from a multitude of parties, can be dealt with.

It is at the end of that process, when the committee or the House, as the case may be, has made a decision on what amendments it is going to make to an existing piece of legislation and passes the bill as amended that then the job of the clerk as envisioned in rule 138(b), a very important function not a very superficial one, as the government House leader would have you believe, but a very important one kicks in, which is to, "Revise, print and" — yes — "put marginal notes" — we're not arguing here about marginal notes — "on all public and private bills" — and this is the other important — "and generally be responsible for the correctness of all such bills in their various stages."

I would say to you, Speaker, that what has happened is completely within the rules as set out in the standing orders and certainly as set out in precedents and practice of the Legislative Assembly and its committees, which is that amendments are drafted against the bill as it exists. Once the decisions are made about which amendments are approved or not, then there is a renumbering, if that is required. Clearly in this case that would be required. If subsequent amendments to the one that has already been approved, 24(4), would be passed, then a renumbering would be required to subsequent amendments, and if not, then it would stand as it is.

Mr Conway: I want to just make, on the point that's been raised by the honourable member and spoken to by several members, some observations in that respect myself and summarize what I believe has happened and where we are, because I do think this is germane to the ruling that you're being asked to make.

We have an important piece of government legislation before the House affecting over two million people in Metropolitan Toronto, Bill 103. We are being guided through this process by a time allocation motion which the House passed on January 29, 1997. We are at the committee of the whole stage and amendments are being proposed. A few moments ago an opposition amendment carried, and as I understand it the effect of the amendment which the committee has now carried is essentially the following. I don't know how many people had an opportunity to look at this, but I've just in recent moments had a chance to reflect on this, and I think as we go forward we all of us ought to know what it is we have done and where we are.

This committee of the whole a few moments ago passed the following motion that essentially says that the regula-

tory section of this important bill — the committee of the whole has approved an amendment that says for those Ontarians living at Cafon Court in the city of Etobicoke, the rules of this bill's application will vary in this respect, because here's what the committee of the whole has passed:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cafon Court” — in the city of Etobicoke — “living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of” — said street —

The Speaker: Order. I just need to relate this back to the point of order that's at hand, which is, as I understand, 138(b).

Mr Conway: Listen, I've got some experience in these matters and I just want the House to know what it has done. We've passed in this committee an amendment that says there will be a group of people in the city of Etobicoke who will be treated in a very special way. The special circumstances are for purposes of this debate relevant in one particular respect. There's a 60-day period, as I read this amendment, that the minister shall provide notice of a public hearing; there's a 30-day period. All I'm saying is that as I read the amendment that the committee has passed, if you live on Cafon Court, you're going to be treated specially —

Interjection.

Mr Conway: No, hear me out. You're going to be treated specially and there's going to be from this day forward not less than 60 days before the provisions of the amendment that the committee has just passed are met.

I come back to, with that in mind, the committee has passed that amendment. Now I've got a time allocation motion that is —

Interjection.

Mr Conway: Trust me, this is not trivial, just so you know what we've done. We've amended the bill in a critical way as it applies in terms of its application to one part of Metropolitan Toronto. As I read the amendment for it's application in Cafon Court, it is going to be at least two months —

The Speaker: Member for Renfrew North, I appreciate you standing on this. I get the sense you're in the point of debating the amendment or at least explaining the amendment, and I appreciate that, but I need to hear the point of order which was brought up by the government House leader. If you could move directly to that I'd appreciate it.

Mr Conway: I'm happy to do that. That's my reading of the impact of the amendment that the committee has passed, and I've got in my hand a time allocation motion that is governing the rest of this process. The committee was asked a short time ago to give consent to reopen the question. The committee denied consent. As I understand it, assuming no other change, this bill will now proceed and will be reported with this Cafon Court amendment intact.

The Speaker: That's correct.

Mr Conway: We will then under the January 29, 1997, time allocation motion go forward for one sessional day and another question will be put, “Shall the bill be read a

third time?” We can't undo the Cafon Court amendment without consent. I'm not aware of any provisions under our rules that will allow that.

I just want to make the point for the House that the House in committee of the whole has made a decision. It is a significant decision with significant —

The Speaker: Member for Renfrew North, I appreciate the point you're making. As I understand it, the point you're making I think is kind of an interesting point of order, to be quite honest, and it may have far-reaching impacts on this bill. I understand that. But the problem I have is it's got nothing to do with what I'm doing here. I appreciate your input. I appreciate your insight, and as usual it's interesting, but I think I've heard enough from both sides. I would like to take a five-minute recess, review this and report back.

The House recessed from 1810 to 1818.

The Speaker: Thank you for your submissions, to the members from all sides of the House. I've reviewed the submissions. Let me just say that this practice, I think, would be considered accepted in the standing orders. To set this down as far as precedent or practice is concerned, you could find, since we started meeting here as the province of Ontario, literally thousands of examples of renumbering. Without any discussion or debate, I will uphold the ruling of the Chair.

House in committee of the whole.

Interjections.

The Chair: I won't accept any exchanges between the floor. If you want to talk to each other, do it outside.

I will reintroduce the NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation”

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair. I don't believe there is a quorum.

The Chair: Would you please verify if there is quorum.

Clerk at the Table (Ms Lisa Freedman): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: Quorum is now present, Chair.

The Chair: “I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caines Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cairncross Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cairncross Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cairns Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cairns Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

I just want to remind the members that when there is a vote and you stand, you should be in your seat.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cairnside Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cairnside Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caithness Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caithness Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1830

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calais Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calais Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caldari Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caldari Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calderon Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calderon Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calderstone Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calderstone Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caldow Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caldow Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion should say "aye." All those opposed to the motion should say "nay." I declare the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1840

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cald Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cald Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Shelley Martel (Sudbury East): On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interest of time I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Chair: Caledonia.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caledonia Park Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caledonia Park Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caledonia Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caledonia Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caley Terrace living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caley Terrace living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calibre Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calibre Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calico Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calico Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1850

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Callender Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Callender Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Callowhill Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Callowhill Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calm Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calm Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calora Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calora Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calstock Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calstock Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1900

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calthorpe Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calthorpe Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calumet Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calumet Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill” —

Interjection: Dispense.

The Chair: Dispense? No.

— “be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calverley Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calverley Trail living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair. In the interest of time, I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Chair: NDP motion:

Interjections.

The Chair: The member for Lake Nipigon. The member for Sudbury. The member for Sudbury East. The member for Simcoe East. Minister. The member for Lake Nipigon. Order. Order. I won’t accept any exchange between the two floors. I’m reading amendments and pay attention to it, please.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:”

Interjections.

The Chair: Minister. The member for Lake Nipigon. I just asked you to refrain from exchanging words between yourselves. Would you please —

Interjections.

The Chair: Please.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calvin Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calvin Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

Interjection: Dispense.

The Chair: Dispense? No.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Calvington Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Calvington Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1910

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camarel Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camarel Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camberley Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camberley Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,"

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, please.

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camberwell Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, please. Order.

“1. The minister has given notice” —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camberwell Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camborne Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camborne Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cambrai Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cambrai Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cambrian Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cambrian living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cambridge Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cambridge Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is this the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1920

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camden Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camden Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camelot Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camelot Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cameo Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cameo Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interests of time I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cameron Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cameron Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interests of time I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to ask the member for Sudbury East, is it Cameron Cr or Cameron Crescent that you’re withdrawing?

Ms Martel: I’m assuming that Cr is also Crescent.

The Second Deputy Chair: Sometimes some people consider it a short form. But it’s Cr that you’re withdrawing?

Ms Martel: Yes.

The Second Deputy Chair: It is done.

Mr Wildman: Some people think cur is a male dog.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. As long as you’re not calling each other that, it’s fine, but I don’t want that to be going back and forth. There is no talking back and forth. You’re in your seats. You’re to listen attentively to what I’m reading and I don’t want that talk back and forth. I want order on both sides of this House.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cameron Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cameron Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interests of time I will withdraw the next amendment.

Mr Bart Maves (Niagara Falls): Point of order, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: No. I want to rule on this one and then I'm going to get back to you. I want to be sure I'm following with the member for Sudbury East. You want to withdraw the residents of Cameron Lake? So be it.

I want to recognize the member for Niagara on a point of order.

Mr Maves: That amendment was withdrawn because of time or because it was a lake rather than a street?

The Second Deputy Chair: I don't know. Did you want to add anything to why it's withdrawn?

Ms Martel: No.

Mrs McLeod: It was left out of Mike's omnibus list.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don't believe there's a quorum.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'll check for you. Would you please check and see if there is a quorum present in the House right now.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cameron Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cameron Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

1930

This also is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camilla Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camilla Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Pouliot: Point of order: I don't believe the House is duly constituted. Quorum call, please.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'll ask the person at the table to check if there's a quorum. Would you please check to see if there's a quorum?

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camlac Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camlac Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this House this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camor Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camor Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Campania Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Campania Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this House this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Campbell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Campbell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: Mr Chair, In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: Listen, I don't mind reading it if you don't want to.

Mr Pouliot: You're doing a fine job.

Ms Martel: It's up to you, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: Withdrawn.

Mr Pouliot: We missed you this afternoon.

The Second Deputy Chair: I was here this afternoon.

Mr Ernie Hardeman (Oxford): Mr Chair, on a point of order: In process, I was just wondering how I would identify the one that was withdrawn.

Ms Martel: It's all in the package that we gave you.

Mr Hardeman: I don't have the package. I'm not sure how I would identify the amendment that was withdrawn without some identification as to what that amendment would be.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would explain to you that it was Campbell Avenue and it was an identical one to the one that was before you. Since you aren't, I won't.

Mrs McLeod: Since he wasn't in his seat.

Ms Martel: Point of order, Mr Chair: If I could be helpful to the members, they could check with their House leader. They were given two copies of all of these amendments and they could follow along, as we are, if they really wanted to know what was happening.

The Second Deputy Chair: We appreciate the suggestion. Thank you very much.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, please. Order. Would the member for Lake Nipigon come to order. Would the member for Hamilton East come to order. Would the member for Quinte in his wrong seat come to order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Campbell Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Campbell Crescent living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

1940

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is defeated.

Mrs Boyd: Really cute trick, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camperdown Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camperdown Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.""

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

I want to just stop. I've been thinking for a moment and I want to address the member for London Centre. You made a comment. I either have to know the reason for it or I'm going to have to ask you to withdraw it.

Mrs Boyd: The reason for it was you turned to the government and said, "Will those in favour of the vote, say 'aye.'" And then you turned to us and said, "All those against the motion say 'nay.'" Quite frankly, I think you are well aware that people look to the Chair as a signal for what the situation is. It was a partisan action.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to address that because I look with my eyes and I listen with my ears and I don't get the two confused, because they can be going in different directions. So sometimes I will listen to something over here, even though I'm looking in this direction, and vice versa. I do not accept your allegation that it is a trick and I would ask you to withdraw that.

Mrs Boyd: Certainly, Mr Chair, I withdraw.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

I will endeavour to be as fair and reasonable as I can. I am not about to have any tricks or anything else. I don't indulge in those kinds of things. But I do have a very difficult task. We have gone through 26 of these identical motions and if I make a mistake, I'm willing to try to fix it or to apologize or do anything else that I can. But I don't indulge in those tricks and I want that to be very, very clear.

The Chair recognizes the member for Lake Nipigon. Why are you standing?

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes, the Chair recognizes you on a point of order.

Mr Pouliot: I don't think I would do your job for any money and you're to be commended. This is also stamina —

The Second Deputy Chair: Your point of order?

Mr Pouliot: The point of order is this: You have mentioned, with respect, that the amendments were identical. Each and every amendment stands solitaire. To some, they may appear to be webbed or meshed, but they are not. Every amendment is an amendment by itself.

The Second Deputy Chair: So the point is?

Mr Pouliot: The point was made this afternoon. Thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camrose Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camrose Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Camwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Camwood Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Sudbury East on a point of order.

Ms Martel: In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next amendment.

Mr Jim Flaherty (Durham Centre): On that point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Durham Centre.

Mr Flaherty: I listened to the member opposite say that in the interests of time, she wishes to withdraw that motion on behalf of the NDP. In fact, that motion mentions a place that is not a place and I'd ask you to rule it out of order, another machine-produced document wasting taxpayers' money from the NDP opposition.

The Second Deputy Chair: My ruling, the member for Durham Centre, is that it is not a point of order. The member for Sudbury East, it is withdrawn.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canadian Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canadian Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1950

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canadian Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canadian Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This morning or last night, one time when I was here, we had some flowers. I have a particular allergy to pollens. I know that it's unintentional and so on. There's another one. If you wouldn't mind, I don't know how far away, but even in the lounge wouldn't be too bad. I'd appreciate that. Thanks ever so much. I think I'll proceed. The other member will be back, and if there's a vote before he is, I'll hold off on it.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canadine Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canadine Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Everyone is back. Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canarctic Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canarctic Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” It’s my opinion the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Flaherty: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The next motion is also an NDP motion, and again it is an example of a machine-produced amendment that repeats the name of the same street, Canarctic Drive, another example of the phenomenon known as “garbage in, garbage out.” I’d ask that you rule it out of order, sir.

Mr Pouliot: Mr Chair?

The Second Deputy Chair: Is this on the same point of order?

Mr Pouliot: It is indeed.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Lake Nipigon on the same point of order.

Mr Pouliot: Thank you very kindly, Mr Chair. Simply put, if the people of Ontario knew about the \$3 million that were spent —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, no.

Mr Pouliot: — in refurbishing the Premier’s office we would have a better duplicating machine.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I’m sorry. I want to know the point —

Mr Pouliot: In the interests of time, we will withdraw the following motion.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Lake Nipigon —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. I’m addressing the member for Lake Nipigon. When I’m addressing you, I’d like to have your attention. That is not a point of order.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would the member for Lake Nipigon come to order.

I’m making my ruling to the member for Durham Centre. It is a point of order and this identical one is out of order.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canary Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canary Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

2000

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cancara Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cancara Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Candis Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Candis Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Candle Lite Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Candle Lite Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Candlewood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Candlewood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Candy Court Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Candy Court Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cane Grass Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

“The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cane Grass Way living in the urban area.

“The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order, Mr Speaker: In the last amendment, as you went through you did not read the numbers out. It would help the members to follow if you would read the numbers out. You simply went down from paragraph to paragraph using words and not numbers.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’d like to address that. I had been reading the numbers and I had done it for 104 of them during one term and 82 another and a point came up about television and so on, so I was watching my television. I noticed that the Deputy Speaker was not using them, so I didn’t. But it is a point of order. If you want me to say those numbers as they go down, then we should all be consistent and we’ll do that all the time, or we’ll try to, anyway.

Mrs Boyd: May I tell you that I really appreciate that you’re attempting to deal with some of the criticisms that have been levelled. It is very important to read those numbers as you go down. I’m not aware of another Deputy Speaker not using those numbers and I can assure you that if I am in the House and those numbers aren’t used, I will draw it to another Deputy Speaker’s attention as well.

The Second Deputy Chair: This was the Deputy Speaker, not one of the deputy chairs. I’ve ruled on that. That’s okay then?

Hon Mr Sampson: Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the minister from —

Hon Mr Sampson: Here we go again.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, no, I want to get the right part of this as well. I’ve been mixed up in Durham region; I don’t want to do the same with Mississauga. The minister from Mississauga West.

Hon Mr Sampson: Mr Chair, we just heard from the opposition that they were concerned that we must be very clear about ordering and the numbers in an amendment. I thought we had a ruling from the Speaker that the orders and the numbers in an amendment weren’t necessary and I was wondering whether or not we have an issue here that’s being drawn to our attention that the opposition would like us to give serious consideration to all the numbers in the amendment, whether they be the main number or a subcomponent. If so, perhaps we should draw the Speaker’s attention to that.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’ll get a ruling on that if you just give me a minute.

2010

Hon Mr Sampson: Could you inform the chamber why we have some dead air space?

The Second Deputy Chair: As I understand it we’re getting a ruling on your point of order. I’m getting some help on making a ruling on your point of order, because as you’re aware if I’m wrong you can and might appeal it to the Speaker and that would take time away from the other thing. I think it’s a good point and I like to be right if I can, and if I can’t we’ll go some other way, but I’d like to wait and get the right information.

I’d like to address the point of order from the member for Mississauga West. My ruling on your point of order is that the numbers from 1 to 5 going down the left-hand side are numbering the paragraphs, and I may choose to read them or may choose not to read them as I see fit. That is my ruling.

Mrs Boyd: I couldn’t hear your ruling.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. My ruling is that the numbers 1 through 5 down the left-hand side of this motion are paragraph numbers, and I can read them or not, depending on whether I want to. Because this contradicts my ruling to you a few minutes ago, I thought I should tell you that these paragraph numbers as well, the same thing that’s over there, they’re 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, they number the paragraphs, and they aren’t significant. I do not have to read them or I can. Because you’re saying that it helps you follow through, then I’ll probably try to read them as much and as often as I can.

Are we ready to proceed?

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cane Grass Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cane Grass Way living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2020

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canerouth Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canerouth Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canfield Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canfield Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canham Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canham Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canlish Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canlish Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canmore Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canmore Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I wish to withdraw the next amendment because it has no street designation following the name “Canmotor.”

The Second Deputy Chair: Okay. That is a point of order, and it’s withdrawn.

Mr Flaherty: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I was going to ask you to rule that out of order because this machine-produced, garbage amendment simply refers to something called “Canmotor,” not any identifiable place in the city of Toronto. I would ask, then, Chair, that you rule out of order the duplicate one that’s with it called Canmotor Avenue, which is duplicitious and is a copy with the same street appellation as the one that has already been withdrawn by the member whose party continues to produce these garbage-in, garbage-out, computerized, machine-made amendments.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a moment, please.

I’m addressing my ruling to the member for Durham Centre. Canmotor has been withdrawn. We are not on the one that you have requested to be ruled out of order yet, so that is not a point of order.

Mrs Boyd: I have a point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry, I did tell you I’d get back to you and I almost went on without that, and I apologize. The Chair recognizes the member for London Centre on a point of order.

Mrs Boyd: I just want to assure you that we have marked the ones where there are errors. We have very faithfully all day been withdrawing those motions, because it is not appropriate for this committee to be spending time on motions that are not correct. I would remind you, Mr Chair, that we are under a time allocation motion. If we were in committee of the whole without a time allocation motion, we would be able to debate these things and there would be changes and revisions and amendments to amendments; because we’re under this time allocation motion, we cannot. It is not unusual to find that there are errors in a motion that has been put forward and submitted.

The Second Deputy Chair: I understand, but that is not a point of order.

Mr Flaherty: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I’m pleased to hear from the member opposite that she has,

with the assistance of her party members, identified every duplicate motion of the 13,000 or so. Would she please identify them now for the committee of the whole House so that they can all be withdrawn now to save the taxpayers of Ontario countless thousands of dollars. I'd ask that you make that ruling.

2030

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I want to address that. That is not a point of order. As I understand the rules, that could only be done with unanimous consent? There's no unanimous consent.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Would the members please come to order. I have some important amendments to read that I want to get into the record. Order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canmotor Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canmotor Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canning Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canning Avenue living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

""(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cannon Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

""1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cannon Road living in the urban area.

""2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

""3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

""4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

""5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

""i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

""ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

""iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

""iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of the committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

""Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cannonbury Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cannonbury Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Flaherty: Point of order, Mr Chair: Regretfully, I am obliged to rise once again, sir, because the New Democratic Party has again put forward amendments that are duplicitous. The next two amendments before you refer to the same streets and I’d ask that you rule them out of order and that you also make a ruling that all duplicitous amendments, all of the ones that have been filed by the party — and the member says she has identified them — that she identify them for the Chair in the House so that we will not continue to waste taxpayers’ time on duplicitous motions.

Mrs Boyd: On that point of order, Mr Speaker: It’s the same order, Mr Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will address some comments to the member for Durham Centre and despite my inclination I’m going to let the member for London Centre speak on the same point of order.

Mrs Boyd: Mr Speaker, the member is quite right; there is a duplication here. As I said earlier, as we go through these, we will withdraw any of the motions that do not have a street designation or are duplicates in any way. That’s what we’ve been doing all day. It is not appropriate — and you already ruled on it — that there would have to be unanimous consent to go through and name all the ones we’re going to withdraw. It’s much faster to do that as we go. It will take much more time and the members of the government have been complaining now for several days about the length of time this is taking. It will take longer if we do not do it in an orderly fashion and do it as we go along.

The Second Deputy Chair: If there was some communication of passing that, then it wouldn’t be an irritant.

My ruling on the point of order, to the member for Durham Centre, is that the one I have in front of me — I can only rule on things now. I have in front of me one that I will have to read. If it wasn’t proper, somebody would bring it to my attention, I would hope, and the next one and so on. But right now I have in front of me an amendment that is in order.

2040

Mr Len Wood (Cochrane North): The Conservative member was out of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Cochrane North is out of order.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canongate Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canongate Trail living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: This is a duplicated motion of the one we just passed. I’d like to withdraw it.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order.

Mr Flaherty: On this same point, Chair, there are many duplicates. I don’t know why the NDP opposition didn’t read their amendments before they filed them with the House. I don’t know why the supporters in the Liberal Party did not read the amendments and are not following them clearly because they’d see these duplications. To save time, why can’t the party opposite immediately list — as the member for London Centre has said, she knows the duplicitous ones, she knows the duplications. Why can’t she list them now for the sake of the House, so they do not waste the time of the House on these duplicitous amendments?

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order. The only remedy to it is unanimous consent, and if I read things right, we don't have that.

I wanted to address a comment to the member for Hamilton East. It is quite unparliamentary to shout out in this chamber. I shouldn't have to warn you.

Mr Dominic Agostino (Hamilton East): I guess I'm the only one, Mr Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: Also, while I'm addressing you, I'd appreciate you not talking.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canso Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canso Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cantel Path living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cantel Path living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canterbury Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canterbury Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canterbury Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canterbury Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canterbury Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canterbury Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cantle Path living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cantle Path living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2050

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canton Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canvarco Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canvarco Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Flaherty: Point of order.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Durham Centre.

Mr Flaherty: Thank you, Chair. Regretfully, again, we're faced with the lack of care by the NDP opposition supported by the Liberals here in this House where the next motion, the next amendment, which they say they have reviewed, I suppose, because otherwise I'm sure they wouldn't present it to this House, doesn't refer to a street or a place or anywhere identifiable in the urban area, simply to something called Canyon. I'd ask that you rule it out of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order.

Mrs Boyd: We are prepared to withdraw it, Mr Chair. That is why I was saying, "Point of order."

The Second Deputy Chair: Canyon is out of order and we will proceed.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canyon Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canyon Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Canzone Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Canzone Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2100

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Capella Starway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Capella Starway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Capri Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Capri Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Capella Starway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied" —

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Chair: You're going the wrong way. We've already done Capella Starway. You should be on Capstan Court.

The Second Deputy Chair: Oh, the one in front of me said Capella Starway.

Mrs Boyd: Yes, but you had the wrong one in front of you. Somebody handed you the wrong one. We had already passed Capella Starway.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I want to address the member for London Centre.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: It's five after 9, I've gone through about 65 of these and I'm getting a little irritated. I'd like to get on with this and make this ruling. I want to address the member for London Centre and I want the attention particularly —

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: You will come to order.

Mr Len Wood: Sorry, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I accept your apology.

The second-last one I did was Capri Road. The last one I did was Capella Starway. The one I have in front of me now is Capstan Court. Now, what am I doing wrong?

Mrs Boyd: Excuse, Mr Chair, you repeated Capella Starway. I was just telling you that you had repeated Capella Starway and that we're on Capstan Court, that's all. I didn't want us to pass one twice.

The Second Deputy Chair: We're on Capstan Court now. Is that the right road, street, court?

Mrs Boyd: Court.

The Second Deputy Chair: By the way, that was my mistake. I got the wrong ones and I got them mixed up. I apologize for any mistakes that I make. I try my best. I have a very difficult situation. We're on two-hour shifts. I try to do this as expediently as I can, under very difficult circumstances. I'm up over 60 or 65, which is way, way low. I think I should be able to do one a minute, which would give us 120 of these through each two-hour shift, so I guess I apologize for not going faster.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Capstan Court living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Capstan Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Captain Hall Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Captain Hall Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Flaherty: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Once again, there's a problem with the shoddy work by the opposition in the preparation of their amendments. The next amendment refers to something called Captains Way and the one after that to something called Captains Way Court. The member for London Centre has assured the House that she's identified all of her party's mistakes, of which there are many, in these machine-produced amendments and she wants to save time in this House. She knows the order; she just made that clear to you. I ask, sir, that you ask the member to identify to the Chair which one of these motions refers to a legal place in the urban area, and if she can't, that you rule them both out order, seriatim.

The Second Deputy Chair: What's that word?

Mrs Boyd: One after the other.

The Second Deputy Chair: The reason I winced is that in the place I come from, we call that tandem.

I'm seeking your assurances that these are proper unless I hear otherwise.

Mrs Boyd: It's my information, Mr Chair, that there is a Captains Way and a Captains Way Court. Those of us who know subdivisions know that's not an unusual thing. That is my information.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Captains Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Captains Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

2110

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Captains Way Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied” —

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): On a point of order, Mr Chair: A moment ago you ruled on a point from my colleague from Durham Centre and in the rebuttal the member for London Centre indicated it was her understanding that in fact there was both a Captains Way and a Captains Way Court. Given that is a very blunt statement, a statement of fact, I wonder if the member opposite could be asked where she derives that information and cite the authority, because the street in question is in Scarborough. There is only one within the urban area. Under the standing orders it is illegal for us to consider the same question twice. It is the same street being referred to. It must be, because there is only one. Accordingly, under standing order 51, we cannot consider this amendment.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, it's not my way to take two things on a point of order. I'll address this first. I'm addressing the member for Scarborough East on a point of order. I'm taking these the best way I can, on the best information and so on, and my ruling on your point of order is that it's not a point of order. It's been ruled on before.

Mr Len Wood: Good evening.

The Second Deputy Chair: Are you here to participate? If you are, would you take your seat. You're standing between me and a member of this Legislature who is trying to get my attention so I can make a ruling.

Mrs McLeod: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: Since the member for Scarborough East has returned and is holding up the Perly guide, which he has sought to introduce into the Legislative Assembly as an authoritative resource, and may wish to use it in the future in order to delay the proceedings, which you are attempting to expedite as much as possible, we'd just like to recall that I believe it was at 3:32 am on Friday, which would have been April 3, the Speaker ruled that the resources that could be used as resources in the Legislative Assembly were the standing orders, Beauchesne and Erskine May, but not the Perly guide.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. I've already ruled on his.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Captains Way Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carabob Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carabob Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caracas Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caracas Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.
2120

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caravan Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caravan Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardell Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardiff Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardiff Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4) —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardigan Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardigan Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardinal Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardinal Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: The next motion, Cardwell, has no street designation. We would like to withdraw it.

The First Deputy Chair: Withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardwell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardwell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.
2130

NDP motion, subsection 24(4) —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cardy Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cardy Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carew Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carew Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carey Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carey Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carhartt Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carhartt Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cariboo Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cariboo Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.
2140

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caribou Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caribou Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carisbrooke Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carisbrooke Square living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlisle Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlisle Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carl Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carl Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

Could I ask members, if you want to converse across the floor, to leave the chamber.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carl Shep Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carl Shep Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: the next motion should be withdrawn. It is a variation on the one that we just passed, but the real one was the one that we just passed.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. I don’t have it in front of me yet. I’ll take a look at it and compare. Do you mind if I finish with this one first?

Mr Flaherty: A mistake by the opposition —

The First Deputy Chair: The second one is a variation. It’s just a gap between “Shep” and “Way” in this one. So you want to withdraw this one? Which one should be withdrawn.

Mrs Boyd: Madam Speaker, as I understand it, the one we passed, had the space. It is a person’s name “Carl Shep” Way. The one that ought to be withdrawn is Shepway together in one word, as I understand it.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. Great. Thank you.
2150

Mrs Boyd: While I’m on my feet, two more points of order. Obviously, the computer went nuts at this point, because the next two ones ought to be done. There is one that is Carlaw that has no —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order please, I need to hear this. Okay. Which ones do you need withdrawn?

Mrs Boyd: The next one is Carlaw with no street designation and so it should be withdrawn. Then there is a duplication of Carlaw Avenue so the next one that’s Carlaw Avenue should also be withdrawn.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. They shall be withdrawn. Yes, member for Durham East.

Mr Flaherty: Could we hear from the member why Carlaw Avenue is withdrawn and why Carlaw is withdrawn. Are they both not real places? Are the NDP winging motions about? Are we assuming there is no Carlaw Avenue in this urban area?

The First Deputy Chair: I ruled on this last night. I am going to do so again. We’re past the hour which was designated for questions and comments. We are now into the voice vote passing of the amendments. So there is no latitude for questions and comments. She does not have to, nor under the rules can there be, questions back and forth. She has withdrawn those, and that’s the end of it.

Mr Flaherty: The withdrawal is on the basis that these are not places and that the computer made a mistake. I think it's well known by many people —

The First Deputy Chair: Take your seat, please. As I explained to you, if we were in a period where there was room, under the rules, for questions and comments, we could get into debate and discussion and questions, but now we're past that allocated time, and we're just simply voice voting the amendments.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

Mr Howard Hampton (Rainy River): On a point of order: Is there a quorum present?

The First Deputy Chair: I'll check. Clerk, is there a quorum present?

Clerk Assistant (Ms Deborah Deller): A quorum is not present.

The First Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlaw Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlaw Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlaw Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carling Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carling Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlingview Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlingview Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

2200

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlingwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlingwood Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Mr Klees: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlisle Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlisle Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlson Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlson Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,”

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Speaker: The Minister of Citizenship ought to know better than to speak to people in the gallery. I know that you have had to correct other members in the past, and she has done this again and again.

The First Deputy Chair: I would caution —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for London Centre, if you will give me an opportunity to respond, I will caution all members. You know the rules. If you want to change the rules you can do that by unanimous consent in legislative committee. You can’t do it here tonight. You can’t talk to members in the gallery. Thank you.

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlton Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlton Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

2210

Mr Silipo: On a point of order: Chair, you will recall that earlier this afternoon, I believe it was, this committee passed an amendment to one of the motions that we passed with respect to the residents of Cafon Court. I thought it would be in order for me to tell you that in fact, with my colleague Mr Bisson, we attended at Cafon Court this evening to talk to some of the residents and discovered that in fact 80% of them are against the megacity, and they said very clearly —

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Mr Silipo: Mr di Donato said very clearly —

The First Deputy Chair: You do not have a point of order.

Mr Silipo: He would like Mr Harris to visit them and talk to them —

The First Deputy Chair: Come to order.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: You come to order. Everybody come to order. You are out of order. You are out of order in speaking to me that way. Thank you.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Point of order, the member for Cochrane South. I must have some order to hear points of order. Could you take your seat for a moment, please. I want to say to all members, I cannot hear to determine if there is a point of order or not, when everybody starts drowning out the voice who is asking for the point of order. I heard about three sentences, and after that, I was unable to hear. If you wish me to judge fairly, the best I can, as fairly for all sides of the House, you must let me listen to the point of order. Thank you.

Mr Silipo: Chair —

The First Deputy Chair: You were, as it happened, out of order. It was not a point of order. Member for Cochrane South.

Mr Gilles Bisson (Cochrane South): Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I want to, by way of the standing orders, say that I too was on Cafon Court, and it does have to do with the standing orders specifically.

The First Deputy Chair: Get to your point of order immediately.

Mr Bisson: I’m getting specifically to the point of order. I noticed on going to Cafon Court that there was a sign entering that court. It said “No Exit,” and I think that’s where the government finds itself on this policy, having no exit.

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Are we ready to get back to the amendments?

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Are we ready? NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Mrs Helen Johns (Huron): Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carluke Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carluke Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlyle Street living in the urban area shall be made" —

Mrs Johns: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

— "unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlyle Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carmel Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carmel Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Chair: I believe that the next amendment that we are dealing with deals with the residents of Carmichael Avenue. I just think that it would be highly in order if the residents of Carmichael Avenue were to receive the same rights that the residents of Cafon Crescent received earlier, particularly in light of the comments made to us by Peter and Mary Jansen and by Joe di Donato and by Mr Elio Pagliei, who would like these rights — they live on Cafon Crescent. They would like to see these rights extended to citizens right across Metropolitan Toronto.

2220

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carmichael Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carmichael Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnarvon Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnarvon Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnarvon Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnarvon Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnation Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnation Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carncastle Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carncastle Gate living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 - “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnegie Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnegie Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

2230

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carney Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carney Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation,” —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnforth Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnforth Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1)” —

Mrs McLeod: Madam Chair, I don't think there's a quorum.

The First Deputy Chair: Clerk, is there a quorum?

Clerk at the Table (Ms Lisa Freedman): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is present now, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: A quorum is present.

“Public consultation.

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnival Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnival Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion — could I have order, please. Order. Member for Cochrane North, come to order — subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carnwath Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carnwath Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

2240

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carol Wrenway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carol Wrenway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:” —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carolbreen Square living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carolbreen Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24” —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caroline Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caroline Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carondale Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carondale Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caronia Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caronia Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caronport Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caronport Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion will be deferred.

2250

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caronridge Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caronridge Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carousel Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied” —

Interjection: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carousel Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Chair: The next amendment that we have in front of us does not have a street designation after the name “Carr,” so it is to be withdrawn —

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Sorry, I can't hear. You want to withdraw it?

Mrs Boyd: Yes.

The First Deputy Chair: Let me check. Okay. It's withdrawn.

Member for Scarborough East, you had a point of order?

Mr Gilchrist: Madam Chair, I appreciate the member opposite for London Centre having identified the next amendment that had been tabled by the NDP as being defective. I would draw to your attention today that it is also duplicated on the next two amendments and I would ask you to withdraw one or the other. One says "Carr Street" and one says "Carr Street Court." I don't think any of the municipalities would give two addresses like that, so I suggest that Carr Street is likely the correct one, once again demonstrating a complete lack of attention.

I wonder if in the course of this, at some point, you would invite the member opposite to indicate how much money of taxpayers' dollars was spent in producing these erroneous photocopies and how many trees were killed by these pro-environmentalists to be able to hold up the affairs of this House.

The First Deputy Chair: I'm ready to rule on your point of order if you're ready to hear it. The second half of your point of order was not a point of order. On your first point, I must tell you, I have one amendment in front of me, which I am going to deal with at this time. I do not have the other amendment at this point. I shall move this amendment at this particular time.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: No, I am certainly not. I have one amendment in front of me.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carr Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carr Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Could I ask, please, government members to my right to try to keep it down. It's so close to me, it's very difficult for me to hear.

Hon Cameron Jackson (Minister without Portfolio [Seniors Issues]): And government members to your left?

The First Deputy Chair: I think you know what I mean, member for Burlington South. It's fine to talk, but keep it down a little bit. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carr Street Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied" —

Mr Gilchrist: Point of order, Madam Chair: You chose not to rule on it in advance, and that's certainly understandable, but obviously no municipality gives two names to streets like that. Obviously there's a typographical error. There can only be one Carr Street; there can't be a Carr Street Court. While it may be inane enough for them to have introduced an amendment, no municipality is that inane that they would have given two names to a street. Clearly, in the case of a typographical error, you are bound to look beyond that to the intent of an amendment. You can't debate a duplicate; you can't debate an amendment a second time. According to standing order 51, it is therefore inadmissible.

2300

I would also —

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Scarborough East, I have listened a great deal now to your point of order. Unfortunately it is —

Mr Gilchrist: Selectively indulgent.

The First Deputy Chair: It is not a point of order. The Speaker has already ruled on this. We cannot judge, as Chairs here, on the basis of Perly's. It's as simple as that. We cannot do so. The only persons who can withdraw these amendments are the movers. If that is not done, then I cannot withdraw them based on a point of order.

As I said, in case people want to bring up this point of order again, I want to remind you that I cannot judge whether or not the name of a street is actually valid and I cannot judge by Perly's. That is my ruling. I shall now proceed with the amendment.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carr Street Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carr Street Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carriage Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carriage Lane living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carrick Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carrick Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mrs Boyd: A point of order, Madam Chair: I wonder if you could inform the member for London North —

The First Deputy Chair: Just a moment, I can't hear you. Order. I have a point of order and I can't hear.

Mrs Boyd: Well, Madam Speaker, it does sound more like a cocktail party than a legislature right now, certainly in the government benches.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I please just have your point of order.

Mrs Boyd: My point of order is that you might inform the member for London North and the member for York East that their conversation can be overheard in the ear-phones.

2310

The First Deputy Chair: Although it is not technically a point of order, I think that it's kind of you to assist in that way, and the Clerk will pass that information on. Perhaps you can tell me all about it later.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carrier Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carrier Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mr Doug Galt (Northumberland): There were only four.

The First Deputy Chair: I saw five, but thank you — *Interjections.*

The Chair: Order. We don’t whistle in the House. It’s totally out of order.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carrington Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carrington Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.”

In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carroll Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carroll Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

2320

Mr John R. Baird (Nepean): Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: I only accept points of order on the amendments, okay?

M. Baird : J’ai un point d’ordre très sérieux, Monsieur le Président. C’est que la Loi 8 dit très clairement :

«Les projets de loi de caractère public de l’Assemblée qui sont présentés après le 1^{er} janvier 1991 sont présentés et adoptés en français et en anglais.»

Je voudrais demander si les amendements qui sont présentés par notre collègue du Nouveau Parti démocratique sont présentés en anglais et en français.

Le Président : Je vais vous répondre tout simplement : si les amendements étaient à la table en français, je les lirais en français. Ils sont tous en anglais, donc je n’ai pas de choix que de les lire en anglais.

Ms Lankin: Mr Chair, I just want you to know that we in fact can provide them in French as well, and there is an option for them to be adopted in both official languages. I think that’s a very good idea, I say to the member for Nepean, because we could be here for 80 days and 80 nights.

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Scarborough East, order.

Interjections.

The Chair: The member for Hamilton East, I won’t warn you any more. Monsieur le Ministre, je vous demande d’être à l’ordre.

Interjection.

Le Président : Oui, je comprends, mais il faut l'être.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carsbrooke Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carsbrooke Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Monsieur le Ministre, si ça relève de l'amendement.

L'hon M. Villeneuve : Oui. Un point d'ordre, Monsieur le Président : Nous avons 11 500 amendements faisant affaire avec. J'ai même à en entendre un en français.

Le Président : Je suis certain que vous avez compris. Il n'y a pas de problème. Ça va ? Oui.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carscadden Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied" —

Interjections.

The Chair: I will continue when you keep quiet.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carscadden Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Baird: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: On a point of order on the amendment only.

M. Baird : C'est bien sur les points d'ordre très sérieux. C'est sur mon dernier point d'ordre. La Loi 8, article 3(2) est très claire :

«Les projets de loi de caractère public de l'Assemblée qui sont présentés après le 1^{er} janvier 1991 sont présentés et adoptés en français et en anglais.»

J'ai une question très sérieuse. Si les amendements du Nouveau Parti démocratique ne sont pas présentés avant 2 h mercredi, s'ils sont en ordre, à votre bureau dans les deux langues — selon la Loi 8 c'est très clair — bien sûr que les amendements du gouvernement qui sont présentés par M. Leach sont dans les deux langues comme c'est contenu dans la Loi 8, et c'est très sérieux — si les amendement sont en ordre ? Est-ce qu'on peut avoir une opinion sur cette politique ?

Le Président : J'ai bien compris votre question. Laissez-moi quelques minutes. Je crois connaître la réponse mais je vais vérifier tout simplement.

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Durham East, order, please.

Le Président : La réponse a été confirmée. C'est que la loi a été traduite en français et en anglais. Les amendements qui sont présentés présentement en Chambre sont en anglais, mais une fois que l'amendement sera accepté, il sera traduit en français et placé dans la loi.

2330

L'hon M. Villeneuve : Un point d'ordre, Monsieur le Président : Nous avons eu, par erreur, je crois, un amendement qui a été accepté. Si l'amendement n'était pas bilingue, est-ce que l'amendement est accepté ?

Le Président : L'amendement, à mon point de vue, et je vais vérifier encore — à mon point de vue; ce n'est pas la loi — c'est que le rendement, le jugement qui a été porté, faisait allusion à la loi, à l'amendement qui a été écrit en anglais. Si cet amendement-là avait été accepté, à mon point de vue, il aurait été traduit. Par contre, vous me posez une question technique qui demande peut-être des recherches plus prolongées. Mais ce qu'on peut faire, en attendant, c'est de continuer à lire les amendements jusqu'au temps qu'on puisse avoir la réponse définitive, si ça vous plaît.

L'hon Mr Villeneuve: Je crois que c'est important parce ce que nous avons eu un amendement qui a été

accepté, puis je ne crois pas que l'amendement ait été dans la langue française, et je crois qu'à ce moment-là, peut-être, cela peut rendre l'amendement annulé.

Le Président : Voici c'est que l'amendement qui a été passé cet après-midi va être traduit en français, et il sera mis dans la loi en français. Alors, c'est la réponse.

L'hon M. Villeneuve : Mais l'amendement n'était pas dans les deux langues officielles.

Le Président : Non, mais comme j'ai expliqué tout à l'heure, les amendements ont été présentés en anglais, et une fois que l'amendement est accepté, il est traduit en français. Ça fait du sens aussi. On ne sait pas si l'amendement va être passé ou non. On ne sait pas s'il va être admis dans la loi. Alors, une fois que l'amendement est passé, il est traduit et il est mis dans la loi.

L'hon M. Villeneuve : Je mets tout simplement mes conditions. Je suis inquiet que des amendements — d'accord, 11 500 amendements — mais, par contre, ceux qui sont acceptés —

Le Président : — sont traduits.

L'hon M. Villeneuve : C'est important. Pour moi —

Mr Len Wood : You're not worried about shutting down Montfort Hospital.

The Chair : Order. That has nothing to do with the point of order, the member for Cochrane North.

Alors, prenez ma parole là-dessus, c'est que je vous dis tout simplement, et je vais vérifier — si je fais une erreur, je corrigerai l'erreur — c'est que l'amendement à la loi a été passé en anglais et il sera traduit. C'est aussi simple que ça. S'il y a — écoutez-moi bien aussi — une difficulté technique, elle sera corrigée et elle sera bien corrigée.

Le député de Nepean.

M. Baird : J'ai beaucoup de respect et de l'admiration pour votre opinion, Monsieur le Président. Selon moi, le projet de loi dit "présentés et adoptés" dans cette Chambre.

I have a lot of respect for you, Mr Chair, and I think you do an admirable job. I just feel very strongly on this, and with the greatest of respect to you and to your office, I would like a ruling from the Speaker and would challenge that ruling.

The Chair : If this is your wish, we'll do so.

The committee rose and requested a ruling of the Speaker.

2342

The Speaker : The member for Nepean.

Mr Baird : Thank you very much, Mr Speaker. We appreciate your returning and look forward to your giving your guidance. I will certainly try to be as succinct and clear as possible in my point of order.

The French Language Services Act, known as Bill 8, passed more than 10 years ago in this place, laid out some very specific and clear criteria. In the amended version, subsection 3(2) reads clearly, "Bills of the Legislative Assembly introduced after the 1st day of January 1991 shall be introduced and enacted in both English and French." "Introduced" and "enacted" are two very important words in that issue. What it implies is that the bill must be introduced so that we can make consideration of the act in both languages, neither having superiority over the other, and enacted, which is I think what we're doing now.

"Introduced": We would want them in both languages so we could make our decision on whether we wanted to

support or reject those bills. "Enacted": So that when we did conduct the act of voting we could be in a position (a) to make a value judgement whether we approved with respect to the content of the bill and (b) to ensure that, in our job as legislators, both languages with equal standing have the exact same meaning. There have been cases where one translation has been interpreted differently by the courts from the other translation.

That's why they have to be introduced and enacted at the very same time, so that we as legislators can undertake our function, both after introduction and in the process of enacting. The amendments to legislation are of sufficient importance to require that, as a part of the bill, they be introduced and enacted in both languages.

Translations are done before we consider them. The translators, with great respect, don't have the power to write legislation after we have enacted it. We, as legislators, have a responsibility to ensure that those translations are sufficiently acceptable and reasonably written, in our judgement, in order for us to be able to undertake our function. We cannot undertake our function if we adopt an English-language translation in this House and then after this place closes down, after we leave, there are translators somewhere at the Legislative Assembly and legal counsel drafting legislation after the people's representatives have passed that legislation. That is a very, very important distinction.

It's important to state as well that under Bill 8, the French Language Services Act, neither language is translated into the other in terms of legislation. Both languages have equal standing in this place. So it's not a matter of saying we'll take one language and translate it into the other. We have the right, as legislators, to have those presented twice, because if a court and a judge can interpret legislation in French, we've got to see what that French text is like, because there have been cases, most notably in the Employment Standards Act, last year, in committee, we actually sought an amendment to the Employment Standards Act to the government to correct a translation error.

Obviously, there will be errors from time to time, even after the bill has been introduced into this place, when we've all had the opportunity to review it. There can be mistakes even after we've enacted it as a House, which are two separate functions. One is not passed and the other is not translated. They're both passed at the very same time, and that is something that I believe is very, very important. I think the same standard has to be given to amendments, not that the amendments would have to be tabled in both languages when the bill was introduced, but that when you present amendments for consideration of the House, when you introduce them, and I would argue that that would be by the deadline on Wednesday, when they had to be in to you and then deemed adopted later in the day on Wednesday, that at that time, they had to be introduced so that we, as legislators, could undertake our responsibility to ensure that they're both in the correct order, that they reflect the exact same thing in French and English, because a judge can interpret the French version or the English version, because we have francophone judges whose duty it is to interpret that legislation.

So I think it is very, very important that we, as legislators, have the ability to make that judgement as the repre-

sentatives of the people, not a translator after this place has closed down to have the power to write laws after the fact, and I think amendments are of a significant importance that that same exact standard on introduction and on enactment apply.

Mr Michael A. Brown (Algoma-Manitoulin): On the same point, I would just like to suggest to the Speaker that by precedent this has not been the case in this House, and that when we're dealing with amendments, not public bills but amendments to public bills, by the precedent set by the Chair, Mr Poirier, in the debates of March 22, 1990, he ruled that amendments in either language were acceptable, but they need not be bilingual.

I think if you think about that, it only makes ultimate sense. While we're in the midst of a process here that most members would find very trying and very difficult to get through, one of the problems with this process is because we're working under a time allocation motion, we are not able to change, for example, a court to a street or to make some of the simple amendments that are sometimes made during the course of debates of amendments under the normal process that's followed in this place.

I would suggest to the member that by asking — and I understand the reasoning and I think that would be a good debate around here for another day, but on this particular item, I think we all understand that amendments in either official language should be accepted and it not need to be bilingual, because I think we would find committees around this place may grind to a screeching halt.

Mr Speaker, I just ask you to have a look at the precedents and think about the flexibility we might need to make sure the legislation in Ontario is the best we can provide.

2350

Mrs Boyd: It's an interesting issue that the member for Nepean has raised, and I think all of us here in the House are sensitive to the concerns that he has in terms of the availability of French-language resources. His concerns around translation may or may not be as valid as he suggests. There certainly have been instances where a translation has been questioned by a court. There's no question about that, but in the vast majority of pieces of legislation, the vast majority of materials that are adopted by this House, that is not a problem.

I would direct your attention to the sections about amendments, and particularly sections 75, 76 and 77 of the standing orders, and I'll read those into the record, because I think it is pertinent.

"75. When time permits, amendments proposed to be moved to bills in any committee shall be filed with the Clerk of the House at least two hours before the bill is to be considered, and copies of such proposed amendments shall be distributed to all parties.

"76. (a) The Chair of a committee considering a bill shall initial each section of the bill as it is passed and sign the bill.

"(b) Amendments shall be clearly indicated in the signed copy, and the amendments or additions shall be initialed by the Chair."

I would point out, at no time are we talking about doing this in both languages or translations or the necessity for the Chair of a committee, whether it's committee of the whole or a standing committee, to certify that the transla-

tion is the same. This is not a job that has been given to committees, and the member's comment suggested that in fact it was.

"77. (a) Bills reported from the committee of the whole House shall stand ordered for third reading and bills reported from standing or select committees shall, by unanimous consent, also be ordered for third reading; but an order for third reading may, on motion, be discharged by the House and the bill referred back to a committee.

"(b)" — this is absolutely crucial in my view — "When a bill has been amended in any committee it shall be reprinted as the Clerk of the House directs, amendments being indicated, and shall not be further proceeded with until it has been reprinted and marked REPRINTED on the Orders and Notices paper."

The reason for that is, as the Chair of the committee of the whole was suggesting, that once amendments are passed, they are translated and incorporated into the bill so that the whole bill is passed, and the issue that the member raises, while it may be an issue at some point that this House would want to consider, at this point in time, it has not been the custom of this House to have all amendments in both official languages before they are actually passed.

I would say to the Speaker, that I certainly have been in committee on many occasions when we have had handwritten amendments, in English usually but they could equally be in French, that the committee considers and passes. That happens as we try to look at amendments in committees, when we're in committee of the whole in committee. French translations are not available then and yet those amendments are considered to be passed and are incorporated into the bill, and when the bill is finally printed of course are translated as is the bill. It has certainly not been the practice of this House to have every amendment printed necessarily or available necessarily in the French language, and the requirement in Bill 8 is that bills be available.

We have said that these amendments will be available in French. We can certainly provide for this House a number of the amendments in French. The minister of francophone affairs said he would be satisfied with one amendment, and since we have many more days of amendments to do, we will certainly be able to meet his requirement.

Hon Mr Villeneuve: I have simply said that in this House, to this point, there have been no amendments presented in the French language. I have simply said I would love to hear at least one, and I have not heard one.

The Speaker: I appreciate that, if that's the point of order.

Mr Baird: Mr Speaker, I appreciate you've been good with your time, so I'll be brief. My colleague the member for London Centre said about the committee amendments being handwritten. When I present amendments at committee stage, they have to be in a certain prescribed format. I have to consult with legislative counsel to ensure that they are the proper legal language, that my intention fits in with the specific amendment and the relevant section I'm amending. That requires me, if I want to present amendments, to be prepared when I present them, to present them appropriately in the right format, in the right content. That is something important. If one thought to present things in handwritten, that would certainly present concerns.

Two last points. Our standing orders, as important as they are in this place, they do not override Bill 8. They do not override the French Language Services Act. That issue, I think, is crystal clear. If our standing orders overrode laws of this province, that would be a real concern, I think, to many across the province of Ontario.

The final point I would make is the interpretation of the precedent appointed by my colleague opposite, by the Acting Speaker, Mr Poirier, in his ruling of 1990. It did not refer to Bill 8, firstly. Secondly, it was in 1990, that interpretation — 1990, Mr Speaker. Section 3(2) says: "The public bills of the Legislative Assembly introduced after the first day of January 1991," so Mr Poirier's ruling was before this statute came into effect, which was on January 1, 1991.

I think it's an extremely important issue, that the laws of this province, the statutes enacted by this House certainly cannot be overridden by our standing orders in the province of Ontario.

C'est une question qui sera très importante pour les droits des francophones dans la province de l'Ontario, mais même aussi pour les anglophones, parce que les amendements qu'on discute et qu'on débat ici dans cette Chambre peuvent être interprétés par une cour, par un juge, et affecter les anglophones et les francophones.

It's not an issue of French-language services. It's an issue that these amendments, this legislation, when passed into law, has equal standing in both languages, because a judge could interpret the French version in an English court and that's very important. I thank you for your time, Mr Speaker.

The Speaker: I appreciate the input. Again, I won't ever cut off points of order to rulings. May I ask the members to be very concise, very direct and to the point.

Mrs McLeod: Mr Speaker, I did have the government amendments before me as we began the consideration of amendments in committee of the whole. I'm not aware of there being copies of those amendments provided to members in French. Am I wrong in that understanding?

The Speaker: I appreciate the question you're asking at this time, but it is not a point of order.

Mrs McLeod: I simply didn't want to make an assumption that might be an error because my point — and it's to the point of order — is that I would believe, as other members have said, that it would be something of a precedent to require that the amendments all be presented and considered in both languages. Certainly, I was on committee 104, and the amendments were not presented in both languages and were brought forward to this committee, considered by the table and by the Speaker and considered to be in order and tabled. I believe that the amendments have been ruled on repeatedly as being in order.

I'm not sure why the government would like to choose this particular issue on which to make a precedent to require all amendments to be considered in both languages, because quite clearly, using this as a precedent to make that requirement would mean that we would be here for a very, very long time in reading the amendments in both languages.

2400

Mr Peter Kormos (Welland-Thorold): We're not suggesting any quarrel with Bill 8 and we quite frankly

expect Bill 8 to be complied with. Bill 8 is very specific and very clear, that when bills are presented to the House — and bills are presented to the House on three occasions: for first reading, for second reading and for third reading — they have to be prepared in both English and French. If Bill 8 were intended to extend to amendments it very clearly would have said, when amendments are presented to committee, be it standing committee or committee of the whole, that they too should be in both languages. Currently Bill 8 doesn't say that.

An amendment is not a bill, we understand that. An amendment is not a bill. A bill is what's presented to the House on first, second or third reading. An amendment is what's presented to a committee and stands on its own for consideration, as amendments do here, in this committee of the whole, as they have in standing committees and other committees of the whole. When this bill is presented to the House for third reading it will be presented having incorporated all of those amendments that were approved by this committee, including of course the consideration of the folks out in Cafon Court, and it will then be presented in both languages.

The member from Nepean speaks of, I suppose, what some people will call the mischief that's being addressed. He talks about the concern that he has, the mischief that's being addressed, and that is that he wants an opportunity for legislators to compare, and he's quite right about the judicial interpretations of French-language or English-language statutes. That mischief is addressed when the bill is presented for third reading, and for consideration by the House on third reading. With respect — and I appreciate what the member for Nepean is trying to do, I think we all understand that — but it's a gross misunderstanding and would be a misapplication of Bill 8.

Bill 8 speaks of bills; no quarrel with that. I think everybody in this House is in complete agreement. It clearly doesn't speak of amendments. An amendment is not a bill. A bill as amended then becomes a bill presented on third reading. That must of course be produced in both languages. It's no more complicated than that, with respect, sir. I'll offer no more. I don't think the scenario is a difficult one in terms of the definition or the interpretation of Bill 8 and its application.

Mr Gilchrist: Mr Speaker, you were kind enough during an earlier discussion we had very early in these proceedings to cite the framework within which you make your decisions, and if I remember correctly, you emphasized the precedents were one of those very important criteria on which you would base any decision.

I would draw to your attention a decision by the Honourable Lucien Lamoureux in the federal Parliament on January 19, 1970: The question that faced him was posed during the debate of a particular act. A member rose on a point of order to suggest that there were discrepancies between the French and English texts.

This comes back to precisely the root of the problem as described by my colleague from Nepean. Unless we have the amendment before us prior to voting, all of these amendments, we will not know whether or not the translation which is crafted at some point in the future accurately reflects what we believe we are voting on in this chamber today and yesterday and in the days to come. We are not capable of making that distinction.

When the Speaker was asked the appropriateness of subsequent judgements at some point in the future, his decision was, no, it is not the duty of the Chair to interpret the language of a measure when one text appears to be at variance with or different from the text of the other official language. The reasons given by the Speaker went on to say that, if in fact there were differences between the two texts of the proposed bill, nonetheless it is not the duty of the Speaker to settle such questions. If it were, there would be a significant problem deciding which version reflects the real intention of those who drafted the bill.

With the greatest of respect, Mr Speaker, I'm sure that is not a position you would want to be in. Given that there are, on this one amendment alone, in essence 8,000 duplicate copies, to suggest — at the outset, by the time amendments were due to be tabled in front of us here, consistent with Bill 8, a law of the province — that one omnibus translation that was the same, save and except the fact that they were using every street in Metro Toronto and other landmarks, would not have been an onerous task.

They did not do that, Mr Speaker, and as precedent would dictate, for us to try and clean up after the fact cannot be done and you would not be in a position to arbitrate if in fact we were not comfortable — and not just us, but the people of this province were not comfortable — with the translation that ultimately came forward. We cannot go back and redo what we are doing here, and I think this precedent speaks right to the question that's before us here today.

Mr Michael Brown: I first want to point out — I have a copy of Bill 8 in my hand. I would point out to the government and to my friend from Nepean that the act refers specifically to public bills being presented in both official languages. But just to be helpful to the Speaker, I would point out section 7 of Bill 8. I'll just read this to be helpful. It says:

"The obligations of government agencies and institutions of the Legislature under this act are subject to such limits as circumstances make reasonable and necessary, if all reasonable measures and plans for compliance with this act have been taken or made."

What that means is that in the practice around here, in order to accommodate the work we do at committees on a daily basis, after we do that kind of work, I know, Mr Speaker, that you have, I have, anybody who has been around here for very long has made amendments, generally speaking, with all three parties scratching out an amendment together because they have found, for whatever reason, some section of some bill needs a little bit of work, and we have made those amendments working with the legislative counsel directly. I know the Minister of Agriculture, for example, has done that. So in order to expedite this work and to maintain the precedents, obviously an amendment in either official language needs to be able to be made.

Mr Baird: The member points to section 7 of the French Language Services Act and says, "are subject to such limits as circumstances make reasonable." Surely it's not too

unreasonable that if they could craft 8,000 amendments — something that you know, Mr Speaker, would have taken a substantial amount of time — it would be quite reasonable, over the two weeks that I know the members' offices spent drafting these amendments, to take the time to live up to their obligations under the French Language Services Act. If they are not able to do it when they have — this time allocation motion was passed more than five, six, seven weeks ago. They have spent two weeks drafting these amendments and have been reported so in the media. I think it is very reasonable; any test that you would set, Mr Speaker, for what would constitute "reasonable" would certainly apply in this case.

Hon Dianne Cunningham (Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, minister responsible for women's issues): I just wanted to add a piece of information to the debate. Since I've been in this House, certainly, the government and opposition parties have tabled amendments in both French and English, and we have been advised over the years that there have been occasions at the last minute, in fact, as the member for Algoma-Manitoulin stated, where we have had an informal arrangement where we haven't had to put in the French at the last minute.

But I'd just like to point out that what we're doing this evening is unprecedented. This isn't a matter of somebody putting through a thoughtful amendment to a bill at the last minute. This is a matter, I believe, of someone, a party, in this case the NDP, putting in thousands of amendments to a piece of legislation where who would give anybody even an informal permission to table it at the last minute? My point is, this is really frivolous in my view and I believe it has been the precedent of this House to table our bills, as we have done in this bill and other bills since we've been in government, in both French and English. We have done that, and so have opposition members tabled their amendments in French and in English.

The Speaker: Thank you very much for your submissions. We'll take a 30-minute recess.

The House recessed from 0009 to 0045.

The Speaker: I thank the members for their submissions with respect to this point of order. With respect to the point of order on the amendment passed in committee of the whole, it is not timely, as the amendment has already been considered and passed. If the member had concerns, they should have been raised at the time it was moved. As the Chair of the committee of the whole has already said, the amendment will be translated by legislative counsel. Amendments may be moved in either French or English. The House does not consider amendments first in one language and then the other. I therefore uphold the Chair's ruling.

Now there's a second issue to address. We have a long-standing practice in committees that when an amendment is moved in one language and any member requests a copy of it in the other language, it will be provided and the committee either proceeds or suspends its proceedings until the translation is provided. Thank you.

Report continues in volume I.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO
ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Lieutenant Governor / Lieutenante-gouverneure: Hon / L'hon Hilary M. Weston
 Speaker / Président: Hon / L'hon Chris Stockwell
 Clerk / Greffier: Claude L. DesRosiers
 Clerk Assistant and Executive Director of Legislative Services /
 Greffière adjointe et directrice générale des Services législatifs: Deborah Deller
 Clerks at the Table / Greffiers parlementaires: Todd Decker, Lisa Freedman
 Sergeant-at-Arms / Sergent d'armes: Dennis Clark

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Agincourt	Wildman, Bud (ND)	Hastings-Peterborough	Danford, Harry (PC)
Agincourt-Manitoulin	Brown, Michael A. (L)	High Park-Swansea	Shea, Derwyn (PC)
Arnprior-Madoc	Lankin, Frances (ND)	Huron	Johns, Helen (PC)
Arnprior North / -Nord	Spina, Joseph (PC)	Kenora	Miclash, Frank (L)
Arnprior South / -Sud	Clement, Tony (PC)	Kingston and The Islands / Kingston et Les îles	Gerretsen, John (L)
Barrie-Haldimand	Preston, Peter L. (PC)	Kitchener	Wettlaufer, Wayne (PC)
Barrie	Johnson, Ron (PC)	Kitchener-Wilmot	Leadston, Gary L. (PC)
Brimley	Fisher, Barbara (PC)	Lake Nipigon / Lac-Nipigon	Pouliot, Gilles (ND)
Burlington South / -Sud	Jackson, Hon / L'hon Cameron (PC) Minister without Portfolio (seniors issues) / ministre sans portefeuille (affaires des personnes âgées)	Lambton	Beaubien, Marcel (PC)
Cambridge	Martiniuk, Gerry (PC)	Lanark-Renfrew	Jordan, W. Leo (PC)
Carleton	Sterling, Hon / L'hon Norman W. (PC) Minister of Environment and Energy / ministre de l'Environnement et de l'Énergie	Lawrence	Cordiano, Joseph (L)
Carleton East / -Est	Morin, Gilles E. (L)	Leeds-Grenville	Runciman, Hon / L'hon Robert W. (PC) Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services / solliciteur général et ministre des Services correctionnels
Chatham-Kent	Carroll, Jack (PC)	Lincoln	Sheehan, Frank (PC)
Chatham North / -Nord	Wood, Len (ND)	London Centre / -Centre	Boyd, Marion (ND)
Chatham South / -Sud	Bisson, Gilles (ND)	London North / -Nord	Cunningham, Hon / L'hon Dianne (PC) Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, minister responsible for women's issues / ministre des Affaires intergouvernementales, ministre déléguée à la Condition féminine
Clarence-Rockland	Cleary, John C. (L)	London South / -Sud	Wood, Bob (PC)
Clinton Mills	Johnson, Hon / L'hon David (PC) Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, government House leader / président du Conseil de gestion, leader parlementaire du gouvernement	Markham	Tsubouchi, Hon / L'hon David H. (PC) Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations / ministre de la Consommation et du Commerce
Clitherall	Silipo, Tony (ND)	Middlesex	Smith, Bruce (PC)
Cornwall	Castrilli, Annamaria (L)	Mississauga East / -Est	DeFaria, Carl (PC)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Tilson, David (PC)	Mississauga North / -Nord	Snobelen, Hon / L'hon John (PC) Minister of Education and Training / ministère de l'Éducation et de la Formation
Cornwall East / -Est	Flaherty, Jim (PC)	Mississauga South / -Sud	Marland, Margaret (PC)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	O'Toole, John R. (PC)	Mississauga West / -Ouest	Sampson, Hon / L'hon Rob (PC) Minister without Portfolio (privatization) / ministre sans portefeuille (privatisation)
Cornwall North / -Nord	Ecker, Hon / L'hon Janet (PC) Minister of Community and Social Services / ministre des Services sociaux et communautaires	Muskoka-Georgian Bay / Muskoka-Baie-Georgienne	Grimmett, Bill (PC)
Cornwall South / -Sud	Munro, Julia (PC)	Nepean	Baird, John R. (PC)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Saunderson, Hon / L'hon William (PC) Minister of Economic Development, Trade and Tourism / ministre du Développement économique, du Commerce et du Tourisme	Niagara Falls	Maves, Bart (PC)
Cornwall North / -Nord	North, Peter (Ind)	Niagara South / -Sud	Hudak, Tim (PC)
Cornwall South / -Sud	Hoy, Pat (L)	Nickel Belt	Laughren, Floyd (ND)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Crozier, Bruce (L)	Nipissing	Harris, Hon / L'hon Michael D. (PC) Premier and President of the Executive Council / premier ministre et président du Conseil exécutif
Cornwall East / -Est	Ford, Douglas B. (PC)	Norfolk	Barrett, Toby (PC)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Kells, Morley (PC)	Northumberland	Galt, Doug (PC)
Cornwall North / -Nord	Hastings, John (PC)	Oakville South / -Sud	Carr, Gary (PC)
Cornwall South / -Sud	Stockwell, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Speaker / Président	Oakwood	Colle, Mike (L)
Cornwall East / -Est	McLeod, Lyn (L)	Oriole	Vacant
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Marchese, Rosario (ND)	Oshawa	Ouellette, Jerry J. (PC)
Cornwall North / -Nord	Vankoughnet, Bill (PC)	Ottawa Centre / -Centre	Patten, Richard (L)
Cornwall South / -Sud	Murdoch, Bill (PC)	Ottawa East / -Est	Grandmaître, Bernard (L)
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Elliott, Brenda (PC)	Ottawa-Rideau	Guzzo, Garry J. (PC)
Cornwall North / -Nord	Young, Terence H. (PC)	Ottawa South / -Sud	McGuinity, Dalton (L) Leader of the Opposition / chef de l'opposition
Cornwall South / -Sud	Chudleigh, Ted (PC)	Ottawa West / -Ouest	Chiarelli, Robert (L)
Cornwall East / -Est	Christopherson, David (ND)		
Cornwall West / -Ouest	Agostino, Dominic (L)		
Cornwall North / -Nord	Pettit, Trevor (PC)		
Cornwall South / -Sud	Ross, Lillian (PC)		

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Oxford	Hardeman, Ernie (PC)	Scarborough North / -Nord	Curling, Alvin (L)
Parkdale	Ruprecht, Tony (L)	Scarborough West / -Ouest	Brown, Jim (PC)
Parry Sound	Eves, Hon / L'hon Ernie L. (PC) Deputy Premier, Minister of Finance / vice-premier ministre, ministre des Finances	Simcoe Centre / -Centre	Tascona, Joseph N. (PC)
Perth	Johnson, Bert (PC)	Simcoe East / -Est	McLean, Allan K. (PC)
Peterborough	Stewart, R. Gary (PC)	Simcoe West / -Ouest	Wilson, Hon / L'hon Jim (PC) Minister of Health ministre de la Santé
Port Arthur	Gravelle, Michael (L)	Sudbury	Bartolucci, Rick (L)
Prescott and Russell / Prescott et Russell	Lalonde, Jean-Marc (L)	Sudbury East / -Est	Martel, Shelley (ND)
Prince Edward-Lennox- South Hastings / Prince Edward-Lennox- Hastings-Sud	Fox, Gary (PC)	Timiskaming	Ramsay, David (L)
Quinte	Rollins, E.J. Douglas (PC)	Victoria-Haliburton	Hodgson, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Minister of Natural Resources, Minister of Northern Development and Mines / ministre des Richesses naturelles, ministre du Développement du Nord des Mines
Rainy River	Hampton, Howard (ND) Leader of the New Democratic Party / Chef du Nouveau Parti démocratique	Waterloo North / -Nord	Witmer, Hon / L'hon Elizabeth (PC) Minister of Labour / ministre du Travail
Renfrew North / -Nord	Conway, Sean G. (L)	Welland-Thorold	Kormos, Peter (ND)
Riverdale	Churley, Marilyn (ND)	Wellington	Arnott, Ted (PC)
S-D-G & East Grenville / S-D-G et Grenville-Est	Villeneuve, Hon / L'hon Noble (PC) Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, minister responsible for francophone affairs / ministre de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation et des Affaires rurales, ministre délégué aux Affaires francophones	Wentworth East / -Est	Doyle, Ed (PC)
St Andrew-St Patrick	Bassett, Isabel (PC)	Wentworth North / -Nord	Skarica, Toni (PC)
St Catharines	Bradley, James J. (L)	Willowdale	Harnick, Hon / L'hon Charles (PC) Attorney General, minister responsible for native affairs / procureur général, ministre délégué aux Affaires autochtones
St Catharines-Brock	Froese, Tom (PC)	Wilson Heights	Kwinter, Monte (L)
St George-St David	Leach, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing / ministre des Affaires municipales et du Logement	Windsor-Riverside	Vacant
Samia	Boushy, Dave (PC)	Windsor-Sandwich	Pupatello, Sandra (L)
Sault Ste Marie / Sault-Sainte-Marie	Martin, Tony (ND)	Windsor-Walkerville	Duncan, Dwight (L)
Scarborough-Agincourt	Phillips, Gerry (L)	York Centre / -Centre	Palladini, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Transportation / ministre des Transports
Scarborough Centre / -Centre	Newman, Dan (PC)	York East / -Est	Parker, John L. (PC)
Scarborough East / -Est	Gilchrist, Steve (PC)	York Mills	Turnbull, David (PC)
Scarborough-Ellesmere	Mushinski, Hon / L'hon Marilyn (PC) Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation / ministre des Affaires civiques, de la Culture et des Loisirs	York-Mackenzie	Klees, Frank (PC)
		Yorkview	Sergio, Mario (L)
		York South / -Sud	Kennedy, Gerard (L)

A list arranged by members' surnames and including all responsibilities of each member appears in the first and last issues of each session and on the first Monday of each month.

Une liste alphabétique des noms des députés, comprenant toutes les responsabilités de chaque député, figure dans les premier et dernier numéros de chaque session et le premier lundi de chaque mois.

**STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
COMITÉS PERMANENTS DE L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE**

Administration of justice / Administration de la justice

Chair / Président: Gerry Martiniuk
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Ron Johnson
Robert Chiarelli, David Christopherson,
Bruce Crozier, Ed Doyle, Garry J. Guzzo,
Tim Hudak, Ron Johnson, Frank Klees, Peter Kormos,
Gary L. Leadston, Gerry Martiniuk,
John L. Parker, David Ramsay, David Tilson
Clerk / Greffier: Douglas Arnott

Estimates / Budgets des dépenses

Chair / Président: Gerard Kennedy
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Rick Bartolucci
Toby Barrett, Rick Bartolucci, Gilles Bisson,
Jim Brown, Michael A. Brown, John C. Cleary,
Corley Kells, Gerard Kennedy, Frances Lankin,
Ian K. McLean, E.J. Douglas Rollins, Frank Sheehan,
Bill Vankoughnet, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

**Finance and economic affairs /
Finances et affaires économiques**

Chair / Président: Ted Chudleigh
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tim Hudak
Lizabel Bassett, Jim Brown, Ted Chudleigh,
Joseph Cordiano, Douglas B. Ford, Tim Hudak,
Monte Kwinter, Tony Martin, Gerry Martiniuk,
Gerry Phillips, Gilles Pouliot, E.J. Douglas Rollins,
Joseph Spina, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

General government / Affaires gouvernementales

Chair / Président: Bart Maves
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Julia Munro
Mike Colle, Harry Danford, Jim Flaherty,
Michael Gravelle, Ernie Hardeman, Rosario Marchese,
Bart Maves, Julia Munro, Lillian Ross,
Mario Sergio, R. Gary Stewart, Joseph N. Tascona,
Len Wood, Terence H. Young
Clerk / Greffière: Lynn Mellor

Government agencies / Organismes gouvernementaux

Chair / Président: Floyd Laughren
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tony Silipo
Rick Bartolucci, Ed Doyle, Douglas B. Ford,
Gary Fox, Michael Gravelle, Bert Johnson,
Peter Kormos, Floyd Laughren, Gary L. Leadston,
Frank Miclash, Dan Newman, Peter L. Preston,
Tony Silipo, Bob Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Legislative Assembly / Assemblée législative

Chair / Président: Ted Arnott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: John Hastings
Ted Arnott, Dave Boushy, Tony Clement,
Alvin Curling, Carl DeFaria, Bill Grimmett,
John Hastings, Ron Johnson, Margaret Marland,
Gilles E. Morin, Sandra Pupatello, Tony Silipo,
R. Gary Stewart, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Ombudsman

Chair / Président: John L. Parker
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tom Froese
Carl DeFaria, Barbara Fisher, Tom Froese, Pat Hoy,
W. Leo Jordan, Jean-Marc Lalonde, Rosario Marchese,
Bill Murdoch, John R. O'Toole, John L. Parker,
Richard Patten, R. Gary Stewart, Bill Vankoughnet,
Len Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Public accounts / Comptes publics

Chair / Président: Bernard Grandmaître
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Richard Patten
Marcel Beaubien, Dave Boushy, Gary Carr, Brenda Elliott,
Gary Fox, Bernard Grandmaître, John Hastings,
Jean-Marc Lalonde, Shelley Martel, Richard Patten,
Gilles Pouliot, Sandra Pupatello, Derwyn Shea, Toni
Skarica
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

**Regulations and private bills /
Règlements et projets de loi privés**

Chair / Président: Toby Barrett
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Bruce Smith
Ted Arnott, Toby Barrett, Gilles Bisson, Dave Boushy,
John Gerretsen, Steve Gilchrist, John Hastings,
Gerard Kennedy, Tony Martin, Trevor Pettit,
Lillian Ross, Tony Ruprecht, Frank Sheehan, Bruce Smith
Clerk / Greffier: Tom Prins

**Resources development /
Développement des ressources**

Chair / Président: Brenda Elliott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Barbara Fisher
Dominic Agostino, John R. Baird, David Christopherson,
Ted Chudleigh, Marilyn Churley, Sean G. Conway,
Brenda Elliott, Barbara Fisher, Doug Galt, Pat Hoy,
Bart Maves, John R. O'Toole, Jerry J. Ouellette,
Joseph N. Tascona
Clerk / Greffier: Todd Decker

Social development / Affaires sociales

Chair / Présidente: Annamarie Castrilli
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Dwight Duncan
Marion Boyd, Jack Carroll, Annamarie Castrilli,
Dwight Duncan, Tom Froese, Helen Johns,
W. Leo Jordan, Lyn McLeod, Julia Munro,
Trevor Pettit, Peter L. Preston,
Bruce Smith, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Tonia Grannum

CONTENTS

Friday 4 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, *Mr Leach*

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Vendredi 4 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, *M. Leach*



No. 176I

N° 176I

ISSN 1180-2987

**Legislative Assembly
of Ontario**

First Session, 36th Parliament

**Assemblée législative
de l'Ontario**

Première session, 36^e législature

**Official Report
of Debates
(Hansard)**

**Journal
des débats
(Hansard)**

Saturday 5 April 1997

Samedi 5 avril 1997

Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers

Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers



Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance :

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion, 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais : 1-800-668-9938.



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Saturday 5 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Samedi 5 avril 1997

Report continued from volume H.

0048

House in committee of the whole.

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996 LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.

Le Président (M. Gilles E. Morin) : Nous allons maintenant procéder à la lecture des amendements. We will now proceed with the reading of the amendments.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carlslake Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carlslake Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): Order.

Mr James J. Bradley (St Catharines): Put that call in to Tom Long.

Mr Joseph Cordiano (Lawrence): No, why stop with him? Put it in to Conrad. Let's go all the way.

The Chair: Order, the member for Lawrence.

Hon Noble Villeneuve (Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, minister responsible for francophone affairs): We'll phone David Peterson.

The Chair: The member from Stormont, Dundas and East Grenville.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carson Lake living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carson Lake living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carson Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carson Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Gilles Bisson (Cochrane South): On a point of order, Mr Chair —

The Chair: On the amendment.

Mr Bisson: On the amendment. I note across the way that the member for Etobicoke — I was in his riding tonight, by the way, to the good people up in his riding — says that we are wasting taxpayer dollars —

The Chair: No, that's not a point of order. Take your seat, please.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carswell Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carswell Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carthage Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carthage Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cartier Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cartier Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0100

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cartwheel Mill Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cartwheel Mill Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cartwheel Millway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cartwheel Millway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cartwright Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cartwright Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carus Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carus Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Carysfort Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Carysfort Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0110

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cascaden Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cascaden Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The Acting Chair (Doug Galt): NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Casebridge Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Casebridge Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

0120

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cashman Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cashman Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Casimir Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Casimir Steet living in the urban area.”

Mr Bisson: A point of order. I know you’re trying to go quickly through that amendment and I appreciate your due diligence for the job, but in the second paragraph for “Despite subsection (1), no regulation” and you read “may affect the residents.” You forgot the word between “regulation” and “may” and I would like to have that word read into the record.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much. We’ll take it under advisement.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Casimir Steet living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it and the vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Casino Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Casino Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cass Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cass Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassander living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cassander living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it and the vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassandra Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cassandra Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it and the vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassandra Boulevard” —

Is that not a repeat? What was the previous one? I was reading "Bl" as "boulevard." May we have clarification on the difference of these two amendments? Does "Bl" stand for "boulevard" or what did the "Bl" stand for in the amendment we just read?

0130

Ms Frances Lankin (Beaches-Woodbine): Mr Chair, I'll answer the question in a serious way. Something came to mind which I probably shouldn't say. This next amendment, the one that you just have before you with Blvd, we will withdraw.

The Acting Chair: So the first one would have been Boulevard, the same as this.

Ms Lankin: They're both Boulevard, yes.

The Acting Chair: Thank you. My understanding is that they're withdrawing the one that says "Blvd" and we're keeping the one that says "Bl," recognizing it as Boulevard.

Mr John R. Baird (Nepean): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would just ask, if it's not too much, that the New Democratic Party members who are presenting these motions, who are costing the taxpayers \$10,000 an hour, if they can ensure there is no duplication because the taxpayers can't afford —

The Acting Chair: Sorry, that's not a point of order. Thank you, the member for Nepean.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: I want to respond to the point of order that was just raised and point out that the bill that is before us —

The Acting Chair: It was declared not a point of order. Please be seated. Order.

Mr Mario Sergio (Yorkview): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I believe that anyone who wants to talk back and forth or heckle, whatever, has to be in their own seat.

The Acting Chair: That's not a point of order. I ruled on this one as not a point of order, therefore you did not have one.

Mr Sergio: Mr Chair, heckling has to be in their own seat, I believe. That's quite proper.

The Acting Chair: Heckling is always out of order. Please take your seat.

We have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassels Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cassels Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassidy Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cassidy Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. We have enough up so the vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cassis Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cassis Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have another NDP motion.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castille Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castille Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

I have another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castle Frank Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castle Frank Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have another motion from the NDP:

Mr Baird: No.

The Acting Chair: Yes.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castle Frank Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castle Frank Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

0140

We have another motion, an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castle Green Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castle Green Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." I believe the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have another motion that's an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castle Hill Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castle Hill Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Should the motion carry? Those in favour please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." The nays have it, in my opinion, and the motion shall be deferred.

We have another motion, an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castle Knock Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castle Knock Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have another motion, it's an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlebar Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlebar Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I believe we do not have a quorum.

The Acting Chair: I would call on the Clerk to check for a quorum.

Clerk at the Table (Ms Lisa Freedman): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Acting Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum, is now present, Chair.

The Acting Chair: We have an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection —”

Mr Baird: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I have a question. When we are voting on a motion, you would require a mover and a seconder, and given there's only one NDP member here, given they've put all these motions, only one NDP member here, do they have to have two members here at least?

The Acting Chair: In response to the point of order, all amendments have been deemed to be moved and seconded.

Do you have a point of order?

Mr Wayne Wettlaufer (Kitchener): Yes. I noted that these are NDP amendments, and there's only one NDP that cares enough about it.

The Acting Chair: That's not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: Mr Chair, on a point of personal privilege: As the member for Cochrane South I do care, and I can assure you that every other member of the New Democratic caucus cares. On my point, I've got to say that this document has been going around the House, something you should know about, called Adopt an MPP program. A number of people are signing up forms saying they don't want the Tory MPPs and they want to adopt us.

The Acting Chair: It's out of order. Please be seated.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlebury Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlebury Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0150

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castledene Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castledene Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

We have an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlefield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlefield Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlegate Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlegate Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall this motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote for the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlegrove Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlegrove Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlemere Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlemere Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

Another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castleton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castleton Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

We have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlevue Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlevue Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

Mr Rick Bartolucci (Sudbury): Who had it?

The Acting Chair: The nays.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castlewood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castlewood Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

0200

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Castor Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Castor Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

We have another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caswell Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caswell Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Catalina Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Catalina Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing — ”

Mr Wettlaufer: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: The member for Welland-Thorold is communicating with the strangers.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much. Would the member for Welland-Thorold please be seated.

Mr Baird: The people in the gallery are communicating with the strange one.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, seriously: I can guarantee you, these people are not strangers. They are people who are standing up for democracy in the city of Toronto and the province of Ontario. They are not strangers to democracy, Mr Speaker.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated.

I wonder if security in the gallery could look after the people in the gallery and make sure there’s no communication with the members in the House. Thank you very much.

Mr Peter Kormos (Welland-Thorold): They weren’t communicating with me. They are members of the public and have every right to communicate.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated. Would the member for Welland-Thorold please be seated. You’re out of order. Please be seated. Thank you.

Mr Kormos: On a point of order, Chair.

The Acting Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Welland-Thorold.

Mr Kormos: Chair, I have consulted authorities, I have consulted people who have had long experience in this House, and I call upon the Chair to cite a rule that prohibits — I understand people in the galleries cannot communicate, cannot speak or demonstrate, but I ask the Chair to provide me with the rule that prohibits members on the floor from speaking to any member of the public.

The Acting Chair: You’re out of order. Please be seated.

Mr Kormos: Point of order.

The Acting Chair: You haven’t sat down yet, so you don’t have a point of order. We recognize the member from Welland-Thorold. Is this a different point of order?

Mr Kormos: Chair, I call upon the Chair to give me direction as to the authority that prohibits me from addressing the public.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated and we will confer. In responding to your point of order it is precedent and practice that dictates you do not communicate with people in the gallery. Thank you very much.

Mr Kormos: Chair.

The Acting Chair: Are you asking for another point of order?

Mr Kormos: Yes sir.

The Acting Chair: Is this a different point of order?

Mr Kormos: Yes sir. In the future I’ll merely speak to the fourth wall.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Catalina Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cataraqui Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cataraqui Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion shall be deferred.

0210

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Catering Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Catering Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing."

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don't believe there's a quorum present.

The Acting Chair: Would the clerk please check if there is a quorum present.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Acting Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Acting Chair: Thank you. The member for Nepean on a point of order.

Mr Baird: Mr Chair, we have no NDP members in the House and we have only two Liberal members. We're not allowed to operate with only two members of the opposition. There is not one NDP member in their seat, not one NDP member in their place. It's a disgrace, Mr Chair.

Mr Derwyn Shea (High Park-Swansea): On a point of order, Mr Chair: Several Chairs have made rulings over the past few days about the display of signs and literature, and I know that my distinguished colleague from Beaches-Woodbine would want us to live with that ruling. I know the boxes on her desk prevent us from seeing her. Perhaps — she has now, and I appreciate that. Thank you, Chair.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I just wanted to thank the honourable member for the point of order, which did prove that the member for Nepean is wrong and there is a New Democrat in the House.

Mr Bisson: On a very serious point of order, Mr Chair: You know that the standing orders are very clear about members saying that other members are not in the House, and the member was out of order when he raised what he thought was a point of order, which you ruled on. He's out of order, and you should have told him that.

The Acting Chair: On the same point? The member for Nepean.

Mr Baird: My colleague the member for Cochrane South has been here one term longer than I have, five years longer. I respect his judgement. I apologize. I will never again mention the fact that there were no New Democrats here a few minutes ago, and only two Liberals.

Ms Lankin: Point of order.

The Acting Chair: Is this a different point of order?

Ms Lankin: Yes. The member continues to put forward incorrect information. I was standing right at the end of the rump benches of the Tory caucus. I'm sorry he didn't turn and look in that direction; however, I was present watching him put forward the point of order. I would ask him yet again please to make sure he's correct when he's making —

The Acting Chair: That is not a point of order. Please be seated.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: You know that the first rules by which we are governed are the standing orders. The second ones followed are the precedents. Following that are Beauchesne and Erskine May.

The Acting Chair: Your point of order?

Mr Bisson: We know that in that, if you follow it all the way through, what the member across the way is doing is completely out of order as he fairly well knows that first of all, there were New Democrats present in the chamber when a quorum was being called, but more to the point — I'm coming to the point — it is the responsibility of the government to maintain a quorum in the House, and when 20 Conservatives can't stand in here, it goes to show that they really do not know how to run the House and are allowing this whole system to fail because they don't know what to do around this place.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated. The member for Nepean has withdrawn and that's fair.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

I have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Catford Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Catford Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1."

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I'm following very closely your reading of the amendment, and I want to make sure if you had said Charles Street, because if you did, Elizabeth McLean who is from Charles Street is here today in the chamber and she wants to make sure that her street is read. Are we on that amendment, by any chance?

The Acting Chair: No, we're not.

Mr Bisson: But when we are, we'll make sure the right —

The Acting Chair: Just to clarify, the word is Catford Road.

Mr Bisson: Oh, I understood Charles.

The Acting Chair: No, definitely not.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation — "

Mrs Helen Johns (Huron): On a point of order, your honour: I ask for unanimous consent to dispense with all the ones up to Charles, and we'll go for this woman's street name.

The Acting Chair: All in favour? No.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I believe you were referred to as your honour. The last time I checked you are Chair of the committee of the whole, and I would want to make sure that the record shows that you are the Chair and not the judge.

The Acting Chair: If I called you your honour, my apologies.

Mr Bisson: No, no. You were called your honour.

Ms Lankin: Point of order, Mr Chair: I hope you took no offence at my colleague's point of order there. We truly do think of you as a very honourable man — if not "your honour," at least honourable.

The Acting Chair: "1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cathcart Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

0220

We have another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cathedral Bluffs Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cathedral Bluffs Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I’m wondering if you could give me direction with respect to this. I have received this form which is headed Adopt an MPP, and it is dated and signed by Arthur Lofsky who has in fact requested to adopt me as his MPP because he believes his MPP is no longer representing him. Do I have rights to represent Mr Lofsky at his request?

The Acting Chair: No, that’s not a point of order. Please be seated.

Interjections.

The Acting Chair: Order, please. I can’t hear myself reading this.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cather Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cather Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: If you look at Beauchesne’s Rules and Forms on page 11, when we’re talking about Parliament and the rights of members, it says, “parliamentary privilege is the sum of the peculiar rights enjoyed by each House collectively as a constituent part of the high court of Parliament, and by members of each House individually, without which they could not discharge their functions and which exceed those possessed by other bodies or individuals.” And this is the point: “Thus, privilege, though part of the law of the land, is to a certain extent” to make sure that members have rights and an ability to do their job when it comes to the constituencies and the rights here in the Legislature. I distinctly heard the member for Kitchener say to the member for Beaches-Woodbine that she had no rights as a member. I think that’s indicative of what this government is saying, that only they can say what needs to be done to the people of Ontario to govern.

The Acting Chair: That’s not a point of order. Please be seated. That’s not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: A point of privilege.

The Acting Chair: You did not have a point of privilege. Please be seated.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Catherwood Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Catherwood Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cathlo Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cathlo Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cathy Jean Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cathy Jean Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

0230

NDP motion:

"I move that section — "

Mr Kormos: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: Here we are at 2:30 in the morning; in effect, it's Saturday morning. The members' galleries, I trust, are open so that people in Toronto who are inclined can come down to Queen's Park and watch this charade of Tories trying to ram through an unpopular and —

The Acting Chair: You'll only be named once more. Please be seated. If you don't sit down, you'll be named again. Thank you.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caulfield Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caulfield Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall this motion carry? Those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: I know you’re trying to expedite this but I would ask you just to try to find a happy medium here. I am trying to follow along and ensure that you are covering all areas, and you’re reading at a pace that is just a touch too fast to understand clearly, particularly with the noise that’s in the chamber at this time.

Mr Wettlaufer: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: I find it odd that one member of the Legislature can’t keep up when the rest of us can.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much. I appreciate your comment.

Ms Lankin: Was that a point of order?

The Acting Chair: No, it wasn’t a point of order.

Please be seated.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavehill Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cavehill Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

Mr Gerard Kennedy (York South): On a point of order, Mr Chairman: In the spirit of non-partisanship, to the earlier point raised by the member for Beaches-Woodbine, we refer to the earlier comments by the member from London, referring to the filibuster by Mr Harris saying that he was going too fast to slow down, so we know it’s an all-party understanding that the amendments should be enunciated clearly.

The Acting Chair: “I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cavell Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavendish St living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cavendish St living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Caverley Dr living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Caverley Dr living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

Point of order, the member for Huron.

Mrs Johns: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: The member has a sign up. I was wondering if you’d have it taken down, please.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much. There are no signs to be displayed in the Legislature. Please take it down.

Interjections.

The Acting Chair: The member for Welland-Thorold, you’ve been named already — you’ve been threatened. Please take your seat if you’re going to stay in the Legislature.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: You cannot order a member to his seat. The only time the member has to be there is when he is voting. You might be able to order him to the corner, but not to his seat.

The Acting Chair: Thank you.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? Those in favour, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

Interjections.

Mr Sergio: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: It’s a number of times that the member for Scarborough East has addressed himself to another Crozier motion. I do resent that. The member is not present. The member is not in the House and there is absolutely —

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): Are you inferring something? You can’t refer to another member as being absent.

Mr Sergio: Mr Chairman, with all due respect, no member of the House should address another member by name, especially when they are not in the House. They have absolutely no reason for the member for Scarborough East to continue in that practice, so I would like to bring that to your attention.

The Acting Chair: Thank you.

0240

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: The standing orders are quite clear as to the responsibilities of members in this Legislature, and one of those is that we have to not make deference of another member’s name in this House. I specifically heard the member for Scarborough East refer to the “Crozier motion,” which I would say is a slight to the honourable member. That is not appropriate in this Legislature.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated. This is not a point of order.

Mr Joseph Cordiano (Lawrence): On the same point of order, Mr Chair: The member for Scarborough East was imputing motive when the member for Essex South was in the chair. As far as I know, that’s not within the standing orders, Mr Chair, and I believe it would be uncalled for for someone to impute motives in this House to another member. Once again, we hear the member for Scarborough East repeatedly —

The Acting Chair: Please be seated. That is not a point of order.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: This is a serious matter and I ask you as the Chair to deal with this in a serious way. There may be a certain amount of partisanship that happens in this House, and I accept that. At times there are comments made across the floor that are partisan in nature, and I can accept that. But one thing we cannot accept in this Legislature is making fun of somebody’s name or making fun of somebody because of their ethnic origin, their religious belief or their sexual orientation. I specifically resent the member for Scarborough East demonstrating the way that he is, calling the closure motions “Crozier motions” because I think it shows no respect for the member, Mr Crozier. I don’t think that is appropriate. I think the member for Scarborough East is yet again demonstrating his repugnant attitude when it comes to this Legislature. This government has always had a heavy-handed approach to how they deal with things in this Legislature and that is not acceptable.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much. That is not considered a point of order.

Mr Bisson: Hold it, hold it.

The Acting Chair: Are you challenging the Chair?

Mr Bisson: I am not challenging the Chair. I am looking for clarification.

The Acting Chair: Please be seated. Thank you.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavern Court living in the urban area shall be — ”

Mr Bisson: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Acting Chair: Is this a different point of order?

Mr Bisson: Different point of order. I want to withdraw a comment that I heckled across the House, even though you didn't hear it. I was not in order for doing so and I withdraw it. I ask the member for Scarborough East to do the same, because this member is making fun of another member because of his name, and that is not acceptable. The member for Scarborough East is yet again demonstrating that he's below the dignity of this House, what we are supposed to be as members.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much for withdrawing your comment.

Mr Gilchrist: Mr Chair, in response to that point of order, I don't think —

The Acting Chair: The member for Scarborough East, do you have a point of order?

Mr Gilchrist: I want to respond to the point of order just raised by the member for Cochrane —

The Acting Chair: It was not a point of order.

Mr Gilchrist: You ruled it out of order? Thank you.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I want to address the matter that has been raised and I believe has not been put forward yet that was a point of order. I would like you to consider this: Under section 23 of the standing orders, (g) talks to members in debate. The Speaker may call them to order “if he or she refers to any matter that is the subject of a proceeding that is pending in court” etc, and it goes on and sets out a number of things: “(h) makes allegations against another member; (i) imputes false or unavowed motives to another member; (j) charges another member with uttering a deliberate falsehood” and there are other examples.

Repeatedly this evening, in the matter that is being raised by the members, the member for Scarborough East, every time we come to the end of an amendment, and you defer the vote on that, Mr Chair, has been interjecting, “Another un-Crozier decision; a fair decision,” which is suggesting that when the honourable member Mr Crozier was in the chair that you sit in now — he made a decision earlier which we know is one that is very problematic for the government — somehow his decision was an unfair decision. We know that the ruling he made was challenged. It was challenged to the Speaker of this assembly. The Speaker ruled on that and upheld that.

At a certain point in time it has probably gone beyond being something — what you started off, I would say to the member for Scarborough East is to attempt to be humorous. At a certain point time he is imputing motive and, if I may cite, actually making an allegation against a member who

made a ruling, which ruling has been upheld by the Speaker of this assembly.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much for the point of order. I certainly did not hear the member say the comments you're referring to. I recognize the distance that he is from where I'm sitting and can miss it. I don't think members want to impute falsehoods and I trust that with your bringing it to his attention at this point in time, this will not continue in the future. I trust that the member will not want that kind of thing brought against other members in the House.

Mr Sergio: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: I don't doubt that you haven't heard the comment from the member for Scarborough East. The fact is that these procedures are being translated, and they are being translated for the benefit of the hearing-impaired. When the House gets rowdy and noisy, it is impossible for the translator to translate, especially at the rate we are going, so I would hope that you would maintain order in the House so that —

The Acting Chair: What's your point of order?

Mr Sergio: My point of order is that if there is order maintained in the House, you would be able to hear some of the comments that are being made in this House.

Interjections.

The Acting Chair: Order, please.

Mr Bisson: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Normally the Chair has the discretion, according to the standing orders, if a member alleges that another member has imputed motive or said a falsehood in the House that the Chair can ask if the member did make that comment, he would ask if he did, to withdraw that motion. I would ask if you can do that to see indeed if the member for Scarborough East —

The Acting Chair: Thank you for your comments. I've already ruled. Thank you very much.

We have an NDP motion.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavern Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cavern Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.”

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

0250

I have another NDP motion.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cavotti Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cavotti Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cawthra Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cawthra Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

Mr Kormos: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Please, in which month will members’ statements resume?

The Acting Chair: That’s up to your party. Thank you very much.

Ms Lankin: Chair, that should be withdrawn. That was inappropriate for the Chair.

The Acting Chair: If it was inappropriate, I withdraw.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cayuga Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cayuga Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

Another NDP motion.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cecil Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cecil Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

I have another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cecil Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cecil Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on this motion shall be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don’t believe there is a quorum.

The Acting Chair: Is there a quorum? Madam Clerk, would you check if there is a quorum.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Acting Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

0300

The Acting Chair: We have an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. There will be a deferred vote on that motion.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Beach Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Beach Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that amendment shall be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don’t believe there is a quorum.

The Acting Chair: Would the Clerk please check to see if there is a quorum.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is not present, Chair.

The Acting Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Brae Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Brae Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Drive living in the urban area.”

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I don’t believe there’s a quorum yet again.

The Acting Chair: Madam Clerk, would you check if there’s a quorum.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is present, Chair.

The Acting Chair: Thank you.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held — ”

Interjection.

Ms Lankin: Because you got to keep the quorum, honey. You are the government; that’s the rule.

Mrs Johns: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I refuse to be called by other than my county name in this House. I ask for an apology.

The Acting Chair: Would anyone like to withdraw?

Ms Lankin: Yes. I didn’t mean to offend the member, and I do withdraw that.

The Acting Chair: Thank you very much.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

We have another NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Glen living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Glen living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

0310

I have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedar Springs Grove living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedar Springs Grove living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion shall be deferred.

I have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarbank Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarbank Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on the motion shall be deferred.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I just wanted to indicate that the next two amendments inadvertently, through the collation process, have included two that don't have a reference to a specific street name. I would like to withdraw those.

The Acting Chair: Thank you.

We have another NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarcrest Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarcrest Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote on that motion will be deferred.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): Good morning. Are we ready?

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarcrest Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarcrest Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Ms Lankin: Madam Chair, I withdraw the next amendment.

The First Deputy Chair: Can you name the —

Ms Lankin: Yes, sorry. Cedarcroft Bl, but boulevard is inappropriately abbreviated.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you, it’s withdrawn.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarcroft Blvd living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarcroft Blvd living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarhurst living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarhurst living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0320

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarland Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarland Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I don't believe there's a quorum present.

The First Deputy Chair: Clerk, could you check and see if there's a quorum, please.

Clerk at the Table: A quorum is present, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarvale Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarvale Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarview Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied — "

Mrs Johns: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarview Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedarwood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedarwood Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cedric Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cedric Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0330

Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Would you ask the Clerk to ascertain whether there is a quorum present.

The First Deputy Chair: Clerk, is there a quorum present?

Clerk Assistant (Ms Deborah Deller): A quorum is not present, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is now present, Chair.

Mr Baird: On a point of order: I have two questions. I'm a new member to this place, so I seek a seasoned legislative veteran like yourself for your position, in your position as acting Chair of committee of the whole.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Just a second. I have to hear the point of order.

Mr Baird: When a quorum is called and a good number of NDP and Liberal members sneak out the minute it's called and they hide behind the wall there, can they be counted in the quorum call? It just seems silly that there's a quorum call and they all go running out.

The First Deputy Chair: I can respond to your point of order right now —

Ms Lankin: I would like to add to the point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: I'm going to rule this out of order. So let me respond to this point of order.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: When people start listening, I will give the answer.

To the member for Nepean, I think that you are a seasoned enough member by now to know that it is up to the government members. The rules of this House stipulate that government members are —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: I was just corrected. As a seasoned member has corrected me, in fact it's not a procedural matter. The situation is there has to be 20 members in the House at all times, and that's the bottom line. It doesn't matter who comes and goes; as long as there are 20 members in the House, we have a quorum.

Member for Beaches-Woodbine, did you have a point?

Ms Lankin: That's fine. You've clarified it.

Mr John O'Toole (Durham East): On a point of clarification —

The First Deputy Chair: A point of what did you say?

Mr O'Toole: Point of order, clarification —

The First Deputy Chair: A point of order will do.

Mr O'Toole: Take your pick. On your ruling: It's my understanding that a quorum constitutes 20 members. If a member is in the chamber and within sight or sound of the chamber — when the point of order of a quorum was called, one of the members left the chamber, I believe deliberately, not to impugn motive. Pretty serious.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you, and in fact I would ask you to withdraw that, member for Durham East.

Mr O'Toole: Withdrawing the impugning of motive.

The First Deputy Chair: Yes, because that is clearly —

Mr O'Toole: But I think the member for Nepean has made a very good point that we all have responsibility to the people of Ontario to maintain a quorum in this House. We're all getting paid.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you for your point.

Mr Cordiano: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I think the member —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Please. I can only hear one person at a time, and I have recognized the member for Lawrence on a point of order.

Mr Cordiano: With all due respect, I think the member's comments did again impugn motives on the part of

other members. In fact, it implied that members of this assembly on all sides of the House would be wasting taxpayers' money intentionally, and I think that impugns motives. I would like the member to withdraw his remarks.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Settle down. Order, please. I believe that the member for Durham East did withdraw, so that's already been done. Before we continue, I want to say that as yet there have been no points of order recognized here. If you're going to continue in this vein, I need to hear a real point of order.

Mr Gilchrist: On a point of order, quite seriously: Madam Chair, I seek direction from you, because it's my understanding when we vote in this chamber that the doors are locked, and the reference is that if you are in the chamber you must vote. Honestly, I quite sincerely ask you why there is a different test put to being in the chamber when the question of quorum comes up. If you are inside these five doors for the purpose of a vote, you absolutely cannot —

Ms Lankin: The Clerk has to be able to see you.

Mr Gilchrist: But again, in all seriousness, if I may finish my point, once those doors are locked and you are inside, the rules require us to vote, so clearly if you're behind the seats but this side of the door, there is still that obligation and presumably the Chair or the Speaker would not be able to see you. I wonder if you can explain to me how in fact a Speaker would know that you are behind the curtain, and if so, then why a different standard is put to that than there is during quorums.

Mr Kormos: The member for Scarborough East, a true *dupa* if there ever was one, presents in *dupalike* fashion — he stands like the illustration in a Kurt Vonnegut Jr novel. He raises an important issue. The simple solution is that if these Tories, who increase their own pay within months of being elected to power, put 20 people in the House as they're obligated to, they wouldn't have to worry about whether or not opposition members left.

The First Deputy Chair: That's not a point of order. Take your seat please. Take your seat. That is not a point of order.

Mr Gilchrist: Madam Chair, with great respect, I have just been sworn at in Ukrainian, and I would ask you to instruct the member opposite to show some dignity in this chamber and withdraw those comments.

The First Deputy Chair: I don't speak or understand Ukrainian, but I will ask —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Members, take your seats please. I am in the middle of responding to your point. I did not understand what the word meant, but I would ask the member to withdraw if indeed you have insulted the member for Scarborough East.

Mr Kormos: Chair, with all due respect, I don't speak Ukrainian and my family isn't Ukrainian.

The First Deputy Chair: I would, at any rate, under the circumstances, ask the member to withdraw that comment. I would really appreciate if you would do that.

Mr Kormos: Chair, if I may, please permit me the opportunity.

The First Deputy Chair: Would you please withdraw.

Mr Kormos: The member for Scarborough East, who again —

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: All members come to order please.

Mr Kormos: — I believe I complimented by speaking of as an illustration from a Kurt Vonnegut Jr novel. In other respects I withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: Have you withdrawn?

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Welland-Thorold, could you just do a simple withdrawal, please?

Mr Kormos: Not the Vonnegut Jr illustration, but the "*dupa*." "*Dupa*" I withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Welland-Thorold, please come to order.

Mr Kormos: "*Dupa*" I withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you very much. Now let's clear up the issue of quorum. Let's come back to that. I think that the rules are very clear. There have to be 20 members in the House, and I must say — you mentioned the words "hear or see a member." The Clerk is not here to hear if members are here. She is here to count, and there have to be 20 members in terms of voting, in their seats to vote. The rules are very clear about that, so that's what we will continue to do. The quorum calls are in order. Thank you very much for raising that point of order.

0340

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended" —

Mrs Johns: Dispense.

The First Deputy Chair: Dispense? No.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Celandine Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Celandine Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

I would ask the members again, all sides of the House, given the lateness of the hour, to try to be vigilant and be prepared to respond. I think this is probably doubly important at this point, to try to respond when I call for the vote.

Mr Baird: It's the earliness of the hour, not the lateness.
The First Deputy Chair: That's correct. It is the earliness of the hour.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation
"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Celeste Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Celeste Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation
"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Celestine Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Celestine Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation
"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Celt Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister — ”
Ms Lankin: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Would you ascertain whether or not there is a quorum?

The First Deputy Chair: Clerk, can you check and see if there is a quorum, please.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is not present, Chair.
The First Deputy Chair ordered the bells rung.

Clerk Assistant: A quorum is now present, Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: "(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Celt Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Celt Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Ms Lankin: Madam Chair —

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Beaches-Woodbine, point of order?

Ms Lankin: Madam Chair, I'm not sure in what proper manner to raise this. I don't believe it is a point of order. It's probably a point of information, which I know doesn't exactly exist within the standing orders. I wanted to inform people, however, that just as I leave from this shift, we have actually just finished one half of the first box of the amendments. We're a sixth of the way through the proceedings.

The First Deputy Chair: That is not actually a legitimate point of order.

Mr Kormos: Chair, a point of order.

The First Deputy Chair: Point of order, the member for Welland-Thorold.

Mr Kormos: No, I'm sorry, on a point of privilege. I think it's presumptuous for the member for Beaches-Woodbine to imply that we're a sixth of the way through. That may be the case in terms of volume, but it may not be the case in terms of time. I would ask that she correct herself.

0350

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Take your seat.

Mr Gilchrist: You're only one sixth here, so that's appropriate.

Mr Bisson: Point of order. The member from Scarborough East repeatedly in this chamber is saying things about other members that are not within the standing orders by making fun of people's names, etc. I just clearly heard him say that basically the member from Welland-Thorold is not one sixth here. The member from Scarborough East is out of order for two reasons. First, he is not in his seat when he is heckling, and that is clearly not in order and I would ask you to bring him to order. And the second point is that the member from Scarborough East has yet again called another member a name that is not within the parliamentary tradition, and I would ask you to have him withdraw those comments about the member from Welland-Thorold, or the member from Beaches-Woodbine.

Mr Shea: Chair, you will remind the member, who has surely been in this House long enough to know that as we are in committee of the whole and we are dealing with this particular bill, neither the minister nor the parliamentary assistant will in fact be occupying the front rank. Surely that's an understanding.

The First Deputy Chair: To the member for High Park-Swansea, that is only the situation when the staff are here and responding to questions and comments. Thank you for that point of order.

Mr Shea: Thank you for that clarification. Would you direct me to the precise rule where it says "only when the staff are in the chamber."

The First Deputy Chair: If you would take your seat and I will continue on here and we can provide that. The member for Scarborough East?

Mr Gilchrist: Madam Chair, I don't know whatever possessed me to suggest the member from Welland-Thorold was one sixth here. I certainly withdraw.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Before we continue I had forgotten your other point of order: It was around heckling. I want to remind members

that heckling is out of order no matter what seat you're sitting in.

Mr Shea: Madam Chair, I'm asking about your direction on how you're interpreting 105. You've ascribed some kind of constraints to that and I would like you to direct me to the standing order that in fact precludes the parliamentary assistant from being in the front rank.

The First Deputy Chair: I'm going to respond to the point of order from the member from High Park-Swansea. I'm going to read to you what section 105 says: "When the House is meeting in committee of the whole House to consider bills, the minister or parliamentary assistant in charge of a bill being considered by the committee of the whole House may occupy a seat in the front row of the House and may have up to three staff members seated in front of him or her to supply information to the minister or parliamentary assistant as required."

Now what that is clearly intended to provide for is during the questions and comment period. It is common sense, if I may use that phrase. When you have staff sitting here and the parliamentary assistant is responsible to respond on behalf of the minister to question on the amendments or the bill, then of course the parliamentary assistant needs to be sitting in close proximity to them.

Now, the second part of my response — that is, the section which I refer you to — is that it's been stated many times in this House that we are now in a voting procedure. We are not in the time period where we are debating or commenting or questioning a bill. We all know in this House that during voting procedures members must be in their own seats. I hope that clarifies the matter for you. We shall move on.

Mr Shea: Madam Chair, I appreciate your attempt to define 105 but let me just ask you if you can further clarify it. First of all, whether we are or are not in a voting mode, nowhere does it say the parliamentary assistant must assume his or her seat. The fact is that it is still implied in section 105 that their seat would be in the front rank. It is also my understanding of 105 that in fact we are still in the committee of the whole, and if that's the case the parliamentary assistant and/or the minister will occupy the front rank. Can you direct me which standing order would specifically say they must now return to their seats for voting?

The First Deputy Chair: I will clarify one more time. We are in a voting procedure and all members, including parliamentary assistants, must be in their seats to vote. I think that is very clear. So if you are not willing to accept that ruling, because that is my ruling, I would ask if you would want to challenge my ruling on that.

Mr Shea: With regret, perhaps it would be helpful for me to have the Speaker here to give us his ruling. Thank you.

The First Deputy Chair: All right, we will get the Speaker.

The committee rose and requested a ruling of the Speaker.

0406

The First Deputy Chair: Mr Speaker, the member for High Park-Swansea raised a point of order in which he indicated he felt that under the rules, the parliamentary assistant to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

or any parliamentary assistant, during committee of the whole, should be able to sit in a seat other than his or her own—in this case specifically the member for Scarborough East the parliamentary assistant—as he does during committee as of the whole clause-by-clause debate.

The Speaker (Hon Chris Stockwell): Who challenged it?

The First Deputy Chair: The member for High Park-Swansea.

Mr Shea: The question became one of some concern to me, as the Chair, in response to my question about 105, gave what I thought was a very circular interpretation that was not particularly satisfying, and I think it was appropriate to have you come into the chamber to give us your wisdom.

First of all it was stated that the parliamentary assistant had to be in his or her seat when a voice vote was being taken, and I asked if the Chair could direct me to the appropriate standing order because that seemed to be contradictory to what standing order 105 made very clear. There was no standing order reference given to me in that regard.

It was then made very clear that we were saying we were in the middle of a vote, but we were not in anything other than a voice vote, Mr Speaker. It is my understanding that in that case there is no standing order that specifically directs members to a specific seat. In that sense, it's just a matter of clearing up this small housekeeping matter of where does the parliamentary assistant sit when we are dealing with a bill the parliamentary assistant has carriage of during this debate. That's the real concern, that the Chair was not giving an adequate explanation.

Mr Jerry J. Ouellette (Oshawa): The reason is that yesterday there were two decisions made on this very point and they were both conflicting. It was brought forward that during the five standing members you did not have to be in your seat. As a matter of fact, the member for Nickel Belt was seated in the member for Scarborough-Ellesmere's seat and stood in that position to be counted as one of the five. What I'd also like you determine then is whether at that time those votes count if you have to be in your seat.

Mr Gilchrist: Mr Speaker, I hasten to preface my comments by saying I didn't ask. But having been asked, it was my understanding that the reason for standing order 105 during committee of the whole House is that in the absence of the minister in a case such as this, where we've gone far beyond the normal sitting day, the parliamentary assistant is in a position to be clearly heard by the table and in a position where he or she can be seen by the people in the government benches.

It is certainly not my intention to stand to force a division at any point in these proceedings, and in the case of a voice vote it is my understanding, and it has been communicated to me by the table, that there is no requirement that I be back in this seat during any voice vote. Accordingly I would ask your permission and for clarification to be able to resume sitting in the front benches to be able to carry this bill properly.

The Speaker: Thank you for your submissions. If you want, on a voice vote you don't have to be in your seats. If you're going to stand and force division, you have to be in your seat. To the parliamentary assistant, now that the staff

has left the floor, you've got to resume your original seat. That's all.

House in committee of the whole.

Mr Ouellette: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Yesterday a Chair brought forward the position that you did not have to be in your seat for a standing vote for the five people.

Mr Bartolucci: You just had a ruling.

Mr Ouellette: No, that was for a voice vote. The ruling was on a voice vote. We did not have a ruling as to whether you had to be — what happened —

Interjection.

Mr Ouellette: What took place was that yesterday the Chair said you did not have to be in your seat when you stood to be counted as one of the five. The member for Nickel Belt stood in the member for Scarborough-Ellesmere's position and was counted as one of the five from that position. Do those votes now count?

The First Deputy Chair: I would just say to the member for Oshawa, thank you for your point of order. I can't reflect on what happened yesterday when another Chair was in this chair. I wasn't here and I don't know what happened. What I can say to you is that we just heard that my interpretation or my ruling, whatever you want to call it, was challenged, the Speaker came in and upheld my ruling and clarified, and was very clear, that for a voice vote you don't have to be in your seat, but to stand for division you do. That ruling has now been made by the Speaker, and there's no more avenue for challenge.

Mr Baird: A point of personal privilege, Madam Chair: Mes collègues là-bas et M. Marchese, it's my personal privilege to announce that the Ontario economy grew by 46,000 people; 46,000 more Ontarians were working in March.

The First Deputy Chair: That was not a point of order, as the member for Nepean knows.

Ms Lankin: He had raised it as a point of privilege, but I want to contribute to this to say that it is our filibuster. The government caucus cannot have it. You can't take it away from us.

The First Deputy Chair: That also is not a point of order but privilege. Thank you very much and let's move on.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centennial Park Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centennial Park Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Hon Al Palladini (Minister of Transportation): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I am very disappointed and actually embarrassed to have to sit in this House when the member for Beaches-Woodbine makes a reference to these proceedings as “our filibuster,” and the government can’t do anything about it. This is truly an embarrassing time for the province of Ontario to have people like yourself sit there and be smug and proud of the waste, of the senseless use and abuse of democracy.

0420

Ms Lankin: Well, of course — he raised it on a point of order and I’m responding to his point of order — first of all, the member unfortunately did misquote me, but I would like to point out that all that I was saying was in an attempt to bring some levity to the House, after we saw the spectacle of a government challenging a ruling of the Chair and continuing to waste time when they say they want to move on and they don’t want to see time and taxpayers’ dollars wasted.

The First Deputy Chair: To the minister of Transportation I would just say that I personally — if you are offended, I guess I’m sorry for that — but I personally cannot take that as a point of privilege and ask for any kind of withdrawal.

I want to also add that there is overall a lot of — how shall I phrase this? — perhaps frivolous — and I’m not suggesting that yours was — but I believe to some extent the lateness or the early hour is contributing to that and there have been some, in my view, odd and at times silly and at times serious points of order and points of privilege raised.

I try my best to be fair to both sides of the House and listen carefully and give everybody an opportunity to state their privilege or order, and I will continue to do that. I don’t believe that that was a point of privilege I will recognize, but thank you nonetheless for raising it.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centennial Park Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centennial Park Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centennial Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centennial Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Central Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Central Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0430

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centre Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centre Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing" — could I ask the members to my immediate right to please keep it down a bit. Thank you very much. —

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centre Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centre Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Centurion Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Centurion Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Century Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Century Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0440

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cetus Starway living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cetus Starway living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chad Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chad Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chadbury Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chadbury Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chadwick Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chadwick Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

0450

Mr Sergio: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Will you please keep it down, nice and quiet, so we don't wake up the members from Nepean and Durham.

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order. Please take your seat.

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): On a point of order, Madam Chair: I would like to withdraw what I believe is the next amendment, dealing with Chaffey's Locks.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay, withdrawn.

Mr Gilchrist: I wonder if in the interests of all three parties, given that we have copies of the amendments and we too would like to keep up, if in the future, every time an amendment is withdrawn, in order that we know what it is we should be taking out of our pile, that you would read the street name into the record, please.

The First Deputy Chair: I have no problem with that. I believe the member for Dovercourt read it, but if you would like me to also do that, I shall do so in fact with this particular withdrawn amendment: Chaffey's Locks.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chaldean Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chaldean Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chalet Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chalet Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chalfont Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chalfont Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0500

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chalkfarm Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chalkfarm Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Challenger Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Challenger Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
 - “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Challister Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Challister Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chamberlain Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chamberlain Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):
“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chambers Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chambers Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0510

The Chair: NDP motion:
“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation
“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Champagne Drive living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Champagne Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Champlain Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Champlain Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chancellor Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chancellor Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chancery Lane living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chancery Lane living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chandler Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chandler Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0520

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chandos living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chandos living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chandos Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chandos Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The motion is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Channel Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Channel Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Channel Nine Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Channel Nine Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Channing Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Channing Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0530

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chantilly Gardens living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chantilly Gardens living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chantrey Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chantrey Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Try not to be as loud as me; otherwise I have to shout. Thank you.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapais Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapais Crescent living in the urban area.”

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Nepean.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapais Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing” —

Mr Baird: Why three weeks? Why not two?

The Chair: The member for Nepean.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapel Park Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapel Park Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Floyd Laughren (Nickel Belt): On a point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: Related to the amendment?

Mr Laughren: Relating to the proceedings here, yes.

The Chair: To the proceedings.

Mr Laughren: I'm wondering if you could tell me if members need to be awake to constitute a quorum, because most of the Tories seem to be asleep. The Tories are asleep —

The Chair: My ruling is that as long as the body is there, it's okay.

0540

Mr Wettlaufer: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In reference to the member for Nickel Belt's comments, it should be noted that his government was asleep for almost five years.

The Chair: That's not very polite.

Hon Norman W. Sterling (Minister of Environment and Energy): Mr Speaker, on the same point of order: I'd just like to make the point that some of the people who are asleep are making a greater contribution than those who are awake.

The Chair: They are also quieter. I will now entertain points of order on the motion.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapel Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapel Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapeltown Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapeltown Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapleau Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Interjections.

The Chair: The member for Nepean and the member for Dovercourt, if you want to speak, you can do it outside.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapleau Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing” —

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Nepean, I’ll adjust the time the number of times that I ask you to keep quiet and see how long it does.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearings.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I believe that the next amendment there is a duplicate so I would like to withdraw one of the two.

Mr Baird: No, call the Speaker. I don’t think you can do that. Call the Speaker.

The Chair: There is so much noise I can’t hear. The member for Nepean, this is the last time that I’ll warn you. The member for Dovercourt.

Mr Silipo: Thank you, Chair. There is I believe a duplicate with respect to the next two amendments dealing with Chaplin Crescent and so I would like to withdraw the first one.

0550

The Chair: An NDP motion:

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Scarborough East.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chaplin Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chaplin Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapman Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents” —

Is it okay if I continue now? I could stop.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapman Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chapman Road living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chapman Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chappel Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chappel Hill Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say

“nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charade Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charade Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0600

Mr Baird: A point of order, Mr Chair: The member for Yorkview is not in his seat, and the Speaker ruled that you had to be in your seat to vote. That's the second time since the Speaker did rule, sir, and he has not done it. I would ask that you police these rules that the Speaker has done.

The Chair: To the member for Nepean, to answer your point of order, as long as there are five standing in their places, it's okay. We will continue.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charcoal Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charcoal Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“Public consultation

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charette Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charette Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” That was an easy call. In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charity Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charity Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Minister, the member for Huron, the member for Oakwood, order, please.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charlemagne Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charlemagne Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0610

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charlemont Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charlemont Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charles Street East living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charles Street East living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charles Street West living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charles Street West living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: Chair, a point of order: I would like to withdraw the following amendment, which deals with Charles Street West as a duplicate.

The Chair: NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charles Tupper Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charles Tupper Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Mrs Johns: A point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: On the amendment?

Mrs Johns: Yes sir. Have we gone from individual streets in the city to individual people in the city now with Charles Tupper, sir?

The Chair: If you follow closely, you will see there are different changes.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charles West living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charles West living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0620

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charleston Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charleston Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection” —

Interjection.

Mrs Johns: The \$20 million riddle? It is \$100 million in the province of Ontario.

The Chair: The member for Huron, it’s the last time that I’ll warn you. The last time, it really is.

Interjections.

The Chair: The member for Hamilton, the member for York East.

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charleswood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charleswood Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mrs Johns: My poor kids.

Mr Kennedy: What's wrong with your kids?

Mrs Johns: You guys are putting them in debt.

The Chair: There should be no exchange. The member for York East and the member for Huron, I've told you already.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charlotte Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charlotte Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charlottetown Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charlottetown Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charlton Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charlton Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy" — the member for Hamilton East, you are overpowering my voice — "of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members” — the member for Fort York, that’s the last time, and I mean it — “of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0630

Mr Laughren: On a point of order, Mr Chairman.

The Chair: I will only entertain a point of order on the amendment; otherwise I will cut you off.

Mr Laughren: Actually, it does deal with whether or not we should proceed with this whole exercise, because in the —

Mrs Johns: The answer is no.

Mr Laughren: That could very well be, because in the Toronto Sun today the Minister of Municipal Affairs, Mr Leach, said that as a result of the amendment that passed yesterday, the government is prepared, if necessary, to introduce a new law to replace Bill 103 and kill the opposition amendment.

My point, Mr Speaker, is if the government intends to introduce a new law, why are we going through this exercise and wasting the opposition’s time? If the government is going to introduce a new law, why are we dealing with this one? I don’t understand what the government’s intentions are.

The Chair: I listened attentively to what you said but I have to tell you —

Interjections.

The Chair: If people will listen, I have to tell you that it was not a point of order.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation” — if you don’t keep quiet, I won’t read them out any more.

Mr Ted Chudleigh (Halton North): Are you in charge of this place or not?

The Chair: Yes. I may ask you to leave.

Mr Chudleigh: Help yourself.

The Chair: Would you like that? Would you like to leave?

Interjection.

The Chair: Thank you. That’s great.

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charmaine Heights living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charnleigh Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charnleigh Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charnwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charnwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill” —

Interjection.

0640

The Chair: The member for Oakwood. Order. Order. Order. The member for Oakwood. Order.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charrington Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charrington Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjection.

The Chair: The member for Sudbury.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Charterhouse Road living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Charterhouse Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chartland Boulevard South living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chartland Boulevard South living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chartwell Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Your conversation is finished? I can continue?

Mr Rosario Marchese (Fort York): Mr Speaker, are you looking at me?

The Chair: No. I'm looking at everyone. I mean everyone.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chase Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chase Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chateau Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:"

Mr Mike Colle (Oakwood): Bill, it was never like this on Bay St.

The Chair: The member for Oakwood.

Hon William Saunderson (Minister of Economic Development, Trade and Tourism): Well, yes, you pulled your hair out a lot.

The Chair: "2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0650

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chater Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chater Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatfield Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chatfield Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:"

Interjections.

The Chair: Order. The member for Halton North. The member for Nickel Belt. Minister.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatham Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied" —

Interjections.

The Chair: There is too much noise. I can't hear. I talk and you try to talk higher than my voice —

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatham Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chatham Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given" —

Interjections.

The Chair: There should be no whistling in the House. This is totally improper. Totally out of order. Whoever does it, I will ask him to leave.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0700

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatsmere Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chatsmere Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatsworth Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chatsworth Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chatterton Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chatterton Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is therefore deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chauncey Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chauncey Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheatham Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheatham Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

0710

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Checkendon Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Checkendon Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mrs Margaret Marland (Mississauga South): There are only two standing.

The Chair: I heard an “aye” this side. In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): Okay, we’re ready. Order, please.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Checkers Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Checkers Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelford Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelford Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelmer Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelmer Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelmsford Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelmsford Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelsea Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelsea Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0720

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelsea Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelsea Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

At the request of this committee does this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Marchese: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to withdraw the motion that you’re about to read into the record, the one that reads “residents of Chelsea Inn.”

The Second Deputy Chair: It is withdrawn. Order, please.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding” —

Mrs Marland: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Could you confirm for the House the name that was just withdrawn? I couldn’t hear over the noise.

The Second Deputy Chair: Chelsea Inn, c-h-e-l-s-e-a i-n-n.

Mrs Marland: Thank you.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Mr Chair: Could you clarify whether it is appropriate for members to speak from other than their seat? You just recognized a member who is not in her seat.

The Second Deputy Chair: I made a mistake and I appreciate that is a point of order. I appreciate your bringing it to my attention. The member from Mississauga, I just want to remind you that it is not the policy of this House to speak from any place other than your own seat.

Mrs Marland: I apologize to the Chair.

Mr Tony Clement (Brampton South): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I understand that the honourable member from Fort York suggested that he wished to withdraw the requirement for public hearings for the residents at the Chelsea Inn, and I wanted to note through you whether the honourable member could verify that there are no residents of Toronto who reside at the Chelsea Inn.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I’m reminding the member for Hamilton East to bring himself to order. I’m warning the member from Sudbury to come to order.

Mr Marchese: Mr Chair, in response —

The Second Deputy Chair: This Chair responds to points of order. That is not a point of order.

Mr Marchese: I just want to remind the member that I’ve withdrawn the motion.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to remind the member, and I shouldn’t have to, that there are only two reasons why you should be on your feet. Three: one to leave, one on a point of order and one on a point of privilege. I want to know why you’re standing up.

Mr Marchese: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I intend to stay here of course, and the point I want to make is that I’ve withdrawn that motion. I realize the member was trying to slow down the process even more and I was trying to help by saying that I withdrew the motion.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seats for a minute. Order. I want to be very clear. I want to hear the member from Fort York and there is too much noise up here and I’ve warned you both once already and I will not warn you again. Am I clear? The member from Fort York please.

Mr Marchese: Chair, I’ve already made my point of order. I don’t need to do it again.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Mr Clement: On a point of privilege, Mr Chair: The member for Fort York, in his commentary about why he withdrew “residents of Chelsea Inn,” impugned my motives by suggesting that I was intending to slow down the proceedings of the House. Quite the opposite is true, and I wish he would withdraw that.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to address some comments from the member from Brampton South. Order. I’m addressing my ruling on a point of privilege, and my ruling is that it is not a point of privilege.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheltenham Avenue living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheltenham Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelway Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelway Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chemical Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chemical Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0730

Mr Marchese: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to withdraw the next two motions with reference to the residents of Chemong Lake.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect" — I'm sorry.

Mr Colle: On a point of order, Mr Chair: If I could please encourage you to at least try and emphasize the name of the street at least. I think if you'd slow down just a bit for that at least. I would appreciate that. I think the people would too.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm very sorry. I thought I had. I will slow up.

Mr Colle: I'm just encouraging you.

The Second Deputy Chair: I have been trying and I'll try even harder.

Hon David H. Tsubouchi (Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations): I think that's an excellent point, and I suggest that perhaps you could slow down for the pronunciation of the lakes as well as the streets. I think it's very important for people to know what lakes people are resident in.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, this is an NDP motion. Order, please.

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1)" —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, please, it's only 7:30. We have a while to go yet. Let's get along.

"Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheriton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of" —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. We can't have this talking back and forth, and I'm sorry, if you must carry on conversations, I would ask you to leave.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote stands deferred.

I want to address the comment if I could to the member for Oakwood. I'm being contradicted every time on my opinion. There are two matters, one is fact and one is opinion, and we'll get along better if we just don't do that.

Mr Marchese: In the spirit of being helpful, I'd like to withdraw the next motion which makes reference to the residents of Cherokee.

The Second Deputy Chair: Cherokee is withdrawn.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding" —

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: I would appreciate it from the honourable member to know whether or not Cherokee is a jeep or is it a street or whatever it is.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is not question period, so I can't get members to answer questions. So that is not a point of order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding" — I'll try to make up the time —

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherokee Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherokee Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: I'd like to withdraw the following amendment dealing with the residents of Cherry.

The Second Deputy Chair: Cherry is withdrawn.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry. The member can only address me from her own chair.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended" —

Mr Clement: On a point of order, Mr Chair: To the honourable members opposite, could they, as they withdraw Cherry, also withdraw other forms of fruit and vegetables. That'll be very helpful.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would just say that I'd be happy to comply where appropriate.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

Mrs Marland: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I think I would like to know from you and perhaps through the Clerk, is there a requirement for when amendments are submitted for them to have some accuracy? The last four amendments, we've had three withdrawn. Is there any requirement that says that now that they're getting into a very sloppy submission where more are being withdrawn than are being appropriately submitted — is there any requirement for the third party who's submitting them to have them in order where they can be accepted?

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to address my comments to the member for Mississauga South. It's my information that amendments, motions can be made in perfect form or imperfect form.

I'd like to address the member for Dovercourt.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I wanted to address my comments to the member for Dovercourt. It's not my habit to have debate on points of order. So that if I'd like to address a point of order and then if you have one that's related, I guess you'll have to ask me that separately because other than that, I have to go in rotation, and I don't know how many times to go in rotation and we'll come to a —

Mr Silipo: I will rise on a related point then. I was just trying to be helpful at that point because it was related to the issue.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Dovercourt on a point of order.

Mr Silipo: I know other chairs from time to time have in fact allowed when people want to speak on a related point or the same point, they've entertained those at the same time, but if you wish to deal with them separately, that's fine. The reason I was rising at that point, Chair, was to point out to you that in considering the point raised by the member for Mississauga South, and now of course it's a different point by virtue of your decision, would you also take a look at in fact the degree of accuracy with respect to the government amendments to the bill, particularly to the original bill which had a major, major flaw as you know in the schedule to the bill.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I want to be very clear. I didn't say that I never do. I have. But the reason I

don't is for the very reason that we've just demonstrated, and that is that we get into a debate and that's why I try not to make a habit of it. I don't say that I never do, but the reason I do is that I don't want to get into debate. We're in committee of the whole. Debate is not allowed and that is the way I'd like to keep it.

On your point of order, it's not a point of order.

0740

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherry Beach living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherry Beach living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherry Hills living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherry Hills living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherry Nook Gdns living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherry Nook Gardens living in the urban area” —

Mr Colle: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: Sorry to interrupt again, Mr Chairman, but in terms of reading the name of the street, I thought I heard you say Cherry Nook Goodens or something.

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes, Cherry Nook Gdns, c-h-e-r-r-y n-o-o-k g-d-n-s.

Mr Colle: Isn't that “gardens”?

The Second Deputy Chair: No, maybe you weren't here. I'm sorry.

Mr Colle: No, I missed it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I made a ruling on this before. Gdns is g-d-n-s; gardens is g-a-r-d-e-n-s. The short form for gardens is g-d-n-s-period.

That is not a point of order. I have ruled on it.

Mr Colle: To get back to my point, I just —

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, that is my ruling. Would you like to challenge the ruling?

Mr Colle: No, no. My concern is that the name of the street be clear.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry; that's all.

Mr Colle: I'm just saying, as clear as possible.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would you please take your seat. I'd like to explain fully. Please take your seat. I have made a ruling on it before and I realize you weren't here; that's why I thought I should explain it to you. But it is a point of order that was raised, so it's not a point of order.

Mr Laughren: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: Just so I can get clarification of that, what would s-q be?

The Second Deputy Chair: Sq. I want to be very explicit, if I can. I am reading these, and we are stopped now, so I guess I've only done 22 and I've been here well over half an hour. There's no reason why I should not be able to do one a minute. We're well down and it's very slow, so I want to be very explicit: I read what is in front of me. If you don't want me to do that, then address the Chair. If there's some other way of doing it, please let me know.

Okay, that is not a point of order.

Mr Gilchrist: On a point of order, Mr Chairman: I'm just looking ahead and my shift is ending here and I see there's another one with a contraction and I just wonder how you would pronounce P-K-W-Y.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not in front of us.

Mr Gilchrist: Oh, okay. I'll await your ruling later.

The Second Deputy Chair: I realize this is a little bit in jest, but I want to be very clear about it: I am reading these — and I have slowed down. I had complaints that I was going too fast, so I have slowed down. I read what is in front of me.

The only thing I'd like to comment on is that that is not a contraction, in any case. I don't intend to be an expert in English. That is not my job. My job is to try to get the serious work of this committee on the way.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherry Post Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherry Post Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherry Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘‘The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherry Street living in the urban area.

“‘‘The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘‘If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘‘The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘‘The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherrydale Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherrydale Court living in the urban area.

“‘‘The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘‘If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘‘The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘‘The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherryhill Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘‘The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherryhill Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘‘The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘‘If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘‘The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘‘The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherrylawn Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherrylawn Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘‘The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0750

Mr Silipo: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I noticed that when you were reading the last three or four of these amendments, you missed all the clauses — not in the last one; I think you missed 3 — but in the previous ones you missed all the numbers, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, in the paragraphs. I would just point that out to you because I believe they form part of the amendment and should be read.

The Second Deputy Chair: It's very interesting — you may not have been here either — but I made a ruling on that, so that is not a point of order. I'm sorry.

Mr Silipo: Are you saying you're not required to read the numbers?

The Second Deputy Chair: I've ruled on that one. You might want to get up and make another point of order so that I can explain it to you.

Mr Silipo: I would.

The Second Deputy Chair: Some of the —

Mr Silipo: I haven't said anything.

The Second Deputy Chair: I haven't recognized you on a point of order because I think — if that isn't why you're up, tell me. Am I going too fast here? I'm sorry, I'm making jest.

Mr Silipo: Perhaps you could clarify, Mr Chair, what the ruling is with respect to whether you are required to read the roman numerals in the paragraphs, the number. I believe they form a fundamental part of the amendments.

The Second Deputy Chair: The ruling was that they are not a fundamental part. Some of the chairs were including them and some were not, so what I've been doing is when it suits me I do. All they do is number the paragraphs. They aren't an integral part of the motion, apparently.

Mr Silipo: That's an interesting construction. I've never heard that one before, but I'll give you credit for creativity, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: You will find that in Hansard. Last night, and I can't give you the time —

Mr Silipo: I quite believe it. I'm not questioning that in the least. I just find that very interesting.

The Second Deputy Chair: Somebody could even help you find it. You don't have to read all those or go through them yourself.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherrystone Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the"

I'm sorry. I want address the member for Dovercourt. My ruling was that I would do it as a courtesy, so if you want them, I will include them. The reason I'm bringing that up is that I'm just now recalling my exact ruling. It was the member for London Centre who questioned it at about a quarter to 9 last night and I made the ruling. But I will include them for you as a courtesy if you request it.

Mr Silipo: I appreciate that, Chair. I make that request. I would also say, in furthering that request, that if you were making a citation to one of these clauses, you would say, for example, subclause 24(4)(a)(i) or 24(4)a(ii). That would be the proper way to refer to them, so I think the number is actually more than just a courtesy. It's incidental to the subsection.

The Second Deputy Chair: That would be in complete contradiction to my other ruling, so I can't make that —

Mr Silipo: Well you might want to then review that ruling and in fact reflect upon it because —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, no.

Mr Silipo: While I can't challenge it — I'm not challenging it — we're, at this point, left to your courtesy, and I would make that request of you and ask you to reflect upon the point that I've just made.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, I don't have time to reflect, but in my previous ruling, I said that if —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. All they do is number the paragraphs and in order that you can keep up and so on, I will read the numbers so that you know which paragraphs are coming.

"— of Cherrystone Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cherrywood Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cherrywood Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheryl Shepway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheryl Shepway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chesapeake Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chesapeake Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chesham Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chesham Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of the committee that this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Report continues in volume J.

**STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
COMITÉS PERMANENTS DE L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE**

Administration of justice / Administration de la justice

Chair / Président: Gerry Martiniuk
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Ron Johnson
Robert Chiarelli, David Christopherson,
Bruce Crozier, Ed Doyle, Garry J. Guzzo,
Tom Hudak, Ron Johnson, Frank Klees, Peter Kormos,
Gary L. Leadston, Gerry Martiniuk,
John L. Parker, David Ramsay, David Tilson
Clerk / Greffier: Douglas Arnott

Estimates / Budgets des dépenses

Chair / Président: Gerard Kennedy
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Rick Bartolucci
Toby Barrett, Rick Bartolucci, Gilles Bisson,
Jim Brown, Michael A. Brown, John C. Cleary,
Orley Kells, Gerard Kennedy, Frances Lankin,
Ian K. McLean, E.J. Douglas Rollins, Frank Sheehan,
Bill Vankoughnet, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

Finance and economic affairs /

Finances et affaires économiques

Chair / Président: Ted Chudleigh
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tim Hudak
Nigel Bassett, Jim Brown, Ted Chudleigh,
Joseph Cordiano, Douglas B. Ford, Tim Hudak,
Monte Kwinter, Tony Martin, Gerry Martiniuk,
Gerry Phillips, Gilles Pouliot, E.J. Douglas Rollins,
Joseph Spina, Wayne Wettlaufer
Clerk / Greffier: Franco Carrozza

General government / Affaires gouvernementales

Chair / Président: Bart Maves
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Julia Munro
Mike Colle, Harry Danford, Jim Flaherty,
Michael Gravelle, Ernie Hardeman, Rosario Marchese,
Bart Maves, Julia Munro, Lillian Ross,
Mario Sergio, R. Gary Stewart, Joseph N. Tascona,
Len Wood, Terence H. Young
Clerk / Greffière: Lynn Mellor

Government agencies / Organismes gouvernementaux

Chair / Président: Floyd Laughren
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tony Silipo
Rick Bartolucci, Ed Doyle, Douglas B. Ford,
Gary Fox, Michael Gravelle, Bert Johnson,
Peter Kormos, Floyd Laughren, Gary L. Leadston,
Frank Miclash, Dan Newman, Peter L. Preston,
Tony Silipo, Bob Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Legislative Assembly / Assemblée législative

Chair / Président: Ted Arnott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: John Hastings
Ted Arnott, Dave Boushy, Tony Clement,
Kevin Curling, Carl DeFaria, Bill Grimmett,
John Hastings, Ron Johnson, Margaret Marland,
Gilles E. Morin, Sandra Papatello, Tony Silipo,
R. Gary Stewart, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Ombudsman

Chair / Président: John L. Parker
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Tom Froese
Carl DeFaria, Barbara Fisher, Tom Froese, Pat Hoy,
W. Leo Jordan, Jean-Marc Lalonde, Rosario Marchese,
Bill Murdoch, John R. O'Toole, John L. Parker,
Richard Patten, R. Gary Stewart, Bill Vankoughnet,
Len Wood
Clerk / Greffière: Lisa Freedman

Public accounts / Comptes publics

Chair / Président: Bernard Grandmaître
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Richard Patten
Marcel Beaubien, Dave Boushy, Gary Carr, Brenda Elliott,
Gary Fox, Bernard Grandmaître, John Hastings,
Jean-Marc Lalonde, Shelley Martel, Richard Patten,
Gilles Pouliot, Sandra Papatello, Derwyn Shea, Toni
Skarica
Clerk / Greffière: Donna Bryce

Regulations and private bills /

Règlements et projets de loi privés

Chair / Président: Toby Barrett
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Bruce Smith
Ted Arnott, Toby Barrett, Gilles Bisson, Dave Boushy,
John Gerretsen, Steve Gilchrist, John Hastings,
Gerard Kennedy, Tony Martin, Trevor Pettit,
Lillian Ross, Tony Ruprecht, Frank Sheehan, Bruce Smith
Clerk / Greffier: Tom Prins

Resources development /

Développement des ressources

Chair / Président: Brenda Elliott
Vice-Chair / Vice-Présidente: Barbara Fisher
Dominic Agostino, John R. Baird, David Christopherson,
Ted Chudleigh, Marilyn Churley, Sean G. Conway,
Brenda Elliott, Barbara Fisher, Doug Galt, Pat Hoy,
Bart Maves, John R. O'Toole, Jerry J. Ouellette,
Joseph N. Tascona
Clerk / Greffier: Todd Decker

Social development / Affaires sociales

Chair / Présidente: Annamarie Castrilli
Vice-Chair / Vice-Président: Dwight Duncan
Marion Boyd, Jack Carroll, Annamarie Castrilli,
Dwight Duncan, Tom Froese, Helen Johns,
W. Leo Jordan, Lyn McLeod, Julia Munro,
Trevor Pettit, Peter L. Preston,
Bruce Smith, Bud Wildman
Clerk / Greffière: Tonia Grannum

CONTENTS

Saturday 5 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, *Mr Leach*

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Samedi 5 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, *M. Leach*



No. 176J

N° 176J

ISSN 1180-2987

Legislative Assembly
of Ontario

First Session, 36th Parliament

Assemblée législative
de l'Ontario

Première session, 36^e législature

**Official Report
of Debates
(Hansard)**

**Journal
des débats
(Hansard)**

Saturday 5 April 1997

Samedi 5 avril 1997

Speaker
Honourable Chris Stockwell

Clerk
Claude L. DesRosiers

Président
L'honorable Chris Stockwell

Greffier
Claude L. DesRosiers



Hansard on the Internet

Hansard and other documents of the Legislative Assembly can be on your personal computer within hours after each sitting. Our address is:

<http://www.ontla.on.ca/hansard/hansard.htm>

Index inquiries

Reference to a cumulative index of previous issues may be obtained by calling the Hansard Reporting Service indexing staff at 416-325-7410 or 325-3708.

Copies of Hansard

Information regarding purchase of copies of Hansard may be obtained from Publications Ontario, Management Board Secretariat, 50 Grosvenor Street, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 1N8. Phone 416-326-5310, 326-5311 or toll-free 1-800-668-9938.

Le Journal des débats sur Internet

Notre adresse pour faire paraître sur votre ordinateur personnel le Journal et d'autres documents de l'Assemblée législative en quelques heures seulement après la séance :

Renseignements sur l'Index

Il existe un index cumulatif des numéros précédents. Les renseignements qu'il contient sont à votre disposition par téléphone auprès des employés de l'index du Journal des débats au 416-325-7410 ou 325-3708.

Exemplaires du Journal

Pour des exemplaires, veuillez prendre contact avec Publications Ontario, Secrétariat du Conseil de gestion, 50 rue Grosvenor, Toronto (Ontario) M7A 1N8. Par téléphone : 416-326-5310, 326-5311, ou sans frais : 1-800-668-9938.



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO

Saturday 5 April 1997

ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Samedi 5 avril 1997

Report continued from volume I.

8800

CITY OF TORONTO ACT, 1996 LOI DE 1996 SUR LA CITÉ DE TORONTO

Continuing consideration of Bill 103, An Act to replace the seven existing municipal governments of Metropolitan Toronto by incorporating a new municipality to be known as the City of Toronto / Projet de loi 103, Loi visant à remplacer les sept administrations municipales existantes de la communauté urbaine de Toronto en constituant une nouvelle municipalité appelée la cité de Toronto.

The Second Deputy Chair (Mr Bert Johnson): This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheshire Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheshire Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chesley Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chesley Avenue living in the urban area."

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Pardon me. Order, order. The conversation is too loud. Maybe you could do it somewhere else.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated — I'm sorry, this vote is deferred.

I don't want to be provocative, but I look up — I am trying to expedite things. I give a good look and that time there were only four —

Mr Floyd Laughren (Nickel Belt): You're right.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chesswood Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chesswood Drive in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I'm sorry, maybe this conversation could on somewhere else; it's distracting me. I want to make it clear that I am trying to expedite things in this House to the best of my ability, and those are — in actual fact, they're an irritant to me. Maybe you could go over and whisper in his ear, but it's too loud.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chester Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chester Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chester Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chester Hill Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chester Le Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chester Le Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestergrove Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestergrove Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestermere Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestermere Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0810

This is an NDP motion:

I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chesterton Shores living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chesterton Shores living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestnut Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Order, please. Order, order. I’m trying to expedite things here. I want you to realize that I’ve only done 41 in the last hour.

Mr Mike Colle (Oakwood): You’re not getting paid by the hour.

The Second Deputy Chair: That’s well, well down.

Interjections.

Mr Tony Silipo (Dovercourt): You’re way below your average.

The Second Deputy Chair: I realize there are some in this House who would like to see this expedited. There are others who’d like to see it stopped or slowed down.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: I have no idea. I’m supposed to be very neutral on this, so I want to tell you that I’m doing it to the best of my ability.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry?

Mr Rick Bartolucci (Sudbury): Keep up the good work.

The Second Deputy Chair: If you want to address the Chair, you should be on your feet.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Hill Pkwy living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestnut Hill Pkwy living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.”

Mr Colle: Point of order, Mr Chair.

Interjection: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. The Chair recognizes the member for Oakwood on a point of order.

Mr Colle: I’m just wondering again the name of that street.

The Second Deputy Chair: Chestnut Hill Pkwy, c-h-e-s-t-n-u-t h-i-l-l p-k-w-y. What does it say on yours?

Mr Colle: It’s just again in terms of clarity trying to get the actual pronunciation of the street so people will know the street.

Mr Bartolucci: What is it?

Mr Colle: Are you referring to “parkway”?

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. I have ruled on this before, so this is not a point of order. But I just read what’s in front of me.

Mr Colle: But traditionally I mean the people out there —

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry.

Mr Colle: — refer to it as “parkway.”

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. Please take your seat.

I want to be very clear on this. I read what is in front of me. If you want me to read something else, then by all means make the rules and by unanimous consent I can read anything that you want. This is my job to read exactly what is in front of me. I’ve ruled on it before and if you would like to challenge it, then by all means —

Interjections.

Mr Colle: Is it possible maybe perhaps to do both, in your language and the other language, “parkway” plus “pkwy” or whatever you said?

The Second Deputy Chair: I’ll not take that personally. I have been told that I have a Perth county accent.

Mr Silipo: No, you’ve told us that.

The Second Deputy Chair: But I want to reiterate and I want to go over this. You can challenge the ruling. I have made it. I have made it before, so it is not a point of order. But I’m going to read what is put in front of me. I do the absolute best. I’ve been criticized here for leaving out paragraphs, for not numbering the paragraphs, for all sorts of things. I’m sorry to go on about this, but either I read what is in front of me or I don’t. If you want to change it, then make an agreement and I’ll do what I’m supposed to do.

Mr Colle: All I said is, if it’s possible —

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. Are you on your feet for a new point of order?

Mr Colle: Point of order, yes.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes —

Mr Colle: My suggestion is that —

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry. The Chair recognizes —

Mr Colle: My point is that —

The Second Deputy Chair: Maybe one of us would talk at a time and in this case it is going to be this Chair. If you’re rising, I want to recognize the member for Oakwood on a point of order. The people from Hansard and translation into French and the broadcast people have to be able to keep an accurate record of this and so that is why I want to say what I have to say and then you can say what you say. Is that okay?

Mr Bartolucci: I understand your language.

Mr Colle: Just in terms of order and so the Hansard can get a record of what you're reading into the record, I think it would help if you could possibly add also the term "parkway" along with your interpretation as to what you see. That's all my suggestion is. Okay?

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order, but I will say this, that it can be done with unanimous consent. I can add anything to — I can add "parkway" to every motion. Is that the wish of the House?

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: There is not unanimous consent.

Mr Silipo: We'll leave it to your discretion. Point of order, Mr Chair: First of all, I appreciate your explaining of the way in which you apply the rules as you go along. I think that's very helpful for members. I just wanted to point out by way of a point of order that in fact the way in which this amendment has been drafted should leave no one under any impression that we are trying to change the name of the street from "Chestnut Hill Parkway" to "Chestnut Hill Pkwy." I just wanted to be clear with people and with you that was not part our intent in moving this.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to be clear about this too. Should I read something else into this? Do you want me to change this one and all others or just some of them? What's your point?

Mr Silipo: I'll leave it to your discretion. If you were to read it as "parkway," I think that's the way in which it would be most commonly understood, but again it's your —

The Second Deputy Chair: We asked for that; there was not consent.

Mr Silipo: So we'll leave it in your hands, just how you deal with it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will read what is in front of me.

Mr Gerard Kennedy (York South): Capable hands.

Mr Silipo: Absolutely.

Mr R. Gary Stewart (Peterborough): Point of order, Mr Chair: I would suggest that the member for Oakwood should apologize to you, sir. He would indicate that you are speaking a different language from English when he is suggesting that you can speak in your language and then again in English. I think that's an insult to you, as well as an insult in this House. I would suggest he apologize to you. We do not talk about various languages in that fashion in this House. Whether it be English, French or whatever it is, I think it's an insult and he deserves that.

Mr Steve Gilchrist (Scarborough East): Show some respect.

Interjections.

Mr Len Wood (Cochrane North): Oh, the Chair's going to rule.

Mr Laughren: Do the honourable thing, Mike, withdraw.

Interjection: Resign.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to rule on that point of order. I'd like to be very clear about this, and I'm addressing the member for Peterborough. I did not take that personally. During the last three or four days that I have been up here reading these motions, these amendments, we have two languages that we work in and I have had to do it

in both and I have a great deal of difficulty with the other official language. So I did not take it that way. But I'm sure if he thought that way, he would withdraw. I didn't interpret it that way.

Mr Stewart: Point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry. No, I'm not going to debate it. Did you feel it was that way?

Mr Colle: I think it's a follow-up to our earlier point of order on a similar matter, Mr Chair. I certainly meant no intent except to try and clarify the square or parkway interpretation you've been making. I meant no offence and I hope you realize that.

The Second Deputy Chair: When it says "parkway" in front of me, if I don't read "parkway," then you let me know, and if it says "pkwy," then that's what I'll read and if it's different, let me know.

Mr Laughren: Point of order, Mr Chair: I wonder if we could get back to the amendments because I'm worried about your productivity starting to slip.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order. I see no reason that I shouldn't be able to read these at least in a minute. It's only one page; it's only 250 words. They aren't difficult words. There is no reason I shouldn't be able, and this House shouldn't be to expedite one a minute. There are only 8,000 or 10,000 of them. If I could do 120 of them in my two-hour shift, that would be a good guideline. I've only been here an hour and in actual fact we haven't done any in the last 10 minutes. So I appreciate that.

0820

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Hills Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestnut Hills Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Hills Pky living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestnut Hills Pky living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour of the motion say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is defeated.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Park Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of

the residents of Chestnut Park Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chestnut Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chestnut Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Silipo: Point of order, Chair: I would like to withdraw the next amendment which deals with the residents of Chestnut W.

The Second Deputy Chair: So be it.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheston Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheston Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheval Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheval Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheviot Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheviot Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0830

Mr Laughren: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would wish to, because of a duplication, withdraw the next motion, which deals with Chevron Cr.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you. It's done.

Mr Bud Wildman (Algoma): On a point of order: We do have a rule in this House that says that we are allowed to use either of the official languages. It's my understanding that we need unanimous consent to speak a third language.

The Second Deputy Chair: I have ruled on that before. I will do my very best to consider that not a personal comment.

Mr Wildman: No. I thought it's just that if you're going to say "cr" and "pkwy," it's a different language and we should have unanimous consent to allow it.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're requesting then that my ruling be challenged?

Mr Wildman: Oh, no, I wouldn't challenge you.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to address the member for Algoma.

Interjection: We already went through this.

Mr Wildman: Oh, I'm sorry.

The Second Deputy Chair: Will you come to order, please? I have been at this for an hour and 20 minutes. I've only done 52. I'm doing my very best to address all the legitimate points of order and privilege and all of the other corrections and so on that are made. I am doing the very, very best I can. So I don't want to consider it personal, but I would ask you to withdraw your comments, please.

Mr Wildman: I withdraw. I didn't realize unanimous consent had already been given.

The Second Deputy Chair: Pardon me, I want an unconditional, unequivocal withdrawal.

Mr Wildman: What do you want me to withdraw, exactly?

The Second Deputy Chair: Your comments under the point of order.

Mr Wildman: Chair, I just want to know. If you tell me the comment you want me to withdraw, I'll withdraw it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want it withdrawn.

Mr Wildman: You want "it" withdrawn? I withdraw "it."

The Second Deputy Chair: I will take that as an unequivocal withdrawal.

Mr Wildman: You said you wanted "it" withdrawn. I withdrew "it."

The Second Deputy Chair: Come to order. Would the member for Algoma please take his seat. I would like to address him. I'm trying to do the very best I can in this job. It's very difficult, and I realize that is not part of your problem, but when you stand up and point towards me and make a comment, then I have no way of not taking that personally. If you want to challenge this Chair, then by all means please do it, but if not, I would ask you to conduct yourself in a parliamentary manner, the same as all the members who have been here for a long time are doing.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chevron Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chevron Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cheyenne Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cheyenne Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chianti Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chianti Square living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chichester Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chichester Place living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chicora Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chicora Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chicoutimi Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chicoutimi Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0840

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chiefswood Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chiefswood Square living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

I want to remind the members that I'm fairly lenient on the carried, the nays and the ayes and that sort of thing, but I only have time to give one scan, and if there aren't five members standing in their seats, I may be kind of quick on it, but that's the way I've been doing it and it is consistent.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Hamilton East, if you want to make a comment, go to your own seat, please.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chieftain Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chieftain Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is —

I do want to be very clear about this. I am trying to do my very best at this under very trying circumstances, and I only warned not 60 seconds ago that if you want to defer it, there have to be five members standing in their rightful place.

This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chilcot Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chilcot Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr John Gerretsen (Kingston and The Islands): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I've only just arrived this morning. I just left my office, and we've had three calls within the last 15 minutes from individuals who, with all due respect, and I know you've got a very tough job and we appreciate the job you're doing —

The Second Deputy Chair: What's the point?

Mr Gerretsen: These people do not understand what you're saying, sir. They were really hoping that you would speak a little bit slower, but I appreciate the work that you're doing for us.

The Second Deputy Chair: I've sent over a copy of what I've been saying, and that may help you a little bit. By the way, that is not a point of order.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chillery Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chillery Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chiltern Hill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chiltern Hill Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chilton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chilton Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chine Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chine Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0850

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chipper Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chipper Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Just to clear the record, would the member for Hamilton East take his own seat. No? No, take your own chair behind your own desk, please. I thought you were the second from that end. This says —

I want to correct this. That last motion was defeated. There were only four members rising. I gave the member every opportunity to take his seat, rise, and cover this. Defeated.

Mr Kennedy: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I appreciate the point you’re making in respect to the seating arrangement for one member. There were six members standing on the last motion.

The Second Deputy Chair: I only noticed five standing. One was in his improper place and I gave him every opportunity to take it.

Mr Kennedy: I accept that —

The Second Deputy Chair: That is my ruling. If you want to challenge, then please take this opportunity.

Mr Kennedy: No, I’m just trying to be helpful, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I was too, and I thought I gave every opportunity to the member to do it, and he prevaricated and so on. I asked him to take his own place behind his own desk, and he didn’t.

Mr Kennedy: It’s been a long day, as you know, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: It’s not been a long day, but it is a difficult job, and I’m doing the best I can.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Hamilton East will come to order.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chipper Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chipper Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chipping Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chipping Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Ms Shelley Martel (Sudbury East): On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next amendment.

The Second Deputy Chair: I’m sorry, my attention was distracted, and I apologize.

Ms Martel: I’ll repeat that, Mr Chair. In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next amendment.

Mrs Margaret Marland (Mississauga South): Can you identify it?

The Second Deputy Chair: Chipstead is withdrawn. That was a point of order, by the way.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chipstead Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chipstead Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Hon David H. Tsubouchi (Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations): On a point of order, Mr Chair: I understand that the third party has been from time to time withdrawing some of the amendments to the bill.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Just a minute. I need order. I have a point of order that I’m trying to address. There are too many conversations. It’s too loud for me to be able to hear. Sorry to interrupt. The member for Markham.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Mr Chair, as I was saying, the third party is from time to time withdrawing certain amendments from the bill. I would appreciate it, for the benefit of our party, if we could be indulged by hearing what the name of whatever the street is. It would be very helpful for us to follow it.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. Please take your seat. Order. I’m addressing the member for Ottawa South. You’re standing directly between me and a member I want to talk with. Would you please take your seat or another seat.

The request was, because they may be out of order or something, that they would like them identified.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Mr Chair, on the same point of order.

The Second Deputy Chair: No. I want to finish this one first. The member for Markham has requested that when you withdraw, if you would say the name, and then he’ll know that he has the right ones in order.

That’s all? That was a point of order, and it is addressed. We would like to go on.

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I’d like to proceed. This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chipwood Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chipwood Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0900

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chisholm Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chisholm Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chiswell Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chiswell Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chiswick Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chiswick Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Choiceland Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of

the residents of Choceland Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chopin Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chopin Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: In the interests of time, I will withdraw the amendment entitled Chrislea.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Christie Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Christie Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Christina Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Christina Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Christine Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Christine Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

0910

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chryessa Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chryessa Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chrysler Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chrysler Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chudleigh Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chudleigh Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

I just want to tell you that I only did 84 in that two-hour thing, and that’s well below the 103 that I can, so for those who think I’m going too fast, I’ll try to do better; for those who think I’m going too slow, I’ll just be as deliberate as I can.

The Acting Chair (Mr Bernard Grandmaître): An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chudleigh Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chudleigh Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to withdraw the next amendment, which is entitled “Church.”

The Acting Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Church Avenue living in the urban area” — is this the same, the same Church? How come I’m reading it if it’s withdrawn?

Ms Martel: If I might be helpful, Mr Chair —

The Acting Chair: Is it a duplicate?

Ms Martel: Yes, I’m sorry. That’s exactly the problem.

The Acting Chair: Thank you: “shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Church Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Church Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Church Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of this House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0920

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair. In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next two amendments. The first one is listed as Churchill and the second is listed as Churchill Avenue, which is a duplicate.

The Acting Chair: Withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Churchill Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Churchill Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cicada Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cicada Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cicerella Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cicerella Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cimmaron Court living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cimmaron Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cindy Nicholas Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cindy Nicholas Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

0930

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cinrickbar Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cinrickbar Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Circle Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Chair, on a point of order: I might point out that in the last voice vote, you had five people standing, including the member for Oakwood who was not standing at his place. He was standing next to the member for Sudbury. I might remind the Chair of rule 23 in the standing orders which indicates you have to be standing in your place.

Interjection: He was.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: He was not. I was observing that. Mr Chair, I just point that out to you for the future.

The Acting Chair: Thank you. I think it is a point of order, but six people were standing, Minister.

Interjection:

The Acting Chair: Are you challenging my ruling? You're not even in your chair.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Circle Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Circle Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Circle Ridge living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Circle Ridge living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Circuit Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Circuit Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cirillo Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cirillo Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it.

0940

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Look at the numbers who are standing, Mr Chair.

The Acting Chair: Oh, yes. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: It's okay now.

The Acting Chair: An NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Citadel Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Citadel Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Citation Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Citation Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the pleasure of the House that this motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” All those against please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

The First Deputy Chair (Ms Marilyn Churley): The second time this morning. Nice to see you all again. Good morning.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of City Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of City Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of City View Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of City View Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion, Subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Civic Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Civic Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

0950

Mrs Marland: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I can tell you right away it’s not a point of order, but —

The First Deputy Chair: Well, if it isn’t a point of order, I can’t really take it. Is it a point of order?

Mrs Marland: On behalf of all members, and you are in the Chair, could we request that the windows be opened at least a few times so we get some air exchange.

The First Deputy Chair: I think that is a very reasonable suggestion.

Mrs Marland: At the moment, when you re-enter the chamber, the air is getting pretty — stale would be a polite word.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. I do accept that as a point of order and would ask that the windows be opened occasionally to air the place out. Thank you for that.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clair Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clair Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Mr Colle: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I just got an e-mail delivered. This is to all those at Queen’s Park who are fighting Bill 103. “Many people who live on our street joined in voting no,” and they’re asking us to continue — these are people on Brunswick Avenue here in the city of Toronto.

The First Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claireport Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claireport Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claireville Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claireville Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clairlea Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clairlea Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say "aye." Those opposed, please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clairton Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clairton Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1000

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clairtrell Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clairtrell Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clairville Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clairville Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” Those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clam Lake Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clam Lake Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clancy Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clancy Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clansman Blvd living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clansman Blvd living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

1010

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clanton Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clanton Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clanton Park Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clanton Park Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clanwilliam Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clanwilliam Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clapperton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clapperton Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Ms Martel: Point of order, Madam Chair: I would like to withdraw the next amendment which reads "Clappison."

The First Deputy Chair: I'm withdrawing the amendment which reads "Clappison." Withdrawn.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clappison Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clappison Boulevard living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

1020

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claremont Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claremont Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Chelwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Chelwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Ms Martel: Point of order, Madam Chair: I'd like to withdraw the next amendment, which reads as “Clarence.”

The First Deputy Chair: I'm withdrawing the amendment which reads as “Clarence.” Thank you. It's withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claremore Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claremore Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarence Square living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarence Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,”

Mr Wildman: On a point of order, Madam Chair: Just to be clear, this is Clarence Square, not Clarence Sq.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. Yes, it is. My interpretation is that it is Clarence Square.

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarendon Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarendon Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.
1030

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I’d like to withdraw the next amendment because it is a duplicate: Clarendon Avenue.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you. I’m withdrawing the duplicate Clarendon Avenue. It’s withdrawn.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarendon Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarendon Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This will be a deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claresholme Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claresholme Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claret Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claret Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clareville Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clareville Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarinda Drive living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarinda Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1040

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarion Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarion Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clark Secor Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clark Secor Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clark Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clark Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarke Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarke Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarkhill Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarkhill Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clarkson Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clarkson Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.”

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Member for Kingston and The Islands, come to order.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1050

Ms Martel: Point of order, Madam Chair: I’d like to withdraw the next amendment because I believe it is a duplicate, Clarkson Avenue.

The First Deputy Chair: I’m withdrawing the amendment which says “Clarkson Avenue.”

Interjections.

Mr Gerretsen: On a point of order, Madam Chair: The Minister of Municipal Affairs just indicated to me that he’s against rent control and wants to get rid of rent control.

The First Deputy Chair: This is not a point of order.

Mr Gerretsen: I wonder if he could just confirm that for the people of Ontario.

The First Deputy Chair: No, no. Member for Kingston and The Islands, you know that’s not a point of order.

Interjections.

Mr Wildman: Could you clarify if that applies to people who live in Cafon Court?

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Algoma, please come to order. Come to order.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Classic Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Classic Avenue living in the urban area.”

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I have some order, please. Order, please.

Interjection.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Dufferin-Peel, you’re not in your seat. You shouldn’t heckle anyway, but you’re not in your seat and you’re standing.

Mr David Tilson (Dufferin-Peel): Sorry, Madam Chair.

The First Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Mr Wildman: I just figured out why they lost that vote. They don’t understand that no means no.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Algoma, come to order.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claude Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claude Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claudius Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claudius Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claver Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claver Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1100

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claxton Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claxton Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claxton Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

““(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clay Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:”

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: I can't hear and some of the other government members are complaining they can't hear me read the amendments. So I would ask people to come to order.

Ms Annamarie Castrilli (Downsview): It's the government member who should be quiet.

The First Deputy Chair: Yes. I would ask all members to come to order so that everybody can hear me read these amendments. Thank you.

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clay Court living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

““(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claybrooke Street living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claybrooke Street living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

““Public consultation

““(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clayhall Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

““1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clayhall Crescent living in the urban area.

““2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

““3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

““4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

““5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

““i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

““ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

““iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

““iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.””

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clayland Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clayland Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

1110

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claymore Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claymore Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Madam Chair: I would like to withdraw the next amendment which reads "Clayson."

The First Deputy Chair: I will withdraw the amendment which reads "Clayson," and I will see you in a few hours. Thank you very much.

The Chair (Mr Gilles E. Morin): NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clayson Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clayson Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour will say "aye." All those opposed will say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Claywood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Claywood Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cleadon Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cleadon Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mr Gerretsen: On a point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: As long as it is related to the amendment.

Mr Gerretsen: It’s related to the decorum in the House.

The Chair: No, no, to the amendment.

Mr Gerretsen: As a matter of fact, the offending matter has just been rectified in the House.

The Chair: Thank you. I think I understood what you meant.

Ms Martel: Mr Chair, on a point of order: In the interests of time, I will withdraw the next two amendments. They read “Clear Lake” and “Clear Lk.”

The Chair: Thank you.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clearbrooke Circle living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clearbrooke Circle living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the amendment carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1120

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I’d like to withdraw the next amendment. It is a duplicate: Clearbrooke Circle.

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clearcrest Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clearcrest Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,”

Interjections.

The Chair: I would ask you to keep just your tone down so that you don’t overcome my own reading of the amendment.

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clearlake Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clearlake Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Could you hold your conversations outside the House? I would prefer that.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clearside Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clearside Place living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clearview Heights”

There’s still too much noise in the House. The members for Hamilton East, Sudbury, Kingston and The Islands and the member for York East.

— “living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clearview Heights living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: Point of order, Mr Chair: I would like to withdraw the next amendment which appears as “Clear-water Lake.”

The Chair: Order.
1130

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cleethorpes Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cleethorpes Boulevard living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clematis Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clematis Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clement Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clement Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clementine Square living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clementine Square living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair: I wish to withdraw the next amendment which reads as “Clements.”

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clementview Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clementview Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1140

Mr Wildman: On a point of order, Chair.

The Chair: Related to the —

Mr Wildman: Related to the process here. Today is officially April 3 in the Legislature, is that correct?

The Chair: It is April 5, if you look at the calendar right in front of the table.

Mr Wildman: Then the time does change tonight, so we’ll have a shorter night tonight.

The Chair: At 2 o’clock in the morning on April 6.

Interjections.

The Chair: It’s now time to read the amendments, the member for Brampton South, the member for Algoma, the member for Lake Nipigon, Minister. Thank you.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clemes Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clemes Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Ms Martel: On a point of order, Mr Chair.

The Chair: The member for Algoma, I cannot see the member for Sudbury East.

Ms Martel: I’d like to withdraw the next amendment which appears as “Clendenan.”

The Chair: NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clendenan Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clendenan Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Interjections.

The Chair: Can we bring a little seriousness in the House, please.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cleo Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cleo Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cleta Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cleta Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clevedon Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clevedon Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

- “5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,
- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
 - “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
 - “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
 - “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” Those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1150

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cleveland Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cleveland Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliff Fern Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliff Fern Way living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliff Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliff Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliffcrest Crescent living in the

urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliffcrest Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliffcrest Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliffcrest Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say

“nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1200

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliffdene Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliffdene Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clifford Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clifford Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days” —

Interjections.

The Chair: You'll notice the cameras are on me, eh. Order.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliffside Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliffside Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliffwood Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliffwood Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1210

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clifton Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clifton Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clifton Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clifton Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mrs Marion Boyd (London Centre): Point of order, Mr Chair: I wish to withdraw this. There's no street designation after "Clinton" in this amendment, so we wish to withdraw it.

The Chair: NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clinton Place living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clinton Place living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clinton Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clinton Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clintwood Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clintwood Gate living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1220

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clipper Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clipper Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clissold Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clissold Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clive Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clive Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cliveden Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cliveden Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1230

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloebury Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloebury Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clonmore Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clonmore Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Close Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Close Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Closson Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Closson Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloud Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloud Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1240

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clouston Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clouston Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clovelly Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clovelly Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clovercrest Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clovercrest Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloverdale Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloverdale Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloverdale Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloverdale Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1250

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloverhill Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloverhill Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion, please say “aye.” All those opposed, please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloverlawn Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloverlawn Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cloverleaf Gate living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cloverleaf Gate living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clubhouse Court living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clubhouse Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clubine Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clubine Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say

"nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1300

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clusson Park living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clusson Park living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clumber Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clumber Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,

without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cluny Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cluny Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cluny Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cluny Drive living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clyde Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“‘1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clyde Avenue living in the urban area.

“‘2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“‘3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“‘4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“‘5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“‘i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“‘ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“‘iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“‘iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

1310

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“‘Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clyde Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clyde Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Len Wood: "De-ferred." The Reform Party.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, the member for Cochrane North, do you have something you want to say?

Mr Len Wood: No, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clydebank Boulevard living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied" —

Mr Dwight Duncan (Windsor-Walkerville): Point of order, Chair: Which section was that you're reading again, please?

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm not reading a section; I'm reading an amendment.

Mr Duncan: Yes, the amendment. Which amendment to what section was it that you're reading? You're going so fast I didn't catch it.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry. If you want, you can have a copy of that and follow along. Other than that, I'd ask you, I guess, to listen a little faster.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given."

Mr Duncan: Point of order, Mr Chair: Your reading is going very fast. It's hard to follow.

The Second Deputy Chair: Pardon me. I let it go the first time because I don't want to get into a debate on this, but the last shift I did 73, the time before 54 and I did 103 once. I don't think I'm going that fast, because I'm going slower now, a lot slower, than I was before. You are the only one in the last 24 hours, I think, who has suggested that I have been going too fast. I will try to go slower. I don't know if you've noticed, but I do slow right down for

the name of the street, and the rest of it is fairly repetitious. If you want, you can follow along on that one. It's a little bigger print; it'll help.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Clydesdale Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Clydesdale Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Mr Len Wood: Carried.

Interjections: No.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to bring to order the member for Cochrane North once again. I asked before that you wait until after I have finished.

Mr Len Wood: Okay, sorry. I thought you were finished.

Mr Duncan: I thought you were finished too.

The Second Deputy Chair: I will always pause. I have never, ever tried to trick any kind of vote.

Mr Gerry Phillips (Scarborough-Agincourt): He's talking to me as the whip.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm talking to the member for Cochrane North. My job is to do this in an unbiased, fair, reasonable manner and it's not my intention to try to trick you by reading too fast or anything else. I will always give that opportunity for you to do that. It comes after the word "sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order, Mr Speaker: I wish to withdraw the next motion, which refers simply to the CNE Exhibition grounds, which is not a street.

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coach Lite Way living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coach Lite Way living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order, Mr Speaker: I'd like to withdraw the next motion. It is a repetition of the one before.

1320

The Second Deputy Chair: This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coady Avenue living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coady Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those in favour, say "no" or "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. It was almost the noes. This vote is deferred.

Mr Len Wood: The nays are on the other side.

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Cochrane North, do you have a point of order?

Mr Len Wood: No.

Mr Gilles Pouliot (Lake Nipigon): No, just stretching. It's a long day.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, pardon me, there is no debate. If I'm out of order, then I want to be —

Mr Pouliot: It's just a long day.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, don't suggest to me I don't know what long days are. I only read 84 of these the last shift, and I went pretty slowly at that. There are 249 words in each one, there are 23 numbers and there are 30 punctuation marks, and I have to recognize all of those. Don't tell me what a long day is.

This is an NDP motion.

Mr Pouliot: You do have a problem, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, does the member for Lake Nipigon have something to say?

Mr Pouliot: Mr Chair, welcome back indeed. I am just recognizing and I sympathize with you. You indeed have a problem, sir. It's a very tedious task and you're honouring the tenure by doing this repetitiously and so on. I don't envy your tenure. You're doing a very good job.

The Second Deputy Chair: Thank you.

Mr Pouliot: We on this side of the House admire you, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is not a point of order, but I just wanted to correct one thing: I do not have a problem.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coalport Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coalport Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coats Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coats Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coatsworth Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coatsworth Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobalt Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobalt Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain,

without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry?

Mr Len Wood: Carried.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order, please. The member for Cochrane North, you are not in your chair.

Mr Len Wood: You don't have to be for a voice vote, just for a standing vote.

The Second Deputy Chair: Absolutely right. The term, no matter where you are, should be “Carried.” It comes after “sent,” which is right. You can't say it anywhere.

Mr Len Wood: I said “Carried” twice.

The Second Deputy Chair: Okay, I will recognize them twice.

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobalt Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobalt Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobb Avenue living in the urban area

shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobb Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobble Hills living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobble Hills living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1330

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobbler Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobbler Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding" —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. I'm sorry, there's no debate. I would like to remind the member for Essex South there is no debate. I am here to read these motions and there is no debate.

Where was I?

Interjection: Paragraph 3.

The Second Deputy Chair: Okay.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobden Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobden Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobham Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobham Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion.

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cobourg Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cobourg Avenue living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cochrane Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cochrane Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cochrane Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cochrane Drive living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say “aye.” All those opposed, say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cockburn Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cockburn Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1340

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cocksfield Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cocksfield Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing" —

Mr John C. Cleary (Cornwall): On a point of order, Chair: Some of our residents are concerned about the Speaker. Martin Goldstein of 54 Lawrence Avenue —

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I'd like to rule on that point of order. It is not a point of order. I've ruled on it before.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Codeco Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Codeco Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Codlin Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Codlin Crescent living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Tony Clement (Brampton South): On a point of order, Chair: I'm wondering whether you can assist me with this point of order. Is it typical or necessary to have the movers of the motion or members of that party in the House? At the present time no movers of the motion in terms of the NDP are in the House.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to address the member for Brampton South. The ruling on it is that these motions have already been deemed to have been entered into the record, but I still have to read them out loud. So there is no requirement that any of the members of the party that made the motions be here. Indeed, other than that, I don't think it would matter if anybody was here other than they want quorum. That's my interpretation.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Codsell Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Codsell Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coe Hill Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coe Hill Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coin Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coin Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Col Danforth Trail living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Col Danforth Trail living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed will please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1350

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colbeck Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colbeck Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colborne living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colborne living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” All those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colborne Lodge Drive living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colborne Lodge Drive living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

Mrs Marland: Point of order, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: Before I deal with that, I'd like to address the member for Cochrane North. It's my opinion that what you're wearing is a demonstration and that is my ruling and you're out of order. I would ask you to come to order by taking off the demonstration and making it so you can't see it. I'm asking the member for London Centre to remove the one from the chair beside you. I'll give you a minute to think about it, I'm not willing to debate it. It'll either be taken off or I'll have to make another ruling.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colborne Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions" — I'm sorry, the member for Mississauga South, I interrupted you and I went right past it.

Mrs Marland: Thank you for coming back. My point of order was about the T-shirts in the chamber. I also would ask, when you do have time, to make a ruling on all the proliferation of buttons which in fact become signs. We would like your opinion on the use of that.

The Second Deputy Chair: I can't think of any better time than right now. If you're raising this on a point of order, if that's what it is, then I'll —

Mrs Marland: It is a point of order because we previously have had rulings on buttons and signs in the chamber and we're now getting a proliferation of them.

The Second Deputy Chair: I think I have enough information to rule on it now and I'll just take a minute to do that. We will have a short recess; 10 minutes.

The committee recessed from 1357 to 1403.

The Second Deputy Chair: I would like to address the point of order of the member for Mississauga South. It's my ruling that the buttons are a demonstration and that they would not be allowed in the chamber. That is my ruling. Now, whereabouts was I?

The Chair recognizes the member for Dovercourt on a point of order.

Mr Silipo: I just wanted to be clear on the ruling you just made. You're saying that buttons worn by members are said to be a demonstration?

The Second Deputy Chair: Yes, my ruling is what you have on your lapel is a demonstration and won't be allowed.

Mr Silipo: I'm appealing your ruling, sir.

The Second Deputy Chair: We'll await the Speaker.

Mr Silipo: Absolutely.

The committee rose and requested a ruling of the Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: Speaker, on a point of order I made a ruling that the buttons being worn by some members in the chamber are out of order and that they would have to be removed. My ruling has been challenged by the member for Dovercourt, and that is why we've asked that you come in to help us.

The Speaker (Hon Chris Stockwell): Member for Dovercourt?

Mr Silipo: Mr Speaker, I appealed the Chair's ruling because I believe this is a very important point, and whatever the decision is, I think it should come from the Speaker because of the implications it has not just for the meeting of this committee, but beyond. I listened now even as the Chair of the committee relayed to you his decision and he said, I believe, that he ruled that the buttons worn by some members are out of order. That left me with even greater trouble on this because as I understand the ruling he made earlier, that was slightly different, in which he seemed to be ruling out all buttons worn by members.

I think this is something that needs at the very least some clarification by you. I would make the argument to you that there's been long precedence here. I'm not going to cite you any rules other than the basic precedents that we have had, that there has been acceptance of members wearing buttons. I think there is a clear distinction between buttons worn by members and buttons worn by the public and there is in this case, as in others, a difference between members having full expression and the right to full expression when they are on the floor of this House acting as members.

I believe that we are dealing here with a very fundamental right. It's a right that obviously has, as with anything else, parameters and therefore is a right that has to be exercised with discretion. I would say to you, for example, that were we to come in wearing in effect a huge sign, I would think in that case we would be sort of overstepping the bounds of decorum that would be acceptable, but wearing a button such as the one I am wearing now I would think is acceptable. In fact you yourself, I believe, have found it acceptable, because I have worn this button on days prior in this House. Other members have worn this button or similar buttons in days prior in this House, in years prior in this House. So for the Chair of the committee of the whole to now come in and say that's unacceptable I find quite frankly a bit beyond the exercise of the scope of his responsibilities.

1410

If for no other reason than to have a decision like this, which has, as I say, implications far beyond the proceedings today, determined I believe as they should be deter-

mined, not by the Chair of a committee but indeed by the Speaker of the House, I would ask you in applying your decision to reflect back on what has become I think accepted tradition and accepted custom in this place, that within, as I said, reasonable discretion, buttons worn by members is really just another way of us expressing our views in this House. I don't have to remind you, sir, about the importance of members of this House having full ability to fully express themselves on behalf of their constituents.

Mrs Marland: Mr Speaker, since I was the member who raised the question of the buttons with the Chair of the committee of the whole, I would like the opportunity of clarifying to you my concern.

I actually rose on a point of order at the same time that the Chair of the committee of the whole noticed the member for Cochrane North wearing a T-shirt with a slogan on it or a sign on it or wording on it, whichever way you want to describe it. We certainly are aware, the members of this chamber, that there was a decision made on T-shirts in the past and the committee of the whole House did ask the member for Cochrane North to remove his T-shirt. Then we had two or three members come in, including the member for Beaches-Woodbine, with not one button but three, a button and two of these big fluorescent square stickers that they are currently having.

I think it's a very important matter as far as the decorum of the House. Mr Speaker, it was very interesting, because between the time that the Chair of the committee of the whole was challenged, those members very carefully have removed the number of stickers they were wearing, including Mr Silipo, the member for Dovercourt. He too was wearing his button and his sticker before you came in. Then, of course, he removed his sticker.

The Speaker: I appreciate your submissions, but I think the point of order is directed at, if we can —

Mr Silipo: That's not true. I was not wearing both.

Mrs Marland: I'll try not to respond, and certainly those of us who are sitting opposite know exactly what the member for Dovercourt was wearing. It was very interesting for us to observe the removal of these stickers, so you, Mr Speaker, I respectfully suggest, do not have the benefit of the display to which we were being subjected a few moments ago.

Mr Len Wood: Speaker, you were here.

The Speaker: Member for Cochrane North, you're not being helpful at all. Would you please come to order.

Mrs Marland: I guess the thing is that I would respectfully ask you to consider tradition and history of this chamber and Parliament as a whole. We are experiencing a very difficult time right now wherever you sit in this chamber. I think the extension of what becomes a standard in this chamber, whether we're sitting in extended hours or regular hours, is very important to this place.

When you come in and you see flowers on desks, it begins to look like the OJ trial, for crying out loud, because there is no respect for the decorum of this place if we lower the standard that has always been established. I have a great deal of concern for the decorum of this place and I think if members come in wearing a number of stickers or are allowed to have flowers or whatever on desks, then it begs the question, what are we here for? Are we here to have demonstrations which aren't permitted on a T-shirt but

we're permitted to cover our chests with any unlimited number of buttons and stickers?

I simply say to you, for those people who find this humorous, that I know you share a respect for maintaining decorum and the presence of the chamber in the tradition of Parliament. That's my request.

Mr Phillips: Just on the point of order, Mr Speaker: I'm pleased that you're here, only because I do think it's a decision that shouldn't be taken lightly. I know the Chair of the committee of the whole was about to rule on it. I would just like to remind us that there are many days in this Legislature where all of us, of all parties, wear exactly the same ribbon, button, pin of some organization that is looking for support and for awareness.

Interjection: Not everybody.

Mr Phillips: The members across say no. I can assure you that there are days in this House when members of all three parties have ribbons or buttons on supporting some organization. So I would say to you, Mr Speaker, that it's a long-standing tradition here that all of us have a right to express a view of support for some organization.

I might just comment briefly on the flowers yesterday. Yesterday was daffodil day. It was fund-raising for the cancer society and there happened to be some daffodils in the House specifically for that reason. Perhaps it was appropriate, perhaps it wasn't, but it was a unique day.

In any event, Mr Speaker, I would hope that you wouldn't in the heat of the moment feel that you need to make a decision today on this matter. Certainly from our side I don't think there's a need to unilaterally rule out any pin on any member in this House. I suspect there are Rotarians in this House right now wearing Rotary pins. If you unilaterally do this, Mr Speaker, I don't think there's a line that's going to be easy for you to draw. From our perspective I don't think there's a sense of urgency for you to rule today and I do think it does require a little bit of thought, not necessarily in the heat of the moment here, as we're debating a specific issue.

Hon David Johnson (Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, Government House Leader): One of the problems that I'm sure you'll take into account in making your ruling is that these things tend to be abused, and to the degree that there isn't a pretty firm approach taken to them, they tend to grow and snowball. I'm just trying to look across the other side here, but I believe I see the letters "NDP" on the sticker. Since I see no reaction from the other side, yes, I believe I see the letters "NDP." So it's pretty hard to equate daffodil day when one looks across the House and sees in fairly bold black letters "NDP" on a yellow sticker.

I think in the past the rulings have been fairly clear with regard to T-shirts and signs and buttons. If one doesn't uphold the decorum of the House, the buttons tend to get larger, the stickers, which now appear to be of about, what, three inches by four inches — it wasn't too long ago I'm sure I saw those stickers were about six or seven inches longer. I don't know if there was an addendum on them which has disappeared since you've come into the House, Mr Speaker, but the size grows and they become in effect a T-shirt among themselves.

Mr Speaker, we are here for rational, reasonable, open discussion, but the stickers, the props which have been

declared out of order in the past by yourself and other Speakers are certainly transcending the rules. We're seeing more examples of that today; we're seeing more bending of the rules. I think at one time there was probably a feeling that in terms of dealing with amendments, for example, that a few dozen or so amendments would be reasonable. Of course, now we're dealing with 12,000 amendments. That shows you how the abuse can grow. That shows you in this particular case the same kind of abuse could creep into the system.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Mr Speaker: I think we all appreciate that the government party is very disappointed that they find themselves in a checkmate position at the present time, and we can understand that they find it offensive for a position that is different from their own to be represented here. But, Mr Speaker, the role of the opposition is very clear in this place. It is our job as representatives of those who oppose this government to represent those people in the way we are asked to represent them in this place and it is quite clear that it is part of our privilege that we be able to represent our constituents —

Interjections.

The Speaker: Hold it. Order. It's a point of order on a ruling. As I stood and asked them to come to order for the members of the government side, please, if the member could place her arguments, I would appreciate it. The member for London Centre.

Mrs Boyd: Members of all parties, Mr Speaker, in representing their constituents or groups of people with whom they agree, have had a tradition of wearing those symbols in this place. I remember, as a matter of fact, when the issues around the regulations to the Police Act were going on, every member of the Conservative opposition very proudly and prominently displayed a blue ribbon. We all wore green ribbons at the time of the very deep tragedies that happened in St Catharines, to remember the young women who had been murdered there and in hopes that we could support those in the community who were trying to find out who did it.

Mr Speaker, this may seem to others to be a trivial issue, but when we are members in here, one of our very biggest traditions is that we all wear poppies on 11 November and for the weeks leading up to it, and that is a symbol of respect for our constituents. They expect it of us.

Mr Frank Klees (York-Mackenzie): You ought to be ashamed of yourself for drawing that comparison.

The Speaker: The member for York-Mackenzie, come to order.

Mr David Turnbull (York Mills): You should be ashamed of yourselves.

The Speaker: The chief government whip, please. The member for London Centre.

Mrs Boyd: The government members have clearly demonstrated that when they agree with a symbol, it's fine; when they disagree with a symbol, it's not. The "No to the megacity" buttons that people wear in this place are given to us by constituents who are asking us in every way to represent the fact in this place that they have said no to this legislation. That's our job.

The Speaker: Thank you. I appreciate the input from all members from all sides. I just want to take a brief moment to review some previous thoughts on the issue. It's an issue that we're going to have to decide today. I appreciate the

member for Scarborough-Agincourt's input but it's going to have obviously broad, more long-ranging decisions.

Let me just say quickly that members of the gallery are out of order; you have to take those stickers off in the gallery. This is not for any other discussion. Those are out of order. If you don't want to take them, then you'll have to leave.

I'll take 10 minutes to review and report back.

The House recessed from 1423 to 1436.

The Speaker: Thank you for your submissions. I appreciate the opportunity to hear from you with respect to this particular issue. When I first got elected Speaker, I fully expected to be ruling on this issue much sooner than today. In fact, I didn't really expect to be ruling on it on a Saturday afternoon. I was expecting to rule on it very quickly.

The situation is a difficult one because there is expression and issues involved that are very difficult. First let me say there is no place for political demonstrations in this chamber. Those buttons I see on some parties in opposition — I don't see them in the government — and I don't want to give an example, to single a member out, but seeing as he's very close, the member for St Catharines, that button, in my opinion, is out of order and should be removed. The stickers, in my opinion, are out of order and should be removed. The galleries shouldn't wear any political statements.

The difficulty is the members opposite for Scarborough-Agincourt and London Centre brought forward a very interesting argument, and the interesting argument is, what constitutes a political button and what constitutes all kinds of other things? I'll give you some examples that she used: poppies or Kiwanian pins or Rotarian pins. My opinion is this: I think this is a place for political debate and political discussion, where we set laws. It's not a place for political demonstration. I don't consider poppies to be a political demonstration. I don't consider Rotarian pins to be a political demonstration. I don't consider Kiwanian pins to be a political demonstration. But what I do consider this to be is a political demonstration.

You have the right in this chamber, at any time, to determine what is acceptable and what isn't and by unanimous consent to do pretty much anything you want. So if you want to wear a certain button, pin, flower, poppy, by unanimous consent and agreement you may do that and overrule any decision the Speaker takes. But that's unanimous consent.

The other difficulty is I can't possibly know in my mind what one member out of 129 would find offensive, and I would not expect to. That's why we have unanimous consent, for those issues that cross all political boundaries, that are acceptable to all. Then unanimous consent can be sought, agreed to and carried forward. So I will say the difficulty you're going to have, some members in here, is the decision will have to be the Speaker's. But if you don't like the Speaker's ruling, you can seek unanimous consent to change it. My ruling is as clear as I can be: Those are demonstrations. Poppies, Kiwanian pins aren't. That's my ruling. I uphold the Chair's ruling.

House in committee of the whole.

The Second Deputy Chair: I am starting up where I left off:

"have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colborne Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colchester Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colchester Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coldstream Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coldstream Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coldwater Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coldwater Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Cole Millway living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Cole Millway living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour, say "aye." All those opposed, say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation" — the member for Lake Nipigon on a point of order.

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order: I've received a message from our office staff. It's someone in the riding of Lake Nipigon, one more time, very concerned about your state of health. He cannot understand what you're saying. Would you kindly slow down so we can hear each and every amendment?

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order that's been ruled on before.

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coleman Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coleman Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coleridge Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coleridge Avenue living in the urban area."

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Chair: Would you confirm for me that the amendment we are considering is Coleridge Avenue? You appear to have been saying Coldridge Avenue. Is it Coleridge or Coldridge?

The Second Deputy Chair: I'd like to apologize, if you couldn't understand it. I said Coleridge. I left the E out. I was saying Coleridge Avenue.

Mrs Boyd: Thank you, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: You're welcome.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding" — the member for Cochrane North, you're just standing to —

Mr Len Wood: No, I'm stretching my legs.

A child cried.

The Second Deputy Chair: I just want to address the gallery. That youngster is perfectly all right. Do not —

Interjection: You make him cry.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I like the sound. We're glad that you're here, all of you.

"By adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colfax Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colfax Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the wish of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

1450

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colgate Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colgate Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote is deferred.

This is an NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colin Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colin Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge" —

Mr Howard Hampton (Rainy River): On a point of privilege, Chair: I want to raise this issue with you. I've been looking at Beauchesne's Parliamentary Rules and Forms, clause 75: "The privilege of freedom of speech is both the least questioned and the most fundamental right of the member of Parliament on the floor of the House and in committee. It is primarily guaranteed in the British Bill of Rights which declared 'that the freedom of speech and debates or proceedings in Parliament ought not to be impeached or questioned....'"

But Chair, there's an important reciprocal part to the freedom of speech and that is to be able to hear and discern what is being said. My point is this: I understand that you like to read quickly, but if you read so quickly that I or someone else cannot discern what you're saying, that impacts on our freedom of speech. In order to exercise my freedom of speech, I have to be able to understand what you're saying, I have to be able to understand and think about what you're saying. I've been in here now for about 10 minutes and I've been listening very carefully, trying to discern what you're saying.

Interjections.

Mr Hampton: If the members of the government want to get in on this point of privilege, I welcome them to do that.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order.

Mr Hampton: But it seems to me, Chair, that if we are to properly exercise our freedom of speech, if we are to understand what the issue is and what the issue is about, I've got to be able to hear you and I've got to be able to hear you in an intelligible fashion.

So I wonder, Chair, if you might take that into consideration, that if we are to exercise our freedom of speech, we have to also be able to hear. From my point of view, I think one of the reasons that we often hear the Speaker call order is so that intelligent debate can be conducted so that we can hear one another. But it also goes, Chair, when you are speaking. If I'm to understand what you're saying and be able to then exercise freedom of speech with respect to that, I have to be able to, as I say, hear and understand you.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'd like to make a ruling on that. First of all, I want to ask, though, do you have a copy — is it on this related point of privilege?

Hon David Johnson: On the same. I think this has been put forward, particularly by the NDP, and the Liberals, I suspect, in the past, and I would wish to be of assistance to the leader of the NDP if he's having trouble following what's going on in the committee of the whole. Certainly the government has no trouble at all understanding what's going in this House and I would offer a copy, if it would be of assistance, to the leader of the third party if he's having trouble following.

Mr Len Wood: The TV audience doesn't have copies.

The Second Deputy Chair: Would the member please take his seat? The member for Cochrane North, You'll either take your own seat — I've warned you several times this morning, this afternoon, whatever time of day. It's five to 3. I don't even know if that's morning or afternoon; it doesn't matter. Thank you. I'm sorry to interrupt.

Hon David Johnson: I would provide a copy to the leader of the third party, if it would be helpful, to help him follow and assure him that the words are precisely the same as the previous 1,500 or 2,000 of these amendments that he and the Liberals have put forward. They're precisely the same word for word. The only exception is that you have inserted through the computer, through Perly's I guess it is, different roads or different inns or different islands or different lakes or different stadiums or whatever, but it's word for word, leader of the third party. But if it would be helpful, I'd be happy to give you a copy of your own motion.

Mr Phillips: Just responding both to the leader of the third party and to the government House leader, and just to perhaps reinforce the importance, I think we saw yesterday that the government members seem not to be able to follow the proceedings and for whatever reason were confused or whatever. We know that is a very serious matter now: The bill has been amended. The reason I raise it is because I think it's important for the government to —

The Second Deputy Chair: The member for Scarborough-Agincourt, please take your seat. Order. I have to be able to hear this. I'm asked to make a ruling on it. I'm just trying to do this job. It's a difficult job. I'm doing it to the absolute best of my ability. It may be limited

but I want to do what is fair and what is reasonable for all the members of this House. There are 129 of you who deserve the right interpretation of this ruling. So I'm sorry to interrupt, but I need order. The Chair recognizes the member for Scarborough-Agincourt.

Mr Phillips: I think all of us — I speak on behalf of all the members — appreciate the job you and the other officers are doing. But just to say again how important it is that the members of the Legislature hear the words that you are reading. As I say, we now found the government, I gather, has decided that it was in fact against a motion that it approved yesterday, and therefore, I think that makes our point for us, that it is important for all members of the House to hear it. I appreciate you also feel you need to move quickly with this matter, but I would ask you to consider, particularly for the government members, that they should hear each word you're saying so that they are able to weigh the amendments and not do what happened yesterday, which was to approve an amendment that I gather on hindsight they weren't in favour of.

Interjection.

Mr Phillips: No, it's you. You approved the amendment, the government.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, it's your point of privilege.

Mr Hampton: I ask only for the opportunity to respond to the further argument that's been raised by the government House leader.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'd like to rule on your point of privilege.

Mr Hampton: This is exactly on that point.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, I have taken the information on rotation, but I can't do this forever, so I'm going to say no more.

Interjection.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry, no more.

Mr Hampton: The government House leader created a new issue, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm saying this is not debatable. Then we'll have to bring up a new issue —

Mr Hampton: But it is quite relevant to the —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I will not include it in this, I'm sorry. There is no debate. We are in committee of the whole. There is no debate. I have taken your point of privilege, I've taken some information in rotation but I'm not willing to go round and round through this all day. We're in committee of the whole. There's no debate. I appreciate you want a fair and reasonable resolution to it and a decision on it and I'm going to give that to you but I'm not going to debate it all afternoon. Is my position clear? I'll take a minute.

Order. I want to address the member for Rainy River on your point of privilege. I find that there is no breach of privilege. I don't see that freedom of speech is being impeded. As far as I know, there is no ruling that says how fast or how slow these can be read. You will realize that each one has to be read into the record and if there are mistakes in them then these have been addressed on points of order and so on. But I can find nothing that leads me to believe that there is any ruling that I should either speed up or slow down and that speed is not something that's been ruled on as a point of order.

1500

Mr Hampton: On a point of privilege, Chair: I take your ruling to mean that there is no requirement then that whatever is being transacted in the House needs to be heard. In other words, there is no necessity that anyone else here in the House be able to hear what is in fact being spoken. Is that what you're saying?

The Second Deputy Chair: You were rising on a separate point of privilege and I'd like to rule on it. The point is that we have microphones and we have loudspeakers and we turn them up to suit and there are ear things that you can use, so hearing, yes, absolutely. You have to be able to hear. No problem. That's why I call for order and that's why I make people stop talking if they're bothering people who are close. Hearing is absolutely a privilege and must be upheld and respected.

Mr Hampton: I'm glad you settled that for us. Thank you.

The Second Deputy Chair: Hearing — if it's not loud enough and you can't hear, by all means, if you can let anybody know who looks after the volume on the sound, we'll try to do anything we can that way for you.

Mr Pouliot: Point of order, Mr Speaker.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Lake Nipigon on —

Mr Pouliot: On the same point of order, please.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry.

The Chair recognizes the member for Kingston and The Islands on a point of order.

Mr Gerretsen: I have a separate point of order. I think the Legislature and the people at home as well who are maybe watching this and the people in the audience should take notice of the fact that there are more cabinet ministers in the House today than there are during the usual question period.

The Second Deputy Chair: You are out of order.

I want to remind you that we are in committee of the whole. I have a very difficult job to do. I am reading these in, to the very best of my ability. It may be limited. I'm not arguing that, but I'm doing the very best I can under very difficult circumstances. Under committee of the whole, no debate is allowed. I don't think I should have to explain that over and over and over.

I'm going to start up where I left off:

“a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Is it the request of this committee this motion carry? All those in favour say “aye.” Those opposed say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mr Cleary: Mr Speaker, on a point of order: You want to realize that we're not all against you here. I just want to read you what Mrs Edge said from Etobicoke.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, no. If you have a point of order, it means we're doing something wrong and you can correct it, but I have to know what your point is. What's your point of order. What are we doing wrong here?

Mr Cleary: She wants to tell you what you're doing right.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry, that's out of order.

This is an NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colinayre Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colinayre Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions” —

Mr Hampton: Chair, point of privilege: I've been listening very attentively to what you have been saying and I regret I cannot make out in every instance what you're saying. Again, by your own ruling, Chair, I believe you said that we should be able to hear what you're saying and to define what you're saying and I'm not always able to do that. I'd ask you if you could speak — it's all right if you speak quickly, but can you speak clearly. That's the issue for me. I'm trying very hard to hear what you're saying.

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to know exactly what the privilege is.

Mr Hampton: By your own ruling, Chair —

The Second Deputy Chair: I want to know what you can't hear.

Mr Hampton: I'm having difficulty discerning what you're saying.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'd like to address the member for Rainy River on his point of privilege. We'll do everything we can to assist you in hearing.

Interjections.

1510

The Second Deputy Chair: Order. There is a device in your desk that will help. If you have a copy and you follow along, it will help. Which one are you on now? Are you on the motion that —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Are you on Colinayre? Anyway, it would help if you went along with it, but I'm not sure that just by going slower it will be any better. Sometimes I slur my words when I go too slow.

Mr Hampton: Chair, if you remember yesterday, the member for Sudbury East and I were here in the House together, and on two occasions the member for Sudbury East asked you to repeat the amendment because as she heard you and followed along, she believed that you had skipped out a section. That's exactly the point here. I'm following along and I'm listening and there are points where I think you may have skipped out something but I can't hear well enough to even discern that. It's not a question of volume, it's a question of if you can speak more clearly. Then we'll be able to discern and we'll be able to tell.

I'm very worried that you might leave out a section and I'm worried about what the impact of that would be upon the propriety of that section should it be accepted by the House. This is not just a matter of whether I have the best hearing in the world; this is also a matter of the proper reading and recording of these amendments. That is necessary for this House to do its work properly, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm very sorry. I'm doing this very difficult job the best way I can. My way of reading may be a little bit faster. Now, I'm not sure of that.

Mr Hampton: Chair, I have no problem. If you wish to read quickly, that's up to you. It's a question of —

The Second Deputy Chair: Would the member please take his seat. I want to explain this. I try to enunciate and pronounce, but I'm not willing to syllabize every word. I do slow down for the street names. On a couple of occasions on request —

Interjections.

The Second Deputy Chair: Order — I have given the numbers of the paragraphs so it's easier to follow along. I've done that on the request of two members, the member for London Centre and the member for Dovercourt. When they are in this chamber I try to give the numbers on each one. But you will realize that there are quite a few of these very similar amendments and there are 249 words in each one. There are some 23 numbers, there are some 49 or 50 punctuation marks. It does take a while to go through them.

I set some guidelines for myself that I thought I should be able to do one a minute. I don't think that's unreasonable. I have never attained that, in spite of the fact that I think I am going at a very reasonable, fair speed.

Mr Hampton: Chair, I have no issue with speed —

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, that's my ruling. This is not debate. That is my ruling. You can either accept it or you can challenge it, but that is my ruling. We are not in debate. The Chair recognizes the member for Markham on a point of order.

Hon Mr Tsubouchi: Mr Chair, we have gone through this discussion before, I know. I have been in attendance quite a lot and I would like to bring to your attention section 23(c) of the standing orders, which indicates: "In debate, a member shall be called to order by the Speaker if he or she...persists in needless repetition or raises matters that have been decided during the current session."

Mr Chair, we know we've heard this argument before. I just draw this to your attention.

The Second Deputy Chair: That is a point of order. Thank you. We will continue.

Mr Hampton: In response to that point of order —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry. We are not in debate.

Mr Hampton: Point of order, Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: The Chair recognizes the member for Rainy River on a point of order.

Mr Hampton: Just to reflect on that particular section —

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry. Either you're on a point of order that we're doing something wrong here — I will not enter into debate on other people's points of order or things that are going on. If you have a point of order, please let me know what it is, what I'm doing wrong. If I'm doing something wrong, tell me, bring it up on a point of order. We'll rule on it and then we'll get on with things. I want to read these very important amendments, these very important things into the record.

Mr Hampton: My point of order, Chair, is rule 23.

The Second Deputy Chair: I'm sorry, your point of order?

Mr Hampton: Rule 23 of the rules:

"In debate, a member shall be called to order by the Speaker if he or she:

"(a) Speaks twice to a question...."

In my view, I submit to you, Chair, what that means is you cannot speak once in rotation to a question and then try to get on and speak a second time to a question, Chair.

Secondly,

"(b) Directs his or her speech to matters other than...

"(ii) a motion or amendment he or she intends to move."

My point is that on this particular amendment, we need to be able to discern what that amendment is and we need to be able to discern what you are reading into the record, sir. Chair, you are not going through a mere formality. Your process is the reading into the record, and the reading into the record, we submit to you, must be done in an accurate fashion and the only way we can tell that is if we can discern what you're saying.

The Second Deputy Chair: Please take your seat. I want to explain your rule of order. It is not a point of order. We are not in debate.

All those who wish that this motion carry? All those in favour say "aye." All those opposed say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. This vote is deferred.

Mrs Boyd: Point of order, Mr Chair.

The Second Deputy Chair: No, I'm sorry, I'm done and the next chair will look after that.

The First Deputy Chair: The member for London Centre, you had a point of order.

Mrs Boyd: Thank you, Madam Chair, and I'm happy to put my point of order to you. We've had a call from a TV viewer around this whole issue that has been going on for the last few moments. Her husband is deaf and he has been lip-reading the proceedings. He doesn't have closed-captioning, he's been reading the proceedings. He can read your lips, he can read Mr Morin's lips, he has been able to read the substitute Speakers' lips, but he unfortunately cannot lip-read when Mr Johnson is in the chair. They believe very strongly that they ought to be able to understand the proceedings and be able to follow the proceedings through lip-reading, as they can with every other Chair who sits in the chair, Madam Chair. I wonder if you would, again — I'm sorry that we keep putting you on the spot — bring this matter up when you have a table officers' meeting.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I say that this issue has been ruled on on a number of occasions, and the Chair is under no obligation, whether we like it or not as members, to make sure that everybody who is viewing on TV word for word what is being said. As I indicated before, I am happy, when we do meet again, to bring this issue forward but there is nothing under the rules that I can do about that. Shall we proceed?

1520

Mr Pouliot: On a point of order, Madam Chair: This is a very important matter, indeed. Speaker Johnson —

The First Deputy Chair: Is this a point of order or a point of privilege?

Mr Pouliot: A point of privilege.

The First Deputy Chair: Same point of —

Mr Pouliot: No, point of privilege, personal privilege.

The First Deputy Chair: A point of privilege, okay.

Mr Pouliot: As the representative for the people of —

Hon Robert W. Runciman (Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services): Throw them out for the day. They'll be gone for the whole session, as long as we're in committee of the whole. Throw them out. They're in breach of the standing orders.

Mrs Boyd: You're not the chair of this session. You know, you're very authoritarian.

Hon Mr Runciman: It's not a point of privilege.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Solicitor General, come to order, please.

Mr Hampton: It's not a police state yet, Bob.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order. I have a point of privilege on the floor. I want to determine what that point of privilege is and I can't hear.

Mr Pouliot: As the representative of the riding of Lake Nipigon trying to do my job, I'll be honest with you, I cannot decipher what Speaker Johnson is saying. He seems to be the only one —

The First Deputy Chair: No.

Mr Pouliot: No, no, excuse me, it's important.

Hon Mr Runciman: You shouldn't be standing for this. Time after time after time you're standing for this.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Order, please. Solicitor General, that is enough. I listen to all points of privilege and all points of order until I can ascertain whether it is a legitimate point of order or a legitimate point of privilege, on all sides of the House. Thank you.

The member for Lake Nipigon, I would ask you to come directly to your point of privilege. I still don't know exactly what it is and I need to hear quickly what it is you're asking of me.

Mr Pouliot: We cannot make up what the Speaker is saying. He's simply going too fast. He seems to be the only one who thinks that by going quicker he's going to see the end of this.

The First Deputy Chair: Member for Lake Nipigon, please take your seat. We have been through this and through this and through this, over and over, mostly with another chair. It has been raised with me on several occasions and I have said on those occasions that it is not a legitimate point of privilege and I have endeavoured to bring it up at the next Speaker's meeting. Okay, thank you.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collahie Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collahie Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." Those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collanus Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collanus Court living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colleen Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colleen Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

Mrs Boyd: On a point of order, Madam Speaker: I would like to withdraw the next one. There is no street designation.

The First Deputy Chair: I’ll withdraw it. It’s the one with College.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of College Park living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of College Park living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

1530

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of College Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of College Street living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of College View Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of College View Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collier Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collier Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collingdale Road living in the urban

area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collingdale Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collingsbrook Blvd living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collingsbrook Blvd living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

Mrs Boyd: A point of order: I'd like to withdraw the next motion because it has no street designation.

The First Deputy Chair: So you want to withdraw the one that has Collingwood? Thank you, it will be withdrawn.

Interjections.

The First Deputy Chair: Could I have order, please.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collingwood Street living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collingwood Street living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote .

1540

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collingsgrove Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collingsgrove Road living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote .

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Collinson Blvd living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Collinson Blvd living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say "aye." All those opposed please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote .

Mrs Boyd: A point of order, Madam Chair: I find myself in a bit of a difficulty. Earlier, I stepped out of the chamber for a few minutes and I do not know whether this chamber passed an amendment for what is a short form for Colonel Danforth Trail. It was Col Danforth Trail, and that was back between Coin Street and Colbeck Street and my problem is, is that the next motion is also for Colonel Danforth Trail spelled out in the whole words and what we need to know is whether we've already passed this amendment.

The First Deputy Chair: Yes, we have already deferred the vote on C-o-l Danforth Trail and the one coming up now is, as you said, Colonel Danforth Trail.

Mrs Boyd: And they are one and the same.

The First Deputy Chair: That's right.

Mrs Boyd: And there is a duplicate in the next motion as well. So there are two amendments that need to be withdrawn.

The First Deputy Chair: The C-o-l one has already been dealt with.

Mrs Boyd: That's fine, that's been passed. It's the one we're coming to now that's spells out Colonel in full and there is the one after that which is the duplicate which should then be withdrawn.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay, I shall withdraw Colonel Danforth Trail and Colonel Danforth Trail, they're both withdrawn. Thank you.

NDP motion:

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colonial living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colonial living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

"i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

"ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

"iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

"iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent."

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say "aye." All those opposed will please say "nay." In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, Subsection 24(4):

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colonial Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colonial Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

Mr Clement: On a point of order, Madam Chair: as you know the Speaker ruled very recently. The honourable member for Algoma was not in the room and I would like to ask him through you if the button is discerned to be a political button, whether he would like to remove it.

Mr Wildman: Madam Chair, the button says "Don't cut the heart out of education." It doesn't refer to any political party, it is not a partisan button, it is in defence of education.

The First Deputy Chair: Okay. If the member will take a seat. I expected that I'd be responding to the Speaker's ruling at some time and I am now. I listened very carefully to the Speaker's ruling and, according to his ruling, that button is clearly out of order. That is my interpretation of the Speaker's ruling. So you will have to remove the button.

Mr Wildman: I will receive your ruling, Chair. So to understand now, in this legislature it is not in order to defend education in Ontario.

The First Deputy Chair: Well, that was not my understanding of the Speaker's ruling, but it is clear from the Speaker's ruling that that would be out of order.

1550

Mr Dan Newman (Scarborough Centre): Point of order, Madam Chair, I was listening to the amendments. The previous amendment was Colonial without a drive, street or road. This is Colonial Avenue, it's in the riding of Scarborough Centre, and the fact that the NDP has withdrawn amendments with C-o-l Danforth Trail and allowed Colonel Danforth Trail, given that that's the case there is no other Colonial in metropolitan Toronto, maybe you could speed things up by having them withdraw this amendment.

The First Deputy Chair: I've also ruled on this situation before as well and it is up to the move of the amendments to withdraw any of the amendments. That has already been deemed to have been a deferred vote. The voice vote has been taken on that and it has been deferred. So we'll have to move on from here but thank you for pointing out that information.

NDP motion, Subsection 24(4)

"I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

"Public consultation

"(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colonial Avenue living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

"1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colonial Avenue living in the urban area.

"2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

"3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

"4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

"5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,
- “iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,
- “iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. A deferred vote.

NDP motion, Subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colonnade Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colonnade Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion will please say “aye.” All those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, Subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colony Road living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colony Road living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing

has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. The vote will be deferred.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Coltbridge Court living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Coltbridge Court living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks’ notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

- “i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,
- “ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the nays have it. Deferred vote.

NDP motion, subsection 24(4):

“I move that section 24 of the bill be amended by adding the following subsection:

“Public consultation

“(4) Despite subsection (1), no regulation that may affect the residents of Colman Crescent living in the urban area shall be made unless the following conditions have first been satisfied:

“1. The minister has given notice of the proposed regulation, in a manner that will come to the attention of the residents of Colman Crescent living in the urban area.

“2. The minister has considered all written submissions made by members of the public that his office received within 30 days after the notice was given.

“3. If 10 or more persons requested a public hearing within 30 days after the notice was given, a public hearing has been held and the minister has considered all oral submissions made at the hearing.

“4. The minister shall give three weeks' notice of a public hearing, in the same manner as the notice under paragraph 1.

“5. The notice under paragraph 1 shall,

“i. include a copy of the proposed regulation,

“ii. tell members of the public where and how to obtain, without charge, a copy of this act together with background material,

“iii. advise members of the public of their rights under paragraphs 2, 3 and 4,

“iv. advise members of the public where their written submissions and requests for a public hearing should be sent.”

Shall the motion carry? All those in favour of the motion please say “aye.” Those opposed please say “nay.” In my opinion, the ayes have it. The vote shall be deferred.

Report continues in volume K.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF ONTARIO ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE DE L'ONTARIO

Lieutenant Governor / Lieutenant-gouverneur: Hon / L'hon Hilary M. Weston
Speaker / Président: Hon / L'hon Chris Stockwell
Clerk / Greffier: Claude L. DesRosiers
Clerk Assistant and Executive Director of Legislative Services /
Greffière adjointe et directrice générale des Services législatifs: Deborah Deller
Clerks at the Table / Greffiers parlementaires: Todd Decker, Lisa Freedman
Sergeant-at-Arms / Sergent d'armes: Dennis Clark

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Algoma	Wildman, Bud (ND)	Hastings-Peterborough	Danford, Harry (PC)
Algoma-Manitoulin	Brown, Michael A. (L)	High Park-Swansea	Shea, Derwyn (PC)
Beaches-Woodbine	Lankin, Frances (ND)	Huron	Johns, Helen (PC)
Brampton North / -Nord	Spina, Joseph (PC)	Kenora	McClash, Frank (L)
Brampton South / -Sud	Clement, Tony (PC)	Kingston and The Islands / Kingston et Les Îles	Gerretsen, John (L)
Brant-Haldimand	Preston, Peter L. (PC)	Kitchener	Wettlaufer, Wayne (PC)
Brantford	Johnson, Ron (PC)	Kitchener-Wilmot	Leadston, Gary L. (PC)
Bruce	Fisher, Barbara (PC)	Lake Nipigon / Lac-Nipigon	Pouliot, Gilles (ND)
Burlington South / -Sud	Jackson, Hon / L'hon Cameron (PC) Minister without Portfolio (seniors issues) / ministre sans portefeuille (affaires des personnes âgées)	Lambton	Beaubien, Marcel (PC)
Cambridge	Martiniuk, Gerry (PC)	Lanark-Renfrew	Jordan, W. Leo (PC)
Carleton	Sterling, Hon / L'hon Norman W. (PC) Minister of Environment and Energy / ministre de l'Environnement et de l'Énergie	Lawrence	Cordiano, Joseph (L)
Carleton East / -Est	Morin, Gilles E. (L)	Leeds-Grenville	Runciman, Hon / L'hon Robert W. (PC) Solicitor General and Minister of Correctional Services / solliciteur général et ministre des Services correctionnels
Chatham-Kent	Carroll, Jack (PC)	Lincoln	Sheehan, Frank (PC)
Cochrane North / -Nord	Wood, Len (ND)	London Centre / -Centre	Boyd, Marion (ND)
Cochrane South / -Sud	Bisson, Gilles (ND)	London North / -Nord	Cunningham, Hon / L'hon Dianne (PC) Minister of Intergovernmental Affairs, minister responsible for women's issues / ministre des Affaires intergouvernementales, ministre déléguée à la Condition féminine
Comwall	Cleary, John C. (L)	London South / -Sud	Wood, Bob (PC)
Don Mills	Johnson, Hon / L'hon David (PC) Chair of the Management Board of Cabinet, government House leader / président du Conseil de gestion, leader parlementaire du gouvernement	Markham	Tsubouchi, Hon / L'hon David H. (PC) Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations / ministre de la Consommation et du Commerce
Dovercourt	Silipo, Tony (ND)	Middlesex	Smith, Bruce (PC)
Downsview	Castrilli, Annamaria (L)	Mississauga East / -Est	DeFaria, Carl (PC)
Dufferin-Peel	Tilson, David (PC)	Mississauga North / -Nord	Snobelen, Hon / L'hon John (PC) Minister of Education and Training / ministère de l'Éducation et de la Formation
Durham Centre / -Centre	Flaherty, Jim (PC)	Mississauga South / -Sud	Marland, Margaret (PC)
Durham East / -Est	O'Toole, John R. (PC)	Mississauga West / -Ouest	Sampson, Hon / L'hon Rob (PC) Minister without Portfolio (privatization) / ministre sans portefeuille (privatisation)
Durham West / -Ouest	Ecker, Hon / L'hon Janet (PC) Minister of Community and Social Services / ministre des Services sociaux et communautaires	Muskoka-Georgian Bay / Muskoka-Baie-Georgienne	Grimmett, Bill (PC)
Durham-York	Munro, Julia (PC)	Nepean	Baird, John R. (PC)
Eglinton	Saunderson, Hon / L'hon William (PC) Minister of Economic Development, Trade and Tourism / ministre du Développement économique, du Commerce et du Tourisme	Niagara Falls	Maves, Bart (PC)
Elgin	North, Peter (Ind)	Niagara South / -Sud	Hudak, Tim (PC)
Essex-Kent	Hoy, Pat (L)	Nickel Belt	Laughren, Floyd (ND)
Essex South / -Sud	Crozier, Bruce (L)	Nipissing	Harris, Hon / L'hon Michael D. (PC) Premier and President of the Executive Council / premier ministre et président du Conseil exécutif
Etobicoke-Humber	Ford, Douglas B. (PC)	Norfolk	Barrett, Toby (PC)
Etobicoke-Lakeshore	Kells, Morley (PC)	Northumberland	Galt, Doug (PC)
Etobicoke-Rexdale	Hastings, John (PC)	Oakville South / -Sud	Carr, Gary (PC)
Etobicoke West / -Ouest	Stockwell, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Speaker / Président	Oakwood	Colle, Mike (L)
Fort William	McLeod, Lyn (L)	Oriole	Vacant
Fort York	Marchese, Rosario (ND)	Oshawa	Ouellette, Jerry J. (PC)
Frontenac-Addington	Vankoughnet, Bill (PC)	Ottawa Centre / -Centre	Patten, Richard (L)
Grey-Owen Sound	Murdoch, Bill (PC)	Ottawa East / -Est	Grandmaître, Bernard (L)
Guelph	Elliott, Brenda (PC)	Ottawa-Rideau	Guzzo, Garry J. (PC)
Halton Centre / -Centre	Young, Terence H. (PC)	Ottawa South / -Sud	McGuinty, Dalton (L) Leader of the Opposition / chef de l'opposition
Halton North / -Nord	Chudleigh, Ted (PC)	Ottawa West / -Ouest	Chiarelli, Robert (L)
Hamilton Centre / -Centre	Christopherson, David (ND)		
Hamilton East / -Est	Agostino, Dominic (L)		
Hamilton Mountain	Pettit, Trevor (PC)		
Hamilton West / -Ouest	Ross, Lillian (PC)		

Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti	Constituency Circonscription	Member/Party Député(e) / Parti
Scarborough North / -Nord	Hardeman, Emie (PC)	Scarborough North / -Nord	Curling, Alvin (L)
Scarborough Centre / -Centre	Ruprecht, Tony (L)	Scarborough West / -Ouest	Brown, Jim (PC)
Scarborough East / -Est	Eves, Hon / L'hon Ernie L. (PC) Deputy Premier, Minister of Finance / vice-premier ministre, ministre des Finances	Simcoe Centre / -Centre	Tascona, Joseph N. (PC)
Scarborough West / -Ouest	Johnson, Bert (PC)	Simcoe East / -Est	McLean, Allan K. (PC)
Simcoe Centre / -Centre	Stewart, R. Gary (PC)	Simcoe West / -Ouest	Wilson, Hon / L'hon Jim (PC) Minister of Health / ministre de la Santé
Simcoe East / -Est	Gravelle, Michael (L)	Sudbury	Bartolucci, Rick (L)
Simcoe West / -Ouest	Lalonde, Jean-Marc (L)	Sudbury East / -Est	Martel, Shelley (ND)
Timiskaming		Timiskaming	Ramsay, David (L)
Victoria-Haliburton	Fox, Gary (PC)	Victoria-Haliburton	Hodgson, Hon / L'hon Chris (PC) Minister of Natural Resources, Minister of Northern Development and Mines / ministre des Richesses naturelles, ministre du Développement du Nord et des Mines
Waterloo North / -Nord	Rollins, E.J. Douglas (PC)	Waterloo North / -Nord	Witmer, Hon / L'hon Elizabeth (PC) Minister of Labour / ministre du Travail
Welland-Thorold	Hampton, Howard (ND) Leader of the New Democratic Party / Chef du Nouveau Parti démocratique	Welland-Thorold	Kormos, Peter (ND)
Wellington	Conway, Sean G. (L)	Wellington	Arnott, Ted (PC)
Wentworth East / -Est	Churley, Marilyn (ND)	Wentworth East / -Est	Doyle, Ed (PC)
Wentworth North / -Nord	Villeneuve, Hon / L'hon Noble (PC) Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs, minister responsible for francophone affairs / ministre de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation et des Affaires rurales, ministre délégué aux Affaires francophones	Wentworth North / -Nord	Skarica, Toni (PC)
Willowdale	Bassett, Isabel (PC)	Willowdale	Harnick, Hon / L'hon Charles (PC) Attorney General, minister responsible for native affairs / procureur général, ministre délégué aux Affaires autochtones
Wilson Heights	Bradley, James J. (L)	Wilson Heights	Kwinter, Monte (L)
Windsor-Riverside	Froese, Tom (PC)	Windsor-Riverside	Vacant
Windsor-Sandwich	Leach, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing / ministre des Affaires municipales et du Logement	Windsor-Sandwich	Pupatello, Sandra (L)
Windsor-Walkerville	Boushy, Dave (PC)	Windsor-Walkerville	Duncan, Dwight (L)
York Centre / -Centre	Martin, Tony (ND)	York Centre / -Centre	Palladini, Hon / L'hon Al (PC) Minister of Transportation / ministre des Transports
York East / -Est	Phillips, Gerry (L)	York East / -Est	Parker, John L. (PC)
York Mills	Newman, Dan (PC)	York Mills	Tumbull, David (PC)
York-Mackenzie	Gilchrist, Steve (PC)	York-Mackenzie	Klees, Frank (PC)
Yorkview	Mushinski, Hon / L'hon Marilyn (PC) Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation / ministre des Affaires civiques, de la Culture et des Loisirs	Yorkview	Sergio, Mario (L)
York South / -Sud		York South / -Sud	Kennedy, Gerard (L)

list arranged by members' surnames and including all responsibilities of each member appears in the first and last issues of each session and on the first Monday of each month.

Une liste alphabétique des noms des députés, comprenant toutes les responsabilités de chaque député, figure dans les premier et dernier numéros de chaque session et le premier lundi de chaque mois.

CONTENTS

Saturday 5 April 1997

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HOUSE
City of Toronto Act, 1996,
Bill 103, *Mr Leach*

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

Samedi 5 avril 1997

COMITÉ PLÉNIER DE
L'ASSEMBLÉE LÉGISLATIVE
Loi de 1996 sur la cité de Toronto,
projet de loi 103, *M. Leach*

